Determination of Mass Loss Rate and Smoke Generated of Jordanian Hardwood Timber under Different Flaming Combustion and Limited Ventilation Environment
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Abstract: - Natural wood has been used in structural applications for decades. Smoke from wood fires, additives and wood-protective coatings is a cause of death and serious injury in limited ventilation compartment. Ventilation restrictions in modern day designs complicate the combustion process and increase incomplete combustion products due to a reduction in the amount of oxygen available for fuel oxidation. Jordanian hardwood samples have been examined, tested and evaluated according to their mass loss rates, specific optical density, mass optical density, transmittance, and visibility using qualitative research used to realise the dynamics of fire phenomena. Four types of natural wood were tested under different heat fluxes with different Flaming combustion in a Smoke Density Chamber (SDC). The samples studied were Beech, Oak, Rhamnus, and Abies. The samples have been exposed to 25 and 50 kW/m² heat fluxes in a limited ventilation compartment. Twelve samples were tested, each with dimensions of 75 x 75 mm and 10 mm thickness. An evaluation of the tested parameters, such as mass loss rate (MLR), specific optical density, transmittance, visibility and mass optical density (MOD have been carried out to determine their effectiveness as predictive parameters. Main results shows Beech has the lower values of specific optical density despite it has higher values of MLR % and MOD compared to other samples studied. Also, the mass loss rate (MLR %) increases with the heat flux even with different flaming conditions. In the opposite, there is a strong dependence for the mass optical density (MOD) on the heat flux and flaming conditions. Key conclusions have been drawn up that could be used in wood products and future works. The main objective of this work is to model the fire dynamic behavior in the pre-fire time. The results of this study can provide the bases for ventilation process and considerations of using natural woods in Jordan for different applications.
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Nomenclatures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Exposed Area of the Specimen (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>The Fuel Surface Area (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>Specific Heat (J/kg, °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL</td>
<td>Optical Density per Meter (m⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Geometrical Factor for Chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Light Intensity during the Test (After Absorption by the Smoke)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I₀</td>
<td>Light Intensity before the Test (cd)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>Thermal Conductivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Optical Length of Smoke Measurement (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lc</td>
<td>Heat of Gasification (kJ/mol)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>Mass of the Specimen (gm).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m₀</td>
<td>Burning Rate (g/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qₜc</td>
<td>Heat Release Rate (kW/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Visibility (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dₘ</td>
<td>Specific Optical Density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>Time (in Second)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>Transmittance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tₐₜₐₛₜₐ</td>
<td>Time needed for the Flammable Mixture to Proceed to Combustion (in Second)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tₐₜₐₛₜₐ</td>
<td>Diffusion Time Needed for the Flammable Fuel to Reach the Pilot (in Second)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tₚₚ</td>
<td>Conduction Heating Time for the Solid to Achieve Pyrolysis (in Second)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Total Volume of the Chamber (m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α</td>
<td>Thermal Diffusivity (m²/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔHc</td>
<td>Heat of Combustion (J/kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>Density (kg/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>χ</td>
<td>An Efficiency Factor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FRM</td>
<td>Fire Retardant Material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTT</td>
<td>Fire Testing Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF</td>
<td>Heat Flux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>Mass Flux</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1 Introduction

Wood is widely used in global markets for structural applications [1]. It has been widely used throughout history in different applications including interior housing finishes, construction works, tools, weapons, transportation and decorative and art objects [2]. Natural wood is used in many applications in the living life of Jordanians such as; Furniture, walls, cabinets, interior finishes, household appliances, floors, and firewood [3].

Fire is a rapid flammable reaction process causes heat, light, and various products to be released depending on the reactive materials and ventilation process. These fires occur occasionally and more likely in compartments than outdoors. In a compartment fire, localized temperatures up to 1100°C can be achieved. As a black body, compartment radiation of 200 kW/m² increases wood burning rates to 4.4 mm/min [4]. In limited ventilation compartment, smoke is the main cause of injury and death in a fire [5]. It is the cause of 39% of fire deaths in residential buildings and 46% of civilian fire injuries [6]. Civil defense of Jordan reported in 2018 that 7.7% of the total number of accidents with an average risk of 0.048 is due to fire in residence buildings [7]. It cause fear, or even death to occupants in near parts of the buildings due to smoke released from fire source or through mechanical ventilation systems. Furthermore, property losses increases significantly as smoke weakens firefighting efforts, which increasing the intensity of fire depending on the amount and rate of smoke generating in the fire. According to the building regulations (codes of fire and safety), the method used to prevent smoke from spreading is to install different types of inhibitors and shut down or closing the ventilation system in the case of fire [7].

Unfortunately, the risk of fire resulting from wood, wood products and additives is high, which limits their widespread use and applications [8]. To protect property and construction elements, fire retardant material (FRM) is used as a fire protective coatings. Smoke from wood flaming additives and coatings is a major cause of risky conditions in compartment fires [9]. The amount of smoke production affects the time available for occupants to escape. The requirement for effectual techniques to identify these toxic hazards is necessary at this stage of progress in fire safety research. [9].

