

On some properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by using the subordination principle

RABHA EL-ASHWAH
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Damietta University,
Damietta 34517
EGYPT
r_elashwah@yahoo.com

ALAA HASSAN
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Zagazig University,
Zagazig 44519
EGYPT
alaahassan1986@yahoo.com

Abstract: - In this paper, we introduce some new subclasses of analytic functions related to starlike, convex, close-to-convex and quasi-convex functions defined by using a generalized operator and the differential subordination principle. Inclusion relationships for these subclasses are established. Moreover, we introduce some integral-preserving properties.

Key-Words: - Starlike function; Convex function; Close-to-convex function; Quasi-convex function; Subordination principle.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{A} denotes the class of functions $f(z)$ which are analytic in $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and be given by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1)$$

Also, for $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1$, let $S^*(\alpha)$, $C(\alpha)$, $K(\beta, \alpha)$ and $K^*(\beta, \alpha)$ denote, respectively, the well-known subclasses of \mathbb{A} consisting of univalent functions which are starlike of order α , convex of order α , close-to-convex order β and type α and quasi-convex of order β and type α (see [23], [28], [32], [34], [38], [40], [43], and [44] etc.).

Let M be the class of all functions φ which are analytic and univalent in U and for which $\varphi(U)$ is convex with $\varphi(0) = 1$ and $Re\{\varphi(z)\} > 0$; $z \in U$.

We begin with recalling the principle of subordination between analytic functions.

Definition 1. For two functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, analytic in U , we say that $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in U , written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists an analytic function $w(z)$ in U , satisfying the following conditions: $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$.

In particular, If $g(z)$ is univalent in U , then $f \prec g$, if and only if (see [31] and [6]) $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(U) \subset g(U)$.

Definition 2. Making use of Definition 1, several authors have investigated the subclasses $S^*(\alpha; \varphi)$, $C(\alpha; \varphi)$, $K(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi)$ and $K^*(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi)$ of the class \mathbb{A} for $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1$ and $\varphi, \psi \in M$, which are defined as follows (see [9], [10], [11], [20], and [27]):

$$S^*(\alpha; \varphi) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right) \prec \varphi(z) \right. \\ \left. (0 \leq \alpha < 1, \varphi \in M, z \in U) \right\},$$

$$C(\alpha; \varphi) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right) \prec \varphi(z) \right. \\ \left. (0 \leq \alpha < 1, \varphi \in M, z \in U) \right\},$$

$$K(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } \exists g(z) \in S^*(\alpha, \varphi); \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \beta \right) \prec \psi(z) (0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1, \psi \in M, z \in U) \right\},$$

and

$$K^*(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } \exists g(z) \in C(\alpha, \varphi); \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \beta \right) \prec \psi(z) (0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1, \psi \in M, z \in U) \right\}.$$

In particular, for $\varphi(z) = \psi(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$, we obtain the familiar classes $S^*(\alpha), C(\alpha), K(\beta, \alpha)$ and $K^*(\beta, \alpha)$, respectively.

Furthermore, if we set $\alpha = 0$ and $\varphi(z) = \psi(z) = (1+Az)/(1-Bz)$ ($-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$), we obtain the following function classes:

$$S^*\left(0, \frac{1+Az}{1-Bz}\right) = S^*(A, B) \text{ and } C\left(0, \frac{1+Az}{1-Bz}\right) = C(A, B),$$

which were introduced by Janowski [18] (see also [17]).

Following the recent work of El-Ashwah and Aouf [14] and [13, with $p = 1$], for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\lambda > 0, l > -1$ and for function

$f(z) \in \mathbb{A}$ given by (1.1), the integral operator

$L_{\lambda, l}^m : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ is defined as follows:

$$L_{\lambda, l}^m f(z) = \begin{cases} f(z), & m = 0, \\ \frac{z^{l+1}}{\lambda} \int_0^z t^{-\frac{l+1}{\lambda}} L_{\lambda, l}^{m-1} f(t) dt, & m = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

It is clear from (1.2) that:

$$L_{\lambda, l}^m f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{l+1}{l+\lambda(n-1)+1} \right)^m a_n z^n \quad (3)$$

Also, for $\mu > 0$ and $a, c \in \mathbb{C}$, are such that $\text{Re}\{c-a\} \geq 0, \text{Re}\{a\} > -\mu$ Raina and Sharma [39] defined the integral operator $J_{\mu}^{a, c} : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$, as follows:

$$J_{\mu}^{a, c} f(z) = \begin{cases} f(z); & a = c, \\ \frac{\Gamma(c+\mu)}{\Gamma(a+\mu)} \frac{1}{\Gamma(c-a)} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{c-a-1} t^{a-1} f(zt^{\mu}) dt; & \text{Re}\{c-a\} > 0. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

For $f(z)$ defined by (1.1), it is easily from (1.4) that:

$$J_{\mu}^{a, c} f(z) = z + \frac{\Gamma(c+\mu)}{\Gamma(a+\mu)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n\mu)}{\Gamma(c+n\mu)} a_n z^n. \quad (5)$$

