









3) the left partial indexes of M.F.  $A_q(t)$  are equal to zero and right partial indexes of M.F.  $B_q$  are equal to  $q$ ;

4) the operator  $M : [H^{(q)}(\omega_2)]_m \rightarrow [H(\omega_2)]_m$ .

5)  $\Phi(\delta) = \frac{\omega_1(\delta)}{\omega_2(\delta)}$  is nondecreasing on  $(0; h]$ .

If

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow +0} \Phi(\delta) \ln^2(\delta) = 0$$

then starting from indices  $n \geq n_1$  the SLAE (8) of reduction method is uniquely solvable. The approximate solutions  $x_n(t)$  given by formula (7) converge in the norm of space  $[H^{(q)}(\omega_2)]_m$  to the exact solution of problem (4)-(5). The following estimation is true:

$$\|x - x_n\|_{\omega_2, q}^m = O\left(\Phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \ln^2 n\right). \quad (9)$$

### 4 Auxiliary Results

The vector functions  $\frac{d^q(Px)(t)}{dt^q}$  and  $\frac{d^q(Qx)(t)}{dt^q}$  can be represented by integrals of Cauchy type with the same density  $v(t)$  :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \frac{d^q(Px)(t)}{dt^q} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{v(\tau)}{\tau - t} d\tau, & t \in F^+, \\ \frac{d^q(Qx)(t)}{dt^q} &= \frac{t^{-q}}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{v(\tau)}{\tau - t} d\tau, & t \in F^-. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (10)$$

Using the integral representation (10) we reduce the problem (4)-(5) to an equivalent

system of SIE (in terms of solvability):

$$\begin{aligned} (\Upsilon v \equiv) C(t)v(t) + \frac{D(t)}{\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{v(\tau)}{\tau - t} d\tau + \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} h(t, \tau)v(\tau) d\tau = f(t), \quad t \in \Gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for unknowns  $v(t)$  where

$$C(t) = \frac{1}{2}[A_q(t) + t^{-q}B_q(t)],$$

$$D(t) = \frac{1}{2}[A_q(t) - t^{-q}B_q(t)], \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} h(t, \tau) = \frac{1}{2} [K_q(t, \tau) + K_q(t, \tau)\tau^{-n}] - \\ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} [K_q(t, \bar{t}) - K_q(t, \bar{t})\bar{t}^{-n}] \frac{d\bar{t}}{\bar{t} - \tau} \\ + \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \left[ A_j(t)\tilde{M}_j(t, \tau) + \int_{\Gamma} K_j(t, \bar{t})\tilde{M}_j(\bar{t}, \tau) d\bar{t} \right] \\ - \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \left[ B_j(t)\tilde{N}_j(t, \tau) + \int_{\Gamma} K_j(t, \bar{t})\tilde{N}_j(\bar{t}, \tau) d\bar{t} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $\tilde{M}_j(t, \tau), \tilde{N}_j(t, \tau) j = 0, \dots, q$  are known Hölder continuous M.F. An explicit form for these functions is given in [15]. By virtue of the properties of the m.f.  $\tilde{M}_j(t, \tau), \tilde{N}_j(t, \tau), K_j(t, \tau), A_j(t), B_j(t), j = 0, \dots, q$ , we obtain that the m.f.  $h(t, \tau)$  is a Hölder continuous M.F. Note that the right hand sides in (11) and (4) coincide by conditions (5).

**Lemma 2** The system of SIE (11) and problem (4)-(5) are equivalent in terms of solvability. That is, for each solution

$v(t)$  of system of SIE (11), there is a solution of problem (4)-(5), determined by the formulae

$$(Px)(t) = \frac{(-1)^q}{2\pi i(q-1)!} \int_{\Gamma} v(\tau)[(\tau-t)^{q-1}$$

$$\log\left(1 - \frac{t}{\tau}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{q-1} \alpha_k \tau^{q-k-1} t^k] d\tau,$$

$$(Qx)(t) = \frac{(-1)^q}{2\pi i(q-1)!} \int_{\Gamma} v(\tau)\tau^{-q}[(\tau-t)^{q-1}$$

$$\log\left(1 - \frac{\tau}{t}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{q-2} \beta_k \tau^{q-k-1} t^k] d\tau, \quad (14)$$

where  $\alpha_k, k = 1, \dots, q-1$ , and  $\beta_k, k = 1, \dots, q-2$  are vector numbers. On the other hand, for each solution  $x(t)$  of the problem (4)-(5) there is a solution  $v(t)$

$$v(t) = \frac{d^q(Px)(t)}{dt^q} + t^q \frac{d^q(Qx)(t)}{dt^q},$$

of system of SIE (11). Furthermore, for linearly independent solutions of (11), there are corresponding linearly independent solutions of problem (4)-(5) from (14) and vice versa.