Fire science, projects and researches have been very important in studying and analyzing fire hazards and designing safe evacuation plans. It also remains important for firefighting activities after the fire. In the United Kingdom, studies, in 2013/2014, showed that the number of claimed fires was 21,900. Dwelling fires has been the largest, it accounted for 44% of all main fires and 80% of all deaths. The major source of death, as reported, was due to gas, smoke or toxic fumes. 41% of death rate and 46% of injuries were caused by inhalation of toxic smoke [6]. As in the United Kingdom (UK), many studies have been conducted around the world on fire and smoke hazards because of the increasing of death numbers since 1960 [10]. This increase had been attributing to three main factors [11]:

Firstly was due to the increase of the use of complex materials such as polymers that produce incomplete combustion emissions. Secondly was due to the influence of modern buildings that increase energy consumption, which increases the burning temperature and rapid fire growth. Thirdly was due to the ventilation restrictions of modern day designs, which can slows down the fire spread, complicates the combustion and enhances the production of incomplete combustion products due to the limitation of fire by the amount of oxygen available to oxidize the fuel in cases were a restricted ventilation occurs and an abrupt changes in ventilation can have great effects on fire. These factors, joined with lifestyle changes, such as the increase in the amount of furniture (fire loads), their layout and physical composition, have helped to increase fire injuries from inhalation of toxic smoke [11]. Large amount of smoke generated by fires is considered as a major hazard particularly in the early stages following ignition, which is related to visibility problems and inhalations due to production of hazardous amounts of toxic gases. The reduction in visibility due to limited ventilation and smoke concentration leads to a critical situation for occupant’s life protection and applications of fire safety provisions [12] and [9].

Several works have been carried out in order to find a correlations between visibility and optical density (OD) in limited ventilation environments [9], [13], [14] and [15]. Studies have found that at an optical density of (5.0 per meter), a person can only see a distance of 0.6 meters in front of him. It also concluded that the maximum visibility required was within an optical density of 0.08 per meter,

### Glossary

- **MLR**: Mass Loss Rate
- **MOD**: Mass Optical Density
- **SDC**: Smoke Density Chamber
- **SOD**: Specific Optical Density
which is equivalent to a minimum visibility of 10 meters.

Recent studies, as described in the literature, focus on ignition time, heat release rate, heat of combustion and concentration of carbon production but neglect the main factor that affects the amount of these products which is the burning rate or the mass loss rate (MLR). Burning rate or MLR are considered as an ignition standard of wood since it reveals the amount of pyrolysis and gaseous products during combustion [16].

Fire science is a rapidly developing field over the past few decades; from being an environmental issues to being a mechanical issues. Therefore, this paper is originated to study the set of variables that affect fire dynamics to increase fire safety for community and environment.

This paper comes in a series of published papers [3], [7] and [9] which include experimental studies of fire dynamic characteristics in many types of wood that are widespread in home structures and furniture in Jordan. In Jordan, homes do not implement public safety protection systems or fire insurance policies, and fire safety measures have not yet been fully standardized. In this case, prevention is better than treatment, and the use of wood and its additives to extend the time of fire growth until the arrival of the civil defence is essential.

The aim of this work is to examine, experimentally, the effect of heat flux on the fire characteristics such as; mass loss rate (MLR), mass flux (MF), mass optical density (MOD) and transmission (T) by measuring smoke generated by wood samples under different Flaming combustion in limited ventilation compartment. This work investigates the influence of time-dependent incident heat flux (HF) as a major cause of fire behave ; other characteristics such as smoke density and MLR have been taken into account as they reveal the amount of gaseous products during pyrolysis.

The results of this paper can be used in the preventive and operational fields in the pre-fire time and can also be used in the fields of investigation and environment in the following time. The results of this study can provide the bases for ventilation process and considerations of using natural woods in Jordan for different applications. The paper covers the experimental setups and methodology of the tested specimens and the overall results interpretations. Then, the conclusion of interpretations of the results at different conditions is presented. The work is completed through a number of tables, figures and charts, and through an updated and thorough reference list.

2 Theoretical Background

Please, Wood is a heterogeneous material and is also non-isotropic. It is a complex mixture of natural polymers with high molecular weight, the most important of which are cellulose (~50%), hemicellulose (~25%) and lignin (~25%) whose values vary by wood types. Providing information on the burning rate and heat release rate of combustible solids is a necessary factor in assessing flame behavior in practical situations and estimating the contribution that combustible materials can make towards fire development in a limited ventilation compartment [4]. Fire spread in woods is strongly dependent on the burning rate of wood and the ventilated mass transfer of oxygen. In this phenomenon, heat and mass transfer mechanisms are strongly combined. Changing any of these factors will fundamentally affect combustion dynamics and fire behavior [17].

For charring materials such as wood, the difference between the actual and ideal heats of combustion is due to distinctions in the combustion of the volatiles and subsequent oxidation of the char, and due to incomplete combustion.

\[
\text{Wood} + \text{Heat} \rightarrow \text{Volatile} + \text{Char}
\]

\[
\text{Volatile} + \text{Air} \rightarrow \text{Incomplete Products} + \Delta H_{c,\text{Vol}}
\]

\[
\text{Char} + \text{Air} \rightarrow \text{Incomplete Products} + \Delta H_{c,\text{Char}}
\]

\[
\Delta H_{c,\text{Wood}} = \Delta H_{c,\text{Vol}} + \Delta H_{c,\text{Char}}
\]

Where here the heats of combustion considered should all be expressed in terms of the mass of the original wood [18].