($\mu > 0; a, c \in \mathbb{C}; \text{Re}\{c-a\} \geq 0; \text{Re}\{a\} > -\mu$)

By combining the two linear operators $L_{\lambda, l}^m$ and $J_{\mu}^{a, c}$, we define the generalized operator

$$I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, \mu) : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A},$$

is defined for the purpose of this paper as following:

$$I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, \mu) f(z) = L_{\lambda, l}^m(J_{\mu}^{a, c} f(z)) = J_{\mu}^{a, c}(L_{\lambda, l}^m f(z)), \quad (6)$$

which can be easily expressed as follows:

$$I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, \mu) f(z) = z + \frac{\Gamma(c+\mu)}{\Gamma(a+\mu)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+n\mu)}{\Gamma(c+n\mu)} \left(\frac{l+1}{l+\lambda(n-1)+1} \right)^m a_n z^n, \quad (7)$$

($\mu > 0; a, c \in \mathbb{C}; \text{Re}\{c-a\} \geq 0; \text{Re}\{a\} > -\mu; \lambda > 0; l > -1; m \in \mathbb{N}_0$).

In view of (1.3), (1.5) and (1.6), it is clear that:

$$I_{\lambda, l}^0(a, c, \mu) f(z) = J_{\mu}^{a, c} f(z) \text{ and } I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, a, \mu) f(z) = L_{\lambda, l}^m f(z).$$

The importance of the operator $I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, \mu)$ comes from its generalization of a lot of previous operators, as follows:

(i) $I_{\lambda, l}^m(\nu-1, 0, 1) f(z) = I_{\lambda, l, \nu}^m f(z)$ ($\lambda > 0; l > -1; \nu > 0; m \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (see Aouf and El-Ashwah [2]);

(ii) $I_{1, l}^s(\nu-1, 0, 1) f(z) = I_{l, \nu}^s f(z)$ ($l > -1; \nu > 0; s \in \mathbb{R}$) (see Cho and Kim [9]);

(iii) $I_{\lambda, 0}^m(\nu-1, 0, 1) f(z) = I_{\lambda, \nu}^m f(z)$ ($\lambda > 0; \nu > 0; m \in \mathbb{Z}$) (see Aouf et al. [4]);

(iv) $I_{\lambda, l}^{-n}(a, a, \mu) f(z) = I^n(\lambda, l) f(z)$ ($\lambda > 0; l > -1; n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (see Catas [8]);

(v) $I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, a, \mu) f(z) = J^m(\lambda, l) f(z)$ ($\lambda > 0; l > -1; m \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (see El-Ashwah and Aouf [14]);

(vi) $I_{\lambda, 0}^{-n}(a, a, \mu) f(z) = I_{\lambda}^n f(z)$ ($\lambda > 0; n \in \mathbb{Z}$) (see Patel [37]);

(vii) $I_{1, \alpha-1}^{\nu}(a, a, \mu) f(z) = L_{\alpha}^{\nu} f(z)$ ($\nu > 0; \alpha > 0$) (see Komatu [21], see also Aouf [1]);

(viii) $I_{1, 1}^{\sigma}(a, a, \mu) f(z) = L^{\sigma} f(z)$ ($\sigma > 0$) (see Jung et al. [19], see also Liu [24]);

(ix) $I_{1, 1}^{\beta}(a, a, \mu) f(z) = L^{\beta} f(z)$ ($\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$) (see Uralegaddi and Somanatha [46], Flett [15]);

(x) $I_{1, 0}^n(a, a, \mu) f(z) = I^n f(z)$ and $I_{1, 0}^{-n}(a, a, \mu) f(z) = D^n f(z)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (see Salagean [42]);

(xi) $I_{1, l}^{\nu}(a, a, \mu) f(z) = P_l^{\nu} f(z)$ ($\nu > 0; l > -1$) (see Gao et al. [16]);

(xii) $I_{1, \sigma}^1(a, a, \mu) f(z) = L_{\sigma} f(z)$ ($\sigma > 0$) (see Owa and Srivastava [36] and Srivastava and Owa [45]);

(xiii) $I_{\lambda, l}^0(\beta, \alpha + \beta - \gamma + 1, 1) f(z) = \mathfrak{R}_{\beta}^{\alpha, \gamma} f(z)$ ($\gamma > 0; \alpha \geq \gamma - 1; \beta > -1$) (see Aouf et al. [3]);

(xiv) $I_{\lambda, l}^0(\beta, \alpha + \beta, 1) f(z) = Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z)$ ($\alpha \geq 0; \beta > -1$) (see Liu and Owa [25], see also Jung et al. [19] and Li [22]);

(xv) $I_{\lambda,l}^0(a-1,c-1)f(z) = L(a,c)f(z)$ ($a,c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $\mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$) (see Carlson and Shaffer [7]);

(xvi) $I_{\lambda,l}^0(v-1,\eta,1)f(z) = I_{\eta,v}f(z)$ ($v > 0; \eta > -1$) (see Choi et al. [11]);

(xvii) $I_{\lambda,l}^0(\alpha,0,1)f(z) = D^\alpha f(z)$ ($\alpha > -1$) (see Ruscheweyh [41]);

(xviii) $I_{\lambda,l}^0(1,n,1)f(z) = D^n f(z)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}_0$) (see Noor [33] and Noor and Noor [35]).