In formula (14), both  $\log(1 - t/\tau)$  and  $\log(1 - \tau/t)$ , for given  $\tau$ , there are branches that vanish at  $t = 0$  and  $t = \infty$ , respectively. We formulate the theorems about the theoretical background of numerical schemes of the reduction for system of SIE

**Theorem 3** *Let the following conditions be satisfied:*

- a) M.F.  $C(t), D(t)$  and  $h(t, \tau) \in [H(\omega_1)]_m$ ;

- b)  $\det(C(t)) \neq 0, \det(D(t)) \neq 0, t \in \Gamma$ ;

- c) the left partial indexes of m.f.  $C(t)$  right partial indexes of m.f.  $D(t)$  are equal to zero;

- d) operator  $\Upsilon = aP + bQ + H$  be invertible in  $[H(\omega_2)]_m$ ,  $H$  is integral operator with kernel  $h(t, \tau)$ ,  $P$  and  $Q$  are Riesz projectors  $P = \frac{1}{2}(I + S)$ ,  $Q = \frac{1}{2}(I - S)$ ,  $S$  is a singular operator with Cauchy kernel.

- e)  $\Phi(\delta) = \frac{\omega_1(\delta)}{\omega_2(\delta)}$  is nondecreasing on  $(0; h]$ .

If

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow +0} \Phi(\delta) \ln^2(\delta) = 0$$

then the operator of the reduction method

$$\Upsilon_n = S_n[aP + bQ + H]S_n,$$

of operator  $\Upsilon v = f$  for large enough numbers  $(n \geq n_0)$  is invertible in the space  $[H(\omega_2)]_m$  and the approximate solutions  $v_n(t) = \Upsilon_n^{-1} S_n f$  converges to the function  $v = \Upsilon^{-1} f$ . The following estimation is true:

$$\|v - v_n\|_{\omega_2, q}^m = O\left(\Phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \ln^2\right). \quad (15)$$

## 5 Proof of convergence theorem

In this section we prove the Theorem 1.

**Proof** We should show that for numbers  $n \geq n_0$  large enough the operator is invertible. The operator  $M$  acts from the

subspace  $[\overset{\circ}{X}_n]_m = t^q P[X_n]_m + Q[X_n]_m$  (the norm defined as in  $[H(\omega_2)^{(q)}]_m$ ) to the space  $[X_n]_m = S_n[H_{\omega_2}]_m$  of  $m$  dimensional polynomials of the form  $\sum_{k=-n}^n r_k t^k$  (the norm as in  $[H(\omega_2)]_m$ ).

In a similar way, by using formulas (10), we represent the v.f.

$$d^q(P(x_n)(t))/dt^q, \quad d^q(Q(x_n)(t))/dt^q$$

by Cauchy type integrals with the same density  $v_n(t)$  :

$$\frac{d^q(P(x_n)(t))}{dt^q} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{v_n(\tau)}{\tau - t} d\tau, \quad t \in F^+,$$

$$\frac{d^q(Q(x_n)(t))}{dt^q} = \frac{t^{-q}}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{v_n(\tau)}{\tau - t} d\tau, \quad t \in F^-. \quad (16)$$

By taking account of the formulas  $(Px)^{(r)}(t) = P(x^{(r)})(t)$  and  $(Qx)^{(r)}(t) = Q(x^{(r)})(t)$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots$ , and the relations

$$(t^{k+q})^{(r)} = \frac{(k+q)!}{(k+q-r)!} t^{k+q-r}, \quad k = 0, \dots, n,$$

$$(t^{-k})^{(r)} = (-1)^r \frac{(k+r-1)!}{(k-1)!} t^{-k-r}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n,$$

from (16), we obtain

$$v_n(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(k+q)!}{k!} t^k \xi_k + (-1)^q \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{(k+q-1)!}{(k-1)!} t^{-k} \xi_{-k}$$

Consequently  $v_n(t) \in [X_n]_m$ ; here we have used the fact that the polynomials  $x_n(t)$ , given by (7) can be represented uniquely in the form

$$t^q \sum_{k=0}^n \xi_k t^k + \sum_{k=-n}^{-1} \xi_k t^k.$$

Using of the representations (16), Eq. (8), as well as the problem (4)-(5) can be reduced to an equivalent equation (in the sense solvability)

$$S_n R S_n v_n = S_n f, \quad (17)$$

Treated as an equation in the subspace  $[X_n]_m$ . Obviously, Eq. (17) is the equation of the method of reduction over Faber-Laurent polynomials for the singular integral equation (11), and for singular integral equations, the method of reduction over Faber-Laurent polynomials was considered in [17], where sufficient conditions for the solvability and convergence of this method were obtained. Assumptions in Theorem 3 provide the validity of all assumptions in Theorem 1. We have that the Eq. (17) with  $n \geq n_1$  is uniquely solvable; moreover, the approximate solutions  $v_n(t)$  of this equation converge to the exact solution  $v(t)$  of the system of singular integral equation (11) in the norm of the space  $[H(\omega_2)]_m$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$\|v_n - v\|_{\omega_2, q}^m = O\left(\Phi\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \ln^2(\delta)\right). \quad (18)$$

v.f.  $x_n(t)$  can be expressed via the v.f.  $v_n(t)$  by formulas (14). From definition of the norm in the space  $[H_{\omega_2}^{(q)}]_m$  together

with (18) implies estimate (9).