The heat release rate (\(\dot{Q}_c\)) may be expressed in terms of the primary variables, the burning rate of volatiles (\(m\)) and heat of combustion (\(\Delta H_c\)), thus:

\[
\dot{Q}_c = \dot{m} \chi \Delta H_c
\]

\[
\dot{Q}_c = m \chi \Delta H_c A_F
\]

Where \(\chi\) is an efficiency factor that takes into account incomplete combustion and \(A_F\) is the fuel surface area. The flame heat flux can be deduced from the steady burning rate data in which Equation (1) becomes,
\[ m = \frac{Q_c}{L_v} \]

Where \( L_v \) is the heat required to produce the volatiles or 'heat of gasification'.

The mass loss rate (MLR) is an important parameter for describing the heat release rate. The wood mass loss rate is given by:

\[ MLR = \frac{\text{Mass of wood before burning} - \text{Mass of wood after burning}}{\text{Time}} \]

The percentage mass loss rate (MLR %) is obtained from Equation (3).

\[ \text{MLR\%} = \left( \frac{\text{Mass of wood before burning} - \text{Mass of wood after burning}}{\text{Mass of wood before burning}} \right) \times 100 \]  

Three important thermal properties affecting the heat flow rate are: specific heat, thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity. The relationship between these properties is given by:

\[ \alpha = \frac{k}{\rho c} \]

Where \( \alpha \) = thermal diffusivity, \( \rho \) = density \( k \) = thermal conductivity and \( c \) = specific heat.

In fire scenarios, vents, solids and furnishings play an important role in fire growth, the time for materials to ignite helps to explain or predict the steps of fire growth [18]:

1. The solid is heated, raising its temperature to produce pyrolysis that contain gaseous fuel.
2. The evolved fuel vapor must be transported through the fluid boundary layer where the ventilated ambient air is mixed with the fuel.
3. Once the flammable mixture is at the pilot, there will be another moment in time for the chemical reaction to proceed to flaming combustion.

\[ t_{ig} = t_{py} + t_{mix} + t_{chem} \]  

Where:
\( t_{py} \) is the conduction heating time for the solid to achieve \( t_{py} \)

\( t_{mix} \) is the diffusion or transport time needed for the flammable fuel concentration and oxygen to reach the pilot
\( t_{chem} \) is the time needed for the flammable mixture to proceed to combustion once at the pilot

The burning of wood and charred materials is a time dependence, it is not steady [18]. Ignition time is some inverse function of heat flux, burning characteristics can be controlled by thermal properties, in particular, thermal inertia \( k\rho c \). This means; the faster the wood is heated, the sooner it ignites in which [18],

\[ m_F'' \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \]  

Smoke is a problem for evacuation efforts and firefighters’ ability to interfere because visibility decreases when the concentration of particles increases in compartment. To classify and select materials suitable for such cases, standard measurements of optical smoke density have been performed through which the amount of smoke can be determined by optical measurement and the flow of smoke or can be determined by burning objects directly. Test methods for measuring smoke are generally of two types [19]: First, those where light transmittance measurements are made directly on smoke aerosols. Second, those that can be weighed or measured through light transmission. In formulating the requirements for a suitable laboratory smoke meter, primary consideration should be given to the optical properties of the smoke, but it is necessary also to understand the nature of the visible target, the amount, and distribution of light, and certain psychophysical properties related to human visibility [19].

The yield of particulate smoke from a burning material is assessed by collecting the smoke in a known volume and determining its optical density under standardized conditions. Monitoring, the attenuation of a beam of light passing through the smoke is expressed as a percentage of overall Transmittance \( (T) \) and Specific Optical Density of smoke \( (D_s) \) as defined in Equations 6 and 7

\[ T\% = 100 \frac{1}{I_0} \]  

\[ D_s = \frac{V}{AL} \log_{10} \frac{I_0}{I} = \frac{V}{AL} \log_{10} \frac{100}{T} \]  

where \( V \) is the volume of the compartment in which the smoke accumulates \( (0.510 \text{ m}^3) \), \( A \) is the exposed surface area of the sample being tested \( (0.00424 \text{ m}^2) \), \( L \) is the length of the fire path used
to measure the percentage light transmittance, $T$ (0.914 m), $I_0$ is the light intensity before the test, and $I$ is the light intensity during the test (after absorption by the smoke).

The optical density is always given for a specific path length. The optical density per meter ($D_L$) is,

$$D_L = \frac{A D_S}{V} = L \log_{10} \frac{I_0}{I}$$ (8)

The generation of smoke in fires relates to a reduction in visibility. Visibility is considered as a main parameter that affects the time of escape, so the distance between escape routes. The recommended correlation between the optical density ($D_L$) and visibility in meter ($S$) through the smoke is given below [20]:

$$S = \frac{1}{D_L} = \frac{V}{A D_S} = \frac{120}{D_L}$$ (9)

To convert percentage of transmittance obtained to specific optical density ($D_s$), Beer's law is applied by the following equation:

$$D_s = G \log_{10} \left( \frac{100}{T \%} \right)$$ (10)

Where $G$ is geometrical factor for chamber (132) which would be directly proportional to the chamber volume in which the smoke is accumulating (0.510 m$^3$) and indirectly proportional to the optical path length of light (0.941 m) and exposed specimen surface area producing smoke (0.00424 m$^2$).