Thus, the new results obtained in this paper can ensure the results obtained in the earlier works also introduce new results of the other well-known operators as special choices of the parameters $a, c, \mu, m, l, \lambda, \varphi$, and ψ .

Using (1.7), we can obtain the following recurrence relations, which are needed for our proofs in following two sections:

$$z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(a,c,\mu)f(z) \right)' = \frac{1+l}{\lambda} I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,\mu)f(z) - \frac{1+l-\lambda}{\lambda} I_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(a,c,\mu)f(z), \quad (8)$$

$$z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,\mu)f(z) \right)' = \frac{a+\mu}{\mu} I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,\mu)f(z) - \frac{a}{\mu} I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,\mu)f(z). \quad (9)$$

Definition 3. For $\mu > 0, a, c \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Re}\{c-a\} \geq 0, \operatorname{Re}\{a\} > -\mu, \lambda > 0, l > -1, 0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and the operator $I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,\mu)f(z)$ defined by (1.12), we introduce the following subclasses of the normalized analytic functions class \mathbb{A} , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)f(z) \in S^*(\alpha, \varphi) \right\}, \\ & C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)f(z) \in C(\alpha; \varphi) \right\}, \\ & K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)f(z) \in K(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi) \\ &= \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)f(z) \in K^*(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the subclasses defined above, we note that:

$$f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi), \quad (10)$$

and

$$f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi). \quad (11)$$

Remark 1. If we set $a = c$ in Definition 1, we obtain the following subclasses of \mathbb{A} :

$$S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; \varphi) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } L_{\lambda,l}^m f(z) \in S^*(\alpha, \varphi) \right\},$$

$$C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; \varphi) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } L_{\lambda,l}^m f(z) \in C(\alpha; \varphi) \right\},$$

$$K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } L_{\lambda,l}^m f(z) \in K(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi) \right\},$$

$$K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } L_{\lambda,l}^m f(z) \in K^*(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi) \right\}.$$

Where $L_{\lambda,l}^m f(z)$ is defined by (1.7).

Remark 2. If we set $m = 0$ in Definition 1, we obtain the following subclasses of \mathbb{A} :

$$S^*(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } J_{\mu}^{a,c} f(z) \in S^*(\alpha; \varphi) \right\},$$

$$C(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } J_{\mu}^{a,c} f(z) \in C(\alpha; \varphi) \right\},$$

$$K(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } J_{\mu}^{a,c} f(z) \in K(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi) \right\},$$

$$K^*(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbb{A} \text{ and } J_{\mu}^{a,c} f(z) \in K^*(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi) \right\}.$$

Where $J_{\mu}^{a,c} f(z)$ is defined by (1.10).

In order to introduce our main results, we shall need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 (see [12]). *Let h be a convex univalent function in U with $h(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{\mu h(z) + \nu\} > 0$ ($\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{C}$). If p is an analytic function in U with $p(0) = 1$, then*

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\mu p(z) + \nu} \prec h(z); \quad z \in U,$$

implies that

$$p(z) \prec h(z); \quad z \in U.$$

Lemma 2 (see [29] and [30]). *Let h be a convex function in U with $h(0) = 1$. Suppose also that w be an analytic function in U with $\operatorname{Re}\{w(z)\} \geq 0$ ($z \in U$). If p is an analytic function in U with $p(0) = 1$, then*

$$p(z) + w(z)zp'(z) \prec h(z); \quad z \in U,$$

implies that

$$p(z) \prec h(z); \quad z \in U.$$

2 Inclusion Relationships

Unless otherwise mentioned, we shall assume throughout the paper that $\mu > 0, a, c \in \mathbb{C}$,

$\operatorname{Re}\{c-a\} \geq 0, \operatorname{Re}\{a\} > -\mu, \lambda > 0, l > -1, 0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1,$
 $m \in \mathbb{N}_0, f(z) \in \mathbb{A}$ and $\varphi(z), \psi(z) \in M$. In this section,
 we give several inclusion relationships for analytic
 function classes, which are associated with the
 generalized operator $I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)$ defined by (1.12).

Theorem 1. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \min \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{a}{\mu}\right\} + \alpha}{\alpha - 1}, \frac{\frac{1+l-\lambda}{\lambda} + \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \right\}$.