**The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.**

**Acknowledgements:** Dr. Feras M.

Al Faqih would like to thank the Dean-ship of Scientific Research at King Faisal University (Kingdom of Saudi Arabia) for their financial supporting this work by the grant number 130174.

*References:*

- [1] J. Cohen and O. Boxma, Boundary value problems in Queueing system analysis, *North- Holland, Amsterdam* (1983), 405 p.
- [2] A. Kalandia, Mathematical methods of two- dimensional elasticity, *Mir, Moscow* (1975), 351 p.
- [3] A. Linkov, Boundary integral equations in elasticity theory, *Kluwer, Dordrecht* (2002), 268 p.
- [4] N. Muskhelishvili, Some basic problems of the mathematical theory of elasticity. Fundamental Equations, plane theory of elasticity, torsion, and bedding. *Noordhoff, the Netherlands* (1953), 704 p.
- [5] E. Ladopoulos, Singular Integral Equations: Linear and non- linear theory and its applications in science and engineering, 551p. *Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York* (2000)

- [6] V. Ivanov, The theory of Approximative methods and their application to the numerical solution of singular integral equations, 330 p. *Nordhoff, the Netherlands* (1976)
- [7] F. Gakhov, Boundary value problems, In: Sneddon, I.N. (ed. ) *Pergamon, Oxford; Addison- Wesley, Reading, MA* (1966), 561 p.
- [8] N. Muskhelishvili, Singular Integral Equations. Boundary Problems of function theory and their application to mathematical physics. *Noordhoff, Leyden* (1977) (Edition: Rev. translation from the Russian/ edited by Radok, J.R.M. : Reprint of the 1958, p.447, edition. ISBN: 9001607004 19)
- [9] N. Vekua, Systems of singular integral equations. Translated from the Russian by Gibbs, A. G. and Simmons, G.M., *Nordhoff, Groningen Material*. 1967, 216 p.
- [10] I. Gohberg and I. Krupnik, Introduction to the theory of one-dimensional singular integral operators. *Stiintsa, Kishinev* (1973) (in Russian) (German translation: *Birkhauser Verlag, Basel* 1979)
- [11] S. Prössdorf and B. Silbermann, Numerical analysis for integral and related operator equations, *Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel*, (1991) 542 p.



- [12] S. Mikhlin and S. Prossdorf, Singular integral operators, *Springer-Verlag*, Berlin, (1986), 528 p.
- [13] S. Prössdorf, Some classes of singular equations, *Elsevier North-Holland* (1978), 417 p.
- [14] B. Gabdulhaev, The polynomial approximations of solution of singular integral and integro-differential equations by Dzyadik . *Izvestia Visshih Ucebhih zavedenii. Mathematics.* N6(193), (1978), pp.51-62. (in Russian)
- [15] Yu. Krikunov, The general boundary Riemann problem and linear singular integro- differential equation. *The scientific notes of the Kazani university*, Kazani, 116(4), (1956) pp.3-29. (in Russian)
- [16] V. Zolotarevski, *Finite- dimensional methods for solving of singular integral equations on the closed contours of integration* . *Știința*, Chișinău. (1991), 136p. (in Russian)
- [17] Zolotarevskii V, Tarita I, Application of Faber- Laurent polynomials for the approximate solution of singular integral equations, *Visnik Harkivskovo Universitetu*, N590, 2003, pp.124-127.(in Russian)
- [18] Iurie Caraus, Nikos E. Mastorakis, Convergence of the Collocation Methods for Singular Integro- Differential Equations in Lebesgue Spaces, WSEAS TRANSACTIONS on MATHEMATICS, Issue Volume 6, November 2007 ISSN: 1109-2769
- [19] Iurie Caraus, Nikos E. Mastorakis, The Numerical Solution for Singular Integro- Differential Equation in Generalized Holder Spaces, WSEAS TRANSACTIONS ON MATHEMATICS, Issue 5, V. 5, May 2006, pp. 439-444, ISSN 1109-2769.
- [20] Iu. Caraus and N. Mastorakis, Convergence of collocation methods for singular integro- differential equations. *Wseas Trans. Math.* 6(2007), no.11, pp. 859-864.
- [21] Suetin, P.K., *Ryady po mnogochlenam Fabera* (Series in Faber Polynomials), Moscow, 1984.
- [22] Gusejnov A., Muchtarov, Ch.: Introduction to the theory of nonlinear singular integral equations. *Izdatelistvo "Nauka"*, Glavnaya redaktsia Fiziko- Matemateskoi Literaturi, Moskva, 415 p. R3.60.MSC 200(1980) (in Russian)