Another predictive parameter relates the specific optical density determined by the mass loss rate suggested by [Hao (1988)], which is known as the mass optical density (MOD) expressed in cm$^2$/g defined as:

$$MOD = \frac{A D_S}{m}$$ (11)

where $m =$ mass loss of specimen in gm.

3 Experimental Setup:

Experiments were carried out on the ignition of wood samples using the burning rate and the radiant heat flux in a smoke density chamber (SDC) apparatus shown in Figure 1.

The smoke-density chamber was developed to evaluate the potential amount of smoke generated from flammable substances in 1966 by Fire Research Section at the National Bureau of Standards (NBS) [21]. The apparatus shown in Figure 1 is located in the fire safety engineering laboratory at Prince Hussein Bin Abdullah II Academy of Civil Protection. The NBS Smoke Density Chamber is widely used to measure smoke development for different materials. It used to measure the burning rate, smoke density, transmittance and visibility. It consists of a sealed test enclosure, an electrical furnace emits constant heat flux with a constant capacity of (25 and 50) kW/m$^2$. A data acquisition system, a scanner and a (HP) software used in experimentation were used to monitor the required measurements.

Fig.1: Typical arrangement of test apparatus

The apparatus was calibrated and the samples were prepared and tested in accordance with the procedure described in ISO 5659 under Flaming and Non-Flaming combustion [9]. The experimental procedure consisted of exposing the samples, in the horizontal orientation, to a constant irradiance heat flux. The time was measured while the required data were recorded every two seconds during a 600 second period. The samples chosen were taken from Jordan wood market. Samples to be tested (75 x 75 x 10 mm) must be wrapped in aluminum foil to guarantee the insulated boundary condition and prevent dripping in a horizontal orientation. The samples were chosen based on statistical information of the Jordan commercial market. It is about 40% of the overall hardwood plywood industry in Jordan [9]. Smoke Density Chamber (SDC) apparatus in Figure 1 is commonly used to measure the burning rate, the specific optical density and transmittance. The conditions to which the specimens are exposed are:
- An irradiance of 25 kW/m² ±1 kW/m² in the presence of a pilot flame
- An irradiance of 50 kW/m² ±1 kW/m² in the presence of a pilot flame
- An irradiance of 50 kW/m² ±1 kW/m² in the absence of a pilot flame

Experiments to determine the MLR of the wood samples were performed together with the specific optical density experiments. During the tests, an electronic balance was located below the sample to obtain the mass data and other measurement was conducted at the same time. The mass of the samples was recorded using the mass balance that records the readings directly over the time. The mass readings were recorded every thirty seconds for about 600 seconds. After 600 seconds, the flux is turn off and the samples is drawn out. The output is as shown in figure 2 for unpainted case and figure 3 for painted one. By the results, the paint reduces the amount of volatiles and increases the amount of char to protect the wood as shown in Figure 3.

3 Results and Discussion

Wood is a natural organic and isotropic composite material that consists of cellulose fibers and lignin. Burning of wood always requires chemical decomposition to produce fuel vapors (the volatiles) that can escape from the surface to burn in the flames. There is a great variation in the composition and structure of wood of different species. The structure of hemicellulose is similar, based on pentose sugars, but that of lignin is considerably more complex. They release heat at different temperatures, hemicellulose decomposes first [200 – 260°C] followed by cellulose [240 – 350°C], and lignin [280 – 500°C] due to its heavily cross-linked structure and high molecular weight [4] and [22]. The burning rate of wood samples is often dependent on the thermal properties of the wood samples. It was calculated through the calorific values [4], density and thermal conductivity. Density and thermal conductivity were obtained, experimentally, as listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Wood properties that used in the experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Heating Value MJ/kg</th>
<th>Thermal conductivity W/m °K</th>
<th>Density kg/m³</th>
<th>Thermal Inertia kρc</th>
<th>Thermal diffusivity m²/sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beech</td>
<td>20.380</td>
<td>0.1397</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>2277</td>
<td>8.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>19.030</td>
<td>0.1051</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>1192</td>
<td>8.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhamnus</td>
<td>25.217</td>
<td>0.1048</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>9.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abies</td>
<td>26.330</td>
<td>0.1047</td>
<td>409</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td>9.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1 Burning Rate and Mass Loss Rate (MLR)

Burning rate (BR) and Mass loss rate (MLR) have been considered as an ignition standard of wood since it reveals the amount of pyrolysis and gaseous products during combustion [16]. MLR is an important factor in achieving an appropriate volatile concentration of fuel and ventilated air, which leads to the oxidation of pyrolysis gases and thus to Flaming combustion. The results are presented in terms of mass measurements for the representative tests with time as shown in figures (4, 5 and 6). These particular tests were conducted with a Flaming and Non-Flaming heat flux of 25 and 50 kW/m². As the wood sample is heated by heat flux, it loses mass due to pyrolysis production and energy conversions. As indicated in Figures 4, 5 and 6, the
samples mass loss for both Flaming and Non-Flaming ignitions increases as the heat flux increases for all levels of heat flux. The results show the mass of each type of wood decreases with the time of burning due to further oxidative pyrolysis that occurs. Smoke production depends on burning materials, burning rate and other factors including ventilation, oxygen supply and type of combustion.