Then

$$S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \varphi) \subset S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) \subset S_{\lambda,l}^{*m+1}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi). \quad (12)$$

Proof. We begin with proving that

$$S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \varphi) \subset S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi). \quad (13)$$

Let $f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \varphi)$ and set

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu) f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu) f(z)} - \alpha \right) = p(z), \quad (14)$$

where $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots$ is analytic in
 U and $p(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$. Applying (9)
 and (14), we obtain

$$\frac{a + \mu I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu) f(z)}{\mu I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu) f(z)} = (1-\alpha) p(z) + \frac{a}{\mu} + \alpha. \quad (15)$$

By using the logarithmic differentiation on both
 side of (15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu) f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu) f(z)} \\ &= \frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu) f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu) f(z)} + \frac{(1-\alpha) z p'(z)}{(1-\alpha) p(z) + \frac{a}{\mu} + \alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

by using (14) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu) f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu) f(z)} - \alpha \right) \\ &= p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{(1-\alpha) p(z) + \frac{a}{\mu} + \alpha}. \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{a}{\mu}\right\} + \alpha}{\alpha - 1}$ for all $z \in U$ and

$f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \varphi)$, from (16) we see
 that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ (1-\alpha) \varphi(z) + \frac{a}{\mu} + \alpha \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

and

$$p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{(1-\alpha) p(z) + \frac{a}{\mu} + \alpha} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

Thus, by using Lemma 1 and (14), we observe
 that

$$p(z) \prec \phi(z) \quad (z \in U),$$

which implies that

$$f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi),$$

which proves the first inclusion relationship
 (13). Now, we prove the second inclusion
 relationship, asserted as following

$$S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) \subset S_{\lambda,l}^{*m+1}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi). \quad (17)$$

Let $f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi)$ and set

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(a, c, \mu) f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(a, c, \mu) f(z)} - \alpha \right) = q(z), \quad (18)$$

where $q(z) = 1 + q_1 z + q_2 z^2 + \dots$ is analytic in
 U and $q(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$. Then, by using
 arguments similar to those detailed above with
 (8), it follows that

$$q(z) \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in U),$$

which implies that

$$f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m+1}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi),$$

which proves the second inclusion relationship
 (17). Combining the inclusion relationships (13)
 and (17), we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \min \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{a}{\mu}\right\} + \alpha}{\alpha - 1}, \frac{\frac{1+l-\lambda}{\lambda} + \alpha}{\alpha - 1} \right\}$.

Then

$$C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \varphi) \subset C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) \subset C_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi). \quad (19)$$

Proof. Applying (10) and Theorem 1, we
 observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \varphi) \\ & \Leftrightarrow I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu) f(z) \in C(\alpha; \varphi) \\ & \Leftrightarrow z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu) f(z) \right)' \in S^*(\alpha; \varphi) \\ & \Leftrightarrow I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu) \left(z f'(z) \right) \in S^*(\alpha; \varphi) \\ & \Leftrightarrow z f'(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \varphi) \\ & \Rightarrow z f'(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) \\ & \Leftrightarrow I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu) \left(z f'(z) \right) \in S^*(\alpha; \varphi) \\ & \Leftrightarrow z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu) f(z) \right)' \in S^*(\alpha; \varphi) \\ & \Leftrightarrow I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu) f(z) \in C(\alpha; \varphi) \\ & \Leftrightarrow f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &\in C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) \\ &\Rightarrow zf'(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m+1}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow z(I_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(a, c, \mu)f(z))' \in S^*(\alpha; \varphi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow I_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(a, c, \mu)f(z) \in C(\alpha; \varphi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Which evidently proves Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \min\left\{\frac{\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{a}{\mu}\right\} + \alpha}{\alpha - 1}, \frac{\frac{1+l-\lambda}{\lambda} + \alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right\}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi) \\ &\subset K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi) \\ &\subset K_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Proof. We begin with proving that

$$K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi) \subset K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi). \quad (21)$$

Let $f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi)$. Then, there exists a function $r(z) \in S^*(\alpha; \varphi)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu)f(z))'}{r(z)} - \beta \right) \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

Choose the function $g(z)$ such that $I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu)g(z) = r(z)$, so that we have $g(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \varphi)$ and

$$\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu)f(z))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu)g(z)} - \beta \right) \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in U). \quad (22)$$

Next, we set

$$\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)f(z))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z)} - \beta \right) = p(z), \quad (23)$$

where $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$ is analytic in U and $p(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$. Thus, by using the identity (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu)f(z))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu)g(z)} - \beta \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu)(zf'(z))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu)g(z)} - \beta \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)(zf'(z))') + \frac{a}{\mu} I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)(zf'(z))}{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z))' + \frac{a}{\mu} I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z)} - \beta \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)(zf'(z))')}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z)} + \frac{a}{\mu} \frac{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)(zf'(z))}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z)}}{\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z)} + \frac{a}{\mu}} - \beta \right). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Moreover, since

$$g(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \varphi) \subset S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi),$$

by using Theorem 1, we can put

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z)} - \alpha \right) = G(z), \quad (25)$$

where $G(z) \prec \varphi(z)$ ($z \in U$). Then, by virtue of (23) and (24), we observe that

$$I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)(zf'(z)) = [(1-\beta)p(z) + \beta] [I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z)] \quad (26)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu)f(z))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu)g(z)} - \beta \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)(zf'(z))')}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z)} + \frac{a}{\mu} [(1-\beta)p(z) + \beta]}{[(1-\alpha)G(z) + \alpha] + \frac{a}{\mu}} - \beta \right). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Upon differentiating both sides of (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)(zf'(z))')}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z)} \\ &= (1-\beta)zp'(z) + [(1-\beta)p(z) + \beta][(1-\alpha)G(z) + \alpha] \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Making use of (22), (27), and (28), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu)f(z))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, \mu)g(z)} - \beta \right) \\ &= p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(1-\alpha)G(z) + \alpha + \frac{a}{\mu}} \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in U). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Using $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{a}{\mu}\right\} + \alpha}{\alpha - 1}$ and $G(z) \prec \varphi(z)$

($\varphi \in M, z \in U$), then we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{(1-\alpha)G(z)+\alpha+\frac{a}{\mu}\right\}>0 \quad (z \in U).$$

Hence, upon taking

$$w(z)=\frac{1}{(1-\alpha)G(z)+\alpha+\frac{a}{\mu}}$$

in (29), and applying Lemma 2, we obtain that

$$p(z) \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in U),$$

then, in view of (23) we deduce that $f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi)$ which proves (21). For the second part, by using arguments similar to those detailed above with (8), thus we choose to omit the details. The proof of Theorem 3 is completed.

Theorem 4. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \min\left\{\frac{\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{a}{\mu}\right\} + \alpha}{\alpha - 1}, \frac{\frac{1+l-\lambda}{\lambda} + \alpha}{\alpha - 1}\right\}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta, \alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi) \\ &\subset K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi) \\ &\subset K_{\lambda,l}^{*m+1}(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Proof. Just, as we derived Theorem 2 as a consequence of Theorem 1 by using the equivalence (10). Similarly, we can prove Theorem 4 as a consequence of Theorem 3 in conjunction with the equivalence (11). Therefore, again, we choose to omit the details involved.

Remark 3. (i) Taking $a = \nu - 1 (\nu > 0)$, $c = 0$ and $\mu = 1$ in Theorems 1-3, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf and El-Ashwah [2, Theorems 1-3];

(ii) Taking $m = s (s \in \mathbb{R})$, $\lambda = 1, a = \nu - 1 (\nu > 0)$, $c = 0$ and $\mu = 1$ in Theorems 1-3, we obtain the results obtained by Cho and Kim [9, Theorems 2.1-2.3];

(iii) Taking $l = 0, a = \nu - 1 (\nu > 0), c = 0$, and $\mu = 1$ in Theorems 1-3, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf et al. [4, Theorems 1-3].

Taking $a = c$ in Theorems 1-4, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. For the subclasses $S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; \varphi)$, $C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$, $K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi)$ and $K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi)$

defined in Remark 1, we have the following inclusion relations.

$$S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; \varphi) \subset S_{\lambda,l}^{*m+1}(\alpha; \varphi),$$

$$C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; \varphi) \subset C_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(\alpha; \varphi),$$

$$K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi) \subset K_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi),$$

$$K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi) \subset K_{\lambda,l}^{*m+1}(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi).$$

Remark 4. (i) Taking $\lambda = 1, m = \mu (\mu > 0), l = a - 1 (a > 0)$ and $\varphi(z) = \psi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 1, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf [1, Theorems 1-4];

(ii) Taking $\lambda = l = 1, m = \sigma (\sigma > 0)$ and $\varphi(z) = \psi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 1, we obtain the results obtained by Liu [24, Theorems 1-4].

Taking $m = 0$ in Theorems 1-4, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2. For the subclasses $S^*(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi)$, $C(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi)$, $K(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi)$ and $K^*(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi)$ defined in Remark 2, we have the following inclusion relations.

$$S^*(\alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \varphi) \subset S^*(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi),$$

$$C(\alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \varphi) \subset C(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi),$$

$$K(\beta, \alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi) \subset K(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi),$$

$$K^*(\beta, \alpha; a+1, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi) \subset K^*(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi).$$

Remark 5. Taking $\alpha = \beta = 0$, $a = \nu - 1 (\nu > 0)$, $c = \lambda (\lambda > -1)$ and $\mu = 1$ in Corollary 2, we obtain the results obtained by Choi et al. [11, Theorems 1-3].

3 Integral-Preserving Properties

Now, we recall the definition of the generalized Bernardi-Libera-Livingston integral operator $L_\sigma : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$, as following (see [36]):

$$L_\sigma f(z) = \frac{\sigma+1}{z^\sigma} \int_0^z t^{\sigma-1} f(t) dt \quad (\sigma > -1, f(z) \in \mathbb{A}). \quad (31)$$

The operator $L_\sigma f(z) (\sigma \in \mathbb{N})$ was introduced by Bernardi [5]. In particular, the operator $L_1 f(z)$ was studied earlier by Libera [23] and

Livingston [26]. Using (7) and (31), it is clear that $L_\sigma f(z)$ satisfies the following relationship:

$$z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,\mu) L_\sigma f(z) \right)' = (\sigma + 1) I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,\mu) f(z) - \sigma I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,\mu) L_\sigma f(z). \quad (32)$$

Now, we begin the Integral-preserving property involving the integral operator L_σ by the following theorem.