A slight change has been occurred at the beginning (60 s) due to time needed for fuel (wood sample), oxygen and heat to combine, at which moisture is evaporated and the wood is progressively heated and changes begin to occur in its structure. The results show the burning rate of Beech is slightly significant and the behaviors of Rhamnus and Oak were in similar trends. The results show the burning rate of Beech is (1.86 gm./min) that has the higher thermal inertia (2277), and Abies is (0.84 gm./min) that has the lower thermal inertia (1127), which is comparatively low compared with the other types of wood samples.

The temporal variations of mass and MLR for various tested specimens under the same conditions of constant heat flux of (25 kW/m$^2$) in horizontal orientation are presented in Figure 4. If the surface of a wood is vertical, the interaction between the flame and the wood is quite different. As an absolute value, Beech has lost, from its mass, more than other sample (18.6 gm.). It exhibited a high percentage of burning rate reaches 41.7% of its initial mass, which is considered the greatest mass loss among all samples, since it has the lower density and has the lower percentages of lignin 22%.

Abies sample has lost 36.9% during 600 seconds of burning, which is considered the lowest mass loss among all samples. This can be explained by the lower thermal inertia of Abies. Abies wood has higher percentages of lignin 31.08% [23] that consumes the most of energy released in oxidation of the char, which would normally burn slowly in a real fire. It influences the combustibility ratio by altering $\Delta H_c$ and $L_v$ and releases volatiles at higher temperatures, typically (280 – 500 °C). Where the mass loss of major wood compounds took place, the highest being recorded at 280 °C [23] since lignin is known by a higher heating capacity compared to the cellulose and hemicellulose. By the time the MLR becomes limited since the layer closed to the surface is oxidized and turned into ash, which affects the pyrolysis process significantly.

4.2 Effect of Heat Flux (HF)

The spread of fire depends heavily on the heat flux and fuel burning rate, which is strongly associated with the heat transfer and mass transport mechanisms. Both mechanisms increase the amount of fuel vapor required for combustion [17]. Heat flux is an important variable in fire growth and its determination is necessary for many problems. It depends on scale (laminar or turbulent beam length), material (soot, combustion products) and flow features (geometric, natural or forced). It is these heat fluxes that promote ignition, flame spread and burning rate [18]. Figures 5 and 6 show the mass measurements for two illustrative tests. These particular tests were performed with a heat flux of 50 kW/m$^2$.

In Figure 5, the heat flux was doubled (50 kW/m$^2$). As seen by the figure, the MLR has been affected significantly by the changed in heat flux [16]. MLR and MLR% are more pronounced by high heat fluxes. For high heat fluxes, ignition takes place away from the surface in a short time. In the case of low heat fluxes, ignition occurs near the surface and takes a long time [24]. As seen in Figure 5, the mass of the samples is decreased by the burning time. Which depends mainly on the amount of heat flux, the duration of interaction with the heat source, the thermal properties of the boundaries and the ability to cause smoldering combustion. As shown in Figure 5, the turn in the MLR curve at about 30 seconds indicates ignition (Flashing ignition), after that, the MLR dramatically increases. The results showed that the percentage loss of Rhamnus (38.6 %) and Abies (38.9 %) were close.
It shows the mass gradients are similar, despite the variations in thermal properties.

Figures 5 shows the MLR measurements as a time variation for a typical 50 kW/m² heat flux test. As shown in Figure 5, the samples mass appear to decrease depending on the time of the burning, which depends mainly on the duration of interaction with the heat source, the thermal properties of the wood samples and the ability to reach the burning combustion. The results show that the mass gradient of beech samples is still more significant. The results show that the beech MLR is the highest among them (3.38 g/min) and that of Abies is the lowest among them (1.21 g/min). Figure 5 shows no significant change in MLR and mass gradient for both Rhamnus and Abies. Since Abies is the least density and thermal inertia among them, it lost 56.8% during 600 seconds of burning, were the thermal inertia kpc is significant, which increases as temperature increases. By comparing the results of Figure 5 with the results of Figure 4, the results show the MLR depends on time and the imposed surface heat flux.

![Fig. 5: Temporal mass loss for wood samples at constant Flaming heat flux of 50 kW/m².](image)

Figure 6 illustrates the time variations of MLR for wood samples exposed to Non-Flaming combustion heat flux (50 kW/m²). Figure 6 shows the effect of Non-Flaming heat flux (50 kW/m²) on MLR as it reaches 74.6% for beech wood. It reflects the amount of heat flux with no effect of the Flaming combustion. The results show that the mass gradient of Beech samples is still more significant (3.38 g/min) and that of Abies (1.21 g/min) is still relatively the lower among all samples. The comparison of results in Figure 6 with those shown in Figure 5 illustrates the ideal experimental data for the MLR of both Flaming and Non-Flaming combustion at 50 kW/m². Both types of Flaming combustion act in the same way. Changing Flaming combustion (from Flaming to Non-Flaming) at the same heat flux levels has little impact on wood fire behaviors. Referring to equation 3, this is clearly true because the MLR is based on the amount of heat generated and the heat of gasification rather than the Flaming conditions. Therefore, radiate heat flux is significant regardless its Flaming condition.