Theorem 5. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\alpha + \sigma}{\alpha - 1}$. If

$$f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi), \text{ then}$$

$$L_\sigma f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi).$$

proof. Let $f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi)$ and set

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,\mu) L_\sigma f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,\mu) L_\sigma f(z)} - \alpha \right) = p(z), \quad (33)$$

where $p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + \dots$ is analytic in U and $p(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$. By applying (32) and (33), we have

$$(\sigma + 1) \frac{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,\mu) f(z)}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,\mu) L_\sigma f(z)} = (1-\alpha) p(z) + \alpha + \sigma. \quad (34)$$

By using the logarithmic differentiation on both side of (34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,\mu) f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,\mu) f(z)} - \alpha \right) \\ &= p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{(1-\alpha) p(z) + \alpha + \sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Since $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\alpha + \sigma}{\alpha - 1}$ and

$$f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi), \text{ from (35), we have}$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\{(1-\alpha)\varphi(z) + \alpha + \sigma\} > 0$$

and

$$p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{(1-\alpha) p(z) + \alpha + \sigma} \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in U).$$

Hence, by Using Lemma 1, we obtain

$$p(z) \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in U),$$

then, in view of (33) we deduce that $L_\sigma f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi)$, which completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Taking $a = c$ in Theorem 5, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\alpha + \sigma}{\alpha - 1}$. If $f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; \varphi)$, then $L_\sigma f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; \varphi)$.

Remark 6. (i) Taking $\lambda = 1, m = \nu (\nu > 0), l = a - 1 (a > 0)$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 3, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf [1, Theorem 5];

(ii) Taking $\lambda = l = 1, m = \sigma (\sigma > 0)$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 3, we obtain the results obtained by Liu [24, Theorem 5].

Taking $m = 0$ in Theorem 5, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\alpha + \sigma}{\alpha - 1}$. If $f(z) \in S^*(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi)$, then $L_\sigma f(z) \in S^*(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi)$.

Remark 7. Taking $\alpha = 0, a = \nu - 1 (\nu > 0), c = \lambda (\lambda > -1)$ and $\mu = 1$ in Corollary 4, we obtain the results obtained by Choi et al. [11, Theorem 4].

The next Integral-preserving property involving the integral operator L_σ is given by the following theorem

Theorem 6. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\alpha + \sigma}{\alpha - 1}$. If $f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi)$, then $L_\sigma f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi)$.

Proof. Applying (10) and Theorem 5, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &\in C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow z f'(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) \\ &\Rightarrow L_\sigma(z f'(z)) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow z(L_\sigma f(z))' \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow L_\sigma f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 6 is evidently completed.

Taking $a = c$ in Theorem 6, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\alpha + \sigma}{\alpha - 1}$. If $f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$, then $L_\sigma f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha; \varphi)$.

Remark 8. (i) Taking $\lambda = 1, m = \mu (\mu > 0), l = a - 1 (a > 0)$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 5, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf [1, Theorem 6];

(ii) Taking $\lambda = l = 1, m = \sigma (\sigma > 0)$ and $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 5, we obtain the results obtained by Liu [24, Theorem 6].

Taking $m = 0$ in Theorem 6, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 6. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\alpha + \sigma}{\alpha - 1}$. If $f(z) \in C(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi)$, then $L_\sigma f(z) \in C(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi)$.

Remark 9. Taking $\alpha = 0, a = \mu - 1 (\mu > 0), c = \lambda (\lambda > -1)$ and $\mu = 1$ in Corollary 6, we obtain the results obtained by Choi et al. [11, Theorem 5].

Also, an Integral-preserving property involving the integral operator L_σ is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 7. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\alpha + \sigma}{\alpha - 1}$. If $f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi)$, then

$$L_\sigma f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi).$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi)$. Then, in view of (1.4), there exists a function $g(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi)$ and

$$\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)f(z))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z)} - \beta \right) \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in U). \quad (36)$$

Set

$$\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)L_\sigma f(z))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)L_\sigma g(z)} - \beta \right) = p(z) \quad (37)$$

where $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$ is analytic in U and $p(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in U$. Applying (33), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)f(z))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z)} - \beta \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)(zf'(z))}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z)} - \beta \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)L_\sigma(zf'(z)))' + \sigma(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)L_\sigma(zf'(z)))}{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)L_\sigma g(z))' + \sigma(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)L_\sigma g(z))} - \beta \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)L_\sigma(zf'(z)))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, A)L_\sigma g(z)} + \sigma \frac{(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)L_\sigma(zf'(z)))}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, A)L_\sigma g(z)}}{\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, A)L_\sigma g(z))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, A)L_\sigma g(z)} + \sigma} - \beta \right). \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Since $g(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi)$, by using Theorem 5, we have $L_\sigma g(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha; a, c, \mu; \varphi)$, then we obtain

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)L_\sigma g(z))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)L_\sigma g(z)} - \alpha \right) = H(z) \prec \varphi(z) \quad (z \in U). \quad (39)$$

Then, by using the same techniques as in the proof of Theorem 3, we conclude from (36), (37), (38) and (39) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{1-\beta} \left(\frac{z(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)f(z))'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, \mu)g(z)} - \beta \right) \\ &= p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(1-\alpha)H(z) + \alpha + \sigma} \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in U). \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Hence, upon setting

$$\psi(z) = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha)H(z) + \alpha + \sigma},$$

in (40), in view of Lemma 2, we obtain

$$p(z) \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in U),$$

which leads to

$$L_\sigma f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi).$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 7.

Taking $a = c$ in Theorem 7, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 7. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\alpha + \sigma}{\alpha - 1}$. If

$$f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi), \text{ then } L_\sigma f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi).$$

Remark 10. (i) Taking $\lambda = 1, m = \mu (\mu > 0), l = a - 1 (a > 0)$ and $\varphi(z) = \psi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 7, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf [1, Theorem 7];

(ii) Taking $\lambda=l=1, m=\sigma(\sigma>0)$ and $\varphi(z)=\psi(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 7, we obtain the results obtained by Liu [24, Theorem 7].

Taking $m=0$ in Theorem 7, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 8. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\alpha+\sigma}{\alpha-1}$. If

$f(z) \in K(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi)$, then

$L_\sigma f(z) \in K(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi)$.

Remark 11. Taking $\alpha=\beta=0, a=\nu-1(\nu>0), c=\lambda(\lambda>-1)$ and $\mu=1$ in Corollary 6, we obtain the results obtained by Choi et al. [11, Theorem 6].

Theorem 8. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\alpha+\sigma}{\alpha-1}$. If

$f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi)$, then

$L_\sigma f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi)$.

Proof. Just as we derived Theorem 6 from Theorem 5 by using (10). Easily, we can deduce Theorem 8 from Theorem 7 by using (11). So we choose to omit the proof.

Remark 12. (i) Taking $m=s(s \in \mathbb{R}), \lambda=1, a=\nu-1(\nu>0), c=0$ and $\mu=1$ in Theorems 5-7, we obtain the results obtained by Cho and Kim [9, Theorems 3.1-3.3];

(ii) Taking $a=\nu-1(\nu>0), c=0$ and $\mu=1$ in Theorems 5-7, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf and El-Ashwah [2, Theorems 4-6];

(iii) Taking $l=0, a=\nu-1(\nu>0), c=0$ and $\mu=1$ in Theorems 5-7, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf et al. [4, Theorems 4-6].

Taking $a=c$ in Theorem 8, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 9. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\alpha+\sigma}{\alpha-1}$. If

$f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi)$, then

$L_\sigma f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta, \alpha; \psi, \varphi)$.

Remark 13. (i) Taking $\lambda=1, m=\mu(\mu>0), l=a-1(a>0)$ and $\varphi(z)=\psi(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 9, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf [1, Theorem 8];

(ii) Taking $\lambda=l=1, m=\sigma(\sigma>0)$ and $\varphi(z)=\psi(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ in Corollary 9, we obtain the results obtained by Liu [24, Theorem 8].

Taking $m=0$ in Theorem 8, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 10. Let $\max_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re}\{\varphi(z)\} < \frac{\alpha+\sigma}{\alpha-1}$. If

$f(z) \in K^*(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi)$, then

$L_\sigma f(z) \in K^*(\beta, \alpha; a, c, \mu; \psi, \varphi)$.

References:

- [1] M. K. Aouf, Some inclusion relationships associated with the Komatu integral operator, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, Vol.50, 2009, pp. 1360-1366.
- [2] M. K. Aouf and R. M. El-Ashwah, Inclusion properties of certain subclass of analytic functions defined by multiplier transformations, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Section A*, Vol.58, 2009, pp. 29-38.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, R. M. El-Ashwah and A. M. Abd-Eltawab, Some inclusion relationships of certain subclasses of p-valent functions associated with a family of integral operators, *ISRN Math. Anal.*, 2013, Art. ID 384170, 8 pages.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, A. Shamandy, A. O. Mostafa and S. M. Madian, Inclusion properties of certain subclass of analytic functions defined by generalized Sălăgean operator, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A*, Vol.59, 2010, pp. 17-26.
- [5] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike univalent functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol.135, 1969, pp. 429-446.
- [6] T. Bulboacă, Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results, House of Science Book Publ. Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [7] B. Carlson and D. Shaffer, Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, Vol.159, 1984, pp. 737-745.
- [8] A. Catas, A note on a certain subclass of analytic functions defined by multiplier transformations, in Proc. Book of the International Symposium on Geometric Function Theory and Applications, Istanbul, Turkey, (August 2007), pp. 241-250.
- [9] N. E. Cho and J. A. Kim, Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions

- defined by a multiplier transformation, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, Vol.52, 2006, pp. 323-330.
- [10] N. E. Cho and M. Yoon, Inclusion relationships for certain classes of analytic functions involving the Choi-Saigo-Srivastava operator, *J. Ineq. Appl.*, Vol.83, No.1, 2013, pp. 1-10.
- [11] J. H. Choi, M. Saigo and H. M. Srivastava, Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Vol.276, 2002, pp. 432-445.
- [12] P. Eenigenburg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, in: General Inequalities 3, in: International Series of Numerical Mathematics, Birkhäuser, Basel, Vol.64, 1983, pp. 339-348.
- [13] R. M. El-Ashwah and M. K. Aouf, Some properties of new integral operator, *Acta Univ. Apulensis*, Vol.24, 2010, pp. 51-61.
- [14] R. M. El-Ashwah and M. K. Aouf, Differential subordination and superordination for certain subclasses of analytic functions involving an extended integral operator, *Acta Univ. Apulensis*, Vol.28, 2011, pp. 341-350.
- [15] T. M. Flett, The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Vol.38, 1972, pp. 746-765.
- [16] C. Y. Gao, S. M. Yuan and H. M. Srivastava, Some functional inequalities and inclusion relationships associated with certain families of integral operators, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, Vol.49, 2005, pp. 1787-1795.
- [17] R. M. Goel, B. S. Mehrotra, On the coefficients of a subclass of starlike functions, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, Vol.12, 1981, pp. 634-647.
- [18] W. Janowski, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.*, Vol.21, 1973, pp. 17-25.
- [19] B. Jung, Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Vol.176, 1993, pp. 138-147.
- [20] Y. C. Kim, J. H. Choi and T. Sugawa, Coefficient bounds and convolution properties for certain classes of close-to-convex functions, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, Vol.76, 2000, pp. 95-98.
- [21] Y. Komatu, On analytical prolongation of a family of operators, *Math.(Cluj)*, Vol. 32(55), No.2, 1990, pp. 141-145.
- [22] J. L. Li, Some properties of two integral operators, *Soochow J. Math.*, Vol.25, No.1, 1999, pp. 91-96.
- [23] R. J. Libera, Some classes of regular univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol.16, No.4, 1965, pp. 755-758.
- [24] J.-L. Liu, Some applications of certain integral operator, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, Vol.43, 2003, pp. 211-219.
- [25] J.-L. Liu and S. Owa, Properties of certain integral operator, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.*, Vol.3, No.1, 2004, pp. 69-75.
- [26] E. Livingston, On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol.17, 1966, pp. 352-357.
- [27] W. Ma and D. Minda, An internal geometric characterization of strongly starlike functions, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A*, Vol.45, 1991, pp. 89-97.
- [28] T. H. MacGregor, The radius of convexity for starlike function of order α , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol.14, 1963, pp. 71-76.
- [29] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.*, Vol.28, 1981, pp. 157-171.
- [30] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and inequalities in the complex plane, *J. Differential Equations*, Vol.67, 1987, pp. 199-211.
- [31] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Appl. Math. No. 255 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2000.
- [32] K. I. Noor, On quasi-convex functions and related topics, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, Vol.10, 1987, pp. 241-258.
- [33] K. I. Noor, On new class of integral operator, *J. Nat. Geom.*, Vol.16, 1999, pp. 71-80.
- [34] K. I. Noor and H. A. Alkhorasani, Properties of close-to-convexity preserved by some integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Vol.112, 1985, pp. 509-516.
- [35] K. I. Noor and M. A. Noor, On integral operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Vol.238, 1999, pp. 341-352.
- [36] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, Some applications of the generalized Libera integral operator, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, Vol.62, 1986, pp. 125-128.
- [37] J. Patel, Inclusion relations and convolution properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, Vol.15, 2008, pp. 33-47.
- [38] B. Pinchuk, On the starlike and convex functions of order α , *Duke Math. J.*, Vol.35, 1968, pp. 721-734.

- [39] R. K. Raina and P. Sharma, Subordination preserving properties associated with a class of operators, *Le Math.*, Vol.68, No.1, 2013, pp. 217-228.
- [40] M. S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, *Ann. Math.*, Vol.37, 1936, pp. 374-408.
- [41] S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol.49, 1975, pp. 109-115.
- [42] G. S. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, *Lecture Notes in Math.* (Springer-Verlag), Vol.1013, 1983, pp. 362-372.
- [43] A. Schild, On starlike function of order α , *Amer. J. Math.*, Vol.87, 1965, pp. 65-70.
- [44] H. Silverman, On a class of close-to-convex Schlicht functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol.36, 1972, pp. 477-484.
- [45] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*, Halsted Press, Horwood Limited, Chichester and John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1989.
- [46] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain classes of univalent functions, In *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, (Edited by H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa), World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, 1992, 371-374.