![Fig. 6: Temporal mass loss for wood samples at constant Non-Flaming heat flux of 50 kW/m².](image)

MLR is an ignition parameter that indicates the rate of heat release and changes in flame temperature that increase as heat flux increases. Tables 2 and 3 summarize the numerical results of Figures 4, 5 and 6 for efficient comparisons, comments and recommendations. The samples were exposed to continuous heat fluxes of (25 and 50 kW/m²). Comparing the MLRs results for wood samples under heat flux in Flaming and Non-Flaming cases show a significant effect for the amount of heat flux despite the change in Flaming conditions.

Table 2 shows the mass loss rates (MLR) and percentage mass loss (MLR%) for the different samples, with different radiative heat fluxes. Tests were performed at 25 and 50 kW/m² during 600 seconds. The results in Table 2 show the MLRs as the external radiant heat flux changes at the same Flaming conditions. During Flaming combustion, the samples showed a high increase in the burning rate and the mass loss rate. This increase in the rate
of mass loss increases as the heat flux increases due to the increase in the amount of activated gases and pyrolysis produced during combustion as shown in figures 4, 5 and 6. As shown in Table 2, increasing heat flux levels from 25 kW/m² to 50 kW/m² has a significant effect on wood fire behaviors. During Flaming combustion, samples at heat flow of (50 kW/m²) have lost a larger MLR% than that at (25 kW/m²) where the increases in MLR depends on the heat release rate and the level of heat flux.

Table 2: MLR and percentage loss for Flaming combustion at 25 and 50 kW/m² heat flux.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat Flux in W/m²</th>
<th>Beech</th>
<th>Abies</th>
<th>Rhamnus</th>
<th>Oak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flaming 25</td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaming 50</td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaming 25</td>
<td>MLR%</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>38.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaming 50</td>
<td>MLR%</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>64.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 shows MLR and MLR% for the different samples, with different Flaming conditions at the same heat flux. Tests were performed at constant heat flux of (50 kW/m²) during 600 seconds. Samples showed an equal amount of MLR% despite the different Flaming conditions. At a maximum, MLR% of beech has increased from 41.7% to 74.3% with increasing the Flaming heat flux from 25 to 50 kW/m². The MLR% has decreased from 74.3% to 74.2% as ignition changed from Flaming to Non-Flaming combustion at 50 kW/m². This indicates that the rate of change in MLR% with Flaming conditions does not depend entirely on ignition conditions. Referring to equations 1 and 2, this is mathematically true.

Table 3: MLR and percentage loss for Flaming and Non-Flaming combustion at 50 kW/m² heat flux.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heat Flux in W/m²</th>
<th>Beech</th>
<th>Abies</th>
<th>Rhamnus</th>
<th>Oak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flaming 50</td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Flaming 50</td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Loss</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flaming 50</td>
<td>MLR%</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>58.7</td>
<td>64.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non Flaming 50</td>
<td>MLR%</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>63.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Specific optical density

Smoke is a key factor in the success of escape from fire. If the fire is in its early stages, the biggest problem people are challenged with escaping from fire is the low visibility associated with the intensity of smoke. Reduction of visibility range by smoke makes people evacuation from building difficult or even impossible [25]. Furniture and limited ventilation are the potential source of smoke, which is one of the main causes of loss of life in fire whose components involve wood. Therefore, samples of wood that is widely used in furniture in Jordan were tested concurrently with the MLR using a smoke density chamber.

There is a wide variation in the composition and structures of wood, the source of the different samples. The rate of smoke generated from these samples depends on the chemical properties of wood as well as their physical properties. The specific optical density was recorded using a data logger connected to the smoke density chamber used to determine the smoke generated by wood samples. Readings were recorded every thirty seconds for approximately 600 seconds.

An almost identical trend was observed for the smoke accumulation% against time curves for all samples tested as shown in Figure 7. A linear increase in the smoke generation process was observed, resulting in a linear relationship that continued over time until the final reading of the accumulated smoke was reached.

Fig. 7: Smoke percentage accumulation at constant Flaming heat flux of 25 kW/m².
In this work, several experiments have been conducted to study the amount of smoke concentrated over time in a particular experimental case. The results show the transmittance and the amount of specific optical density over time for both Flaming and Non Flaming combustion. Fire Testing Technology (FTT) is used for wood samples using radiating heat flux in horizontal orientation within an adiabatic chamber of fixed volume. A column light beam and photomultiplier tube were oriented vertically into the chamber to reduce the stratified effects of smoke. It measures the percent light of transmittance and specific optical density (SOD) by computing the concentration of smoke generated from wood samples during experiments by the received heat flux.

The most common method to measure the density of smoke today is to use a light source and a photoelectric cell. The electrical output of the cell can be used as a measure of light dilution due to the intensity of smoke. Attempts have been made to relate the percent light transmittance to the optical density and quantitative smoke concentration.

The smoke produced during a fire is caused by the combustion of burning materials in limited ventilation compartment and by the pyrolysis of these materials which receive radiation of the flame. This smoke is a problem to the evacuation efforts and to firemen intervention because visibility decreases when the concentration of particles increases. In order to classify and to select the best suited materials for these situations, standard measurements of specific optical density are performed [9].

The results show the rate of specific optical density over time and comparisons between the amount of smoke produced during flaming and Non-Flaming combustion. Figures 8, 9 and 10 show the optical density of smoke per sample of wood depending on different heat fluxes and flaming conditions. Figure 8 shows the optical density of smoke for wood samples at a thermal heat flux of (25 kW/m²). As the results show, the general trends of changes in the specific optical density over time are similar in all samples. The results show the specific optical density increases with the burning time to maximum value and then decline. This is due to the rise in temperature, which breaks the chemical chains between the sample atoms, resulting in an increase in the burning rate and the amount of smoke produced from the sample.

There was a considerable change at the beginning of the 60 seconds, which is due to the time required for fuel (wood sample), oxygen and heat to combine and then increases rapidly to reach their higher values. According to Figures 6 and 8, beech, which has the higher values of mass loss rate, has lower values of specific optical density (5.587) compared to other samples. Rhamnus has the highest value (28.61) and grew even early in (60 seconds).

The same result shown in Figure 8 is repeated in Figure 9 despite the change in the external thermal flow from 25 to 50 kW/m². In this study, the samples were exposed horizontally and the heat flux radiated vertically down to the surface of the sample. Horizontal orientation causes stronger interaction between external radiation and decomposition products. As shown in Figure 9, the specific optical density in the samples appears to increase with the time of burning. It depends on the temperature, duration of interaction with the heat source, thermal properties of the boundaries and the ability to reach the smoldering combustion. This can be due to changes in gas composition and particle distribution before and after ignition. However, no sudden increase in the specific optical density was observed.

Initially, the specific optical density increases slowly, and then becomes more and more significant. Wood samples behave in the same trends despite variances in physical characteristics except beech, which behave in different way. The measured peak values of beech wood are up to (159.2 in 480 seconds) with a sudden increase observed at 330 seconds while it (28.7 after 60

![Fig. 8: Specific optical density of samples at constant Flaming heat flux of 25 kW/m².](image-url)
seconds) for Abies, which releases volatile at higher temperatures. Figure 9 shows no significant difference in specific optical density between Oak and Rhamnus.

![Fig. 9: Specific optical density of samples at constant Flaming heat flux of 50 kW/m².](image1)

By comparing the results of Figure 9 with those of Figure 8, the results show that increased heat flow levels from 25 kW/m² to 50 kW/m² have a significant impact on fire behaviors and smoke optical density. The results show the gap between the curves of 25 kW/m² and 50 kW/m² is large and depends on wood types since the incident radiation has a very strong effect on the growth of decomposition products within the wood.

![Fig. 10: Specific optical density of samples at constant Non-Flaming heat flux of 50 kW/m².](image2)

Figure 10 shows the results of specific optical density that cover the Non-Flaming combustion heat flux of (50 kW/m²). Peak values reach 707.2 for beech at 450 seconds despite ignition time started late. And those for Abies reach 106.2 at 180 seconds, which are relatively low compared to other species. Large amount of smoke has been produced in Non-Flaming combustion due to the smoldering combustion. This explains the increase of smoke concentration in the box thus an increase of specific optical density. Table 4 and 5 summarize the results obtained from figures 8, 9 and 10. The results included in these tables show the significant impact of flaming conditions despite the constant stability of the heat flux of (50 kW/m²).

Equation 11 shows the mass optical density (MOD) is proportional to the specific optical density and therefore proportional to time. However, there is a stronger dependence of MOD on heat flux and flaming conditions. Table 4 shows the estimated results of MOD and MOD ratios in different flaming conditions. For beech wood, the MOD ratio based on the maximum values is the highest 5.13; as for the Abies, it's 3.77. Based on the average MOD values, it is 5.58 for Beech and 3.61 for Abies.

Table 5 represents the values of maximum optical density and visibility resulting from the experiment under the specific test condition according to ISO5659. This result show that all samples produce smoke in larger amount for Non-Flaming combustion. Comparing the results of Figure 10 with those in Figure 9, changing ignition conditions at the same flux levels of 50 kW/m² has a significant impact on wood fire behaviors and smoke optical density. Visibility is not an output parameter that is measured directly from the apparatus. It is calculated or estimated using models taking as inputs of optical density, transmittance or smoke concentration. It has been calculated using equation 9, and is presented in table 5. Although the flaming conditions have little effect on the MLR% listed in Table 3, they have a significant effect on the specific optical density and therefore on visibility. Visibility values are lower for Non-Flaming Combustion, and the lowest value is for beech wood (0.17 m).
4.4 Transmittance

Experiments were conducted to determine the transmittance of wood samples in parallel with the MLR and smoke optical density. The results are presented in terms of transmittance over time for different thermal and flaming conditions, as shown in figures 11, 12 and 13.

Figure 11 shows the transmittance of each sample as a time variation under constant Flaming combustion heat flux of (25 kW/m²). As the results show, the general trends of transmittance variations decrease by the time with significant quantities for all samples.

This is due to the increased concentration of smoke particles produced, which reduces the transmitted light sent to the spectrometer and because of the increase in temperature, which breaks the chemical chains between the sample atoms, resulting in an increase in the burning rate and the amount of smoke produced. It varies from sample to sample depending on the mass burning rate and volumetric flow rate to form smoke in the chamber. In this case, transmittance decreases strongly over time due to low oxygen concentration and high levels of unburned fuel in smoke generated [9].

An insignificant change was happened at the beginning of (60 s). After that, a considerable change was happened at the next (60 s) then it decreased rapidly due to the high burning rate to reach its lower values. In (120 s) later, transmittance increases recently due to the formation of a char layer on the surface of wood samples. In this case, the amount of oxygen flowing under the char layer is reduced, which reduces the influence of heat to the decomposing wood, and reduces the apparent heat of gasification. According to Figure 11, Rhamnus, which has the higher values of MLR, has the lower value of transmittance (68.8%) compared to other samples. While beech has higher value (91.7%) reached after (600 seconds).

Figure 12 shows the transmittance of each sample as a time variation under constant Flaming heat flux of (50 kW/m²). As shown in Figure 12, the transmittance of the samples decreases by the time. It depends, mainly, on the temperature and duration of the interaction with the heat source. However, no sudden changes in transmittance were observed. Initially, the transmittance decreases slowly, and then becomes more significant. The measured reduction value of the beech is (6.72%) in 600 seconds with a sudden increase observed between 270 and 420 seconds whereas it is (70.8%) for Abies. Figure 12 shows no significant difference in transmission between Oak and Rhamnus.

### Table 4: Maximum and average mass optical density for wood sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of wood sample</th>
<th>Maximum optical density at 50 kW/m² heat flux</th>
<th>Maximum visibility (m) at 50 kW/m² heat flux</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beech</td>
<td>140.4</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>53.1</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhamnus</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abies</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>4.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5: Maximum optical density and Visibility for wood sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of wood</th>
<th>Maximum mass optical density at 50 kW/m² heat flux</th>
<th>MOD Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beech</td>
<td>0.0174</td>
<td>0.0893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
<td>0.0422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhamnus</td>
<td>0.0129</td>
<td>0.0511</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abies</td>
<td>0.0098</td>
<td>0.0369</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of wood</th>
<th>Average mass optical density at 50 kW/m² heat flux</th>
<th>MOD Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beech</td>
<td>0.0104</td>
<td>0.0580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>0.0270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhamnus</td>
<td>0.0108</td>
<td>0.0465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abies</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>0.0300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
By comparing these results with those of Figure 11, doubling the heat flux levels from 25 to 50 kW/m² has a significant effect on both transmittance and visibility. The strong relationship between sample transmittance and the incident radiation is clearly observed during the Flaming combustion. As analyzed earlier, at (120 s), the transmittance of combusted samples increases again to higher values due to the building up of char layer at the wood surface. Beech behaves differently due to the appearance of small cracks in the char layer perpendicular to the direction of the grain. This allows volatile materials to escape easily through the surface of the char layer, which increases the heat in the wood and increases the apparent heat of gasification [4].

During Non-Flaming combustion heat flux of (50 kW/m²), the smoke produced reduces the transmitted light transferred to the spectrometer. As shown in Figure 13, at the beginning of the test (t<30 s), the transmittance is relatively high. After that transmittance decreases strongly over time. With the present Non-Flaming combustion, it is suspected that the main content of the smoke is in a condensed phase as small droplet suspended in air. This can be explained by the multiple dispersion that occurs when the concentration of smoke is high enough since transmittance increases as the wavelength of the violet spectrum decreases [9]. In Figure 13, Non-Flaming heat flux of (50 kW/m²) has a significant effect on the percentage of transmittance. Its low values are 0.01% for Beech and 2.25% for Rhamnus.

Comparing the results of Figure 13 with those of Figure 12, the results reflect the effect of Non-Flaming combustion heat flux on transmittance. Both types of flaming combusions behave with the same trends but with different percentage values as described in Table 6. During Non-Flaming combustion, the samples evolved a high amount of smoke and smoke optical density, resulting in reduced transmittance. This is due to the building up
of the char layer on the wood surface and the effect of smoldering and limited ventilation. Smoldering occurs due to small cracks that appear in the char. In this case, pyrolysis without combustion, after exposure to an external heat source, increases the specific optical density and reduces visibility [4].

Table 6: Minimum transmittance for wood samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of wood sample</th>
<th>Transmittance % at 50 kW/m² heat flux</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flaming Combustion</td>
<td>Non-Flaming Combustion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beech</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhamnus</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abies</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Conclusions

In this work, mass loss rate, mass flux, mass optical density, transmittance and specific optical density of wood samples were measured under external heat variation in limited ventilation compartment. An experimental work was carried out in the smoke density chamber of the Prince Hussein Bin Abdullah II Academy of Civil Protection. The experimental results demonstrated changes in mass loss rate, specific optical density and transmittances with time for a specified period up to 600 seconds. The samples were exposed to a Flaming combustion of 25 and 50 kW/m² and Non-Flaming combustion of 50 kW/m² in the horizontal orientation. The following conclusions were reached.

1. The Flaming conditions have no significant effect on the burning rate and mass loss rate when the samples receive the same heat flux while it has a significant effect on both specific optical density and transmittance.
2. The percentage mass loss rate (MLR %) was seen to increase with heat flux despite varies flaming conditions.
3. Horizontal orientation causes the strongest interaction between the external radiation and the decomposition products.
4. Beech has the lower values of specific optical density despite it has the higher values of MLR% and MOD compared to other samples studied.
5. There is a stronger dependence of MOD on heat flux and flaming conditions.

References


Creative Commons Attribution License
4.0 (Attribution 4.0 International, CC BY 4.0)

This article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en%20_US