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Abstract: - It is well known fact that narrower vehicles have more susceptibility to rollover and lateral stability. For the purpose of experimenting and analysis when certain additional weight is added to the vehicle then the C.G changes which can result in vehicle rollover. In this study a novel braking based methodology is used to devise a strategy on g-g diagram to modify the driver’s commands of longitudinal acceleration in accordance with lateral acceleration to lateral stability. Potential field function is used to couple the lateral and longitudinal dynamics of vehicle for the purpose of lateral stability. The same method is applicable to mobile platform in autonomous mode of operation with ensured rollover stability.


1 Introduction
Vehicle rollover is a dangerous safety related issue for passenger vehicles as well as heavy trucks. NHTSA data shows a large number of fatalities are due to single vehicle accidents resulting from rollover when a vehicle takes sharp turn at high speed. This motion results into large lateral acceleration at the center of gravity. Previously researchers have established several metrics for the detection of vehicle rollover [1-4] and rollover prevention/mitigation schemes [1-2,5-8] once the rollover danger is detected. Vehicle rollover control can be achieved using differential braking control [9-11] which will results in decrease in both the yaw rate and vehicle velocity. In another study front and rear wheel steering system [12] is used for rollover stability. Active suspension and active antiroll-bar control [13-14] are also proposed.

It is well known that narrower vehicle are more prone to rollover than wider ones. Also for laboratory experimental work any additional weight changes the location of CG and safety margin for rollover or lateral stability also changes. One such example is the addition of batteries for studying of motion control of electric vehicle with narrow wheel base. In such situation the vehicle/mobile platform can rollover at much slow speeds at sharp turns. In this article novel method is proposed to couple the lateral dynamics of vehicle with its longitudinal dynamics on the phase portrait of g-g diagram. Potential field function is used to couple the lateral and longitudinal dynamics. G-vectoring control [15-18] was proposed to extract the behavior of an expert driver on a g-g diagram. In this study it is utilized to prevent rollover couple the dynamics.

2 Problem Formulation
Vehicle rollover can be prevented by differential braking, steering system, active suspension/antiroll-bar, and braking. These techniques reduces the yaw rate, roll angle and/ velocity of vehicle. If the vehicle yaw rate is corrected by the controller during obstacle avoidance maneuver then the vehicle cannot fulfill the driver intended trajectory and will follow some other path. This can cause accident. Second approach is to slow down the vehicle to a speed where centrifugal forces are small to prevent rollover. For example sharp turns can be executed at slower speeds. Rollover can be prevented by keeping the lateral acceleration of vehicle under constraints thought out its motion. On the other hand the vehicle going very slow and no danger of rollover does not seem to the right choice. So the solution is moving at higher speeds and keeping the lateral acceleration under constraints.

The vehicle lateral acceleration $a_y$ and longitudinal acceleration $a_x$ are coupled together on g-g diagram using potential field function for this purpose.

2.1 Relationship between Rollover and Lateral Acceleration
For the detection of rollover rollover index (RI) is used as defined in Eq.1. Rollover index represent the difference between vertical tire forces at left and right wheels. As the vertical tire forces cannot be directly measured so rollover index can be estimated.
using Eq. 2. This equation is based on roll dynamics model of vehicle. The same equation can be further simplified by neglecting the second term who effect is small as compared with first term in Eq.2. Eq.4 shows the lateral acceleration at which the wheel lift occurs. It is clear from this equation that rollover is dependent of vehicle geometric parameters along with lateral acceleration. By keeping the lateral acceleration at lower values the danger of rollover can be suppressed.

\[ RI = \frac{F_{r} - F_{l}}{F_{r} + F_{l}} \quad -1 < RI < 1 \]  

\[ RI = \frac{2h}{t\cdot g} a_{y} \cos \phi + \frac{2h}{t} \sin \phi \]  

\[ RI \approx \frac{2h}{t\cdot g} a_{y} \]  

\[ a_{y_{\text{lift-off}}} = \left( \frac{t}{2h} \right) \cdot g \]  

2.2 Lateral Acceleration Constraints

The vehicle lateral acceleration can be calculated using Eq. 5 and by neglecting the second term of sliding Eq.6 can be utilized.

\[ a_{y} = V_{x} \cdot \gamma + \ddot{y} \]  

\[ a_{y} \approx V_{x} \cdot \gamma \]  

The lateral acceleration given in Eq.6 is maintained by controlling the speed and imposing the constraints as given in Eq.4. Given a value of lateral acceleration and using Eq.6 the plot on vehicle velocity and yaw rate can be plotted as shown in Fig.1. If the vehicle motion stays below this plot then the value of lateral acceleration will not exceed and lateral stability can be ensured.

3 Vehicle Modeling and Verification

The electric vehicle details model is developed in TruckSim. It is rear drive vehicle with in-wheel motors fitted in each rear wheel that can be control independently.

\[ \begin{array}{c}
\text{Number} \\
\text{of parts}
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\text{Degree of} \\
\text{freedom}
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Body(Sprung Mass)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steering Model</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspension Model</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheel Dynamic Model</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 shows the physical parameters of vehicle model i.e. vehicle mass, wheel tread, wheelbase, tire radius, and yaw moment of inertia used in simulations. The full vehicle model has a total of three inputs, two torque commands for each rear wheel and steering wheel angle command for front wheels.

Table 2. Parameters for Electric Vehicle with In-wheel Motor used in Simulation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Mass</td>
<td>kg</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheel Base</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>1725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tread</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>Front: 840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rear: 815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from C.G to Front Axle</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from C.G to Rear Axle</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tire Radius</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw Moment of Inertia</td>
<td>kgm²</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-wheel Electric Motor (2EA)</td>
<td>KW</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Motor Dynamic Model

The in-wheel motor drive electric vehicle with the four-wheel driving system has four in-wheel motors, one in each wheel. As each wheel can be control independently, so differential driving and braking can be realized. In this study, in-wheel-motor is modeled using the first order transfer function as given in Eq.7.

\[
T_m(s) = \frac{K_m}{1 + (L_m/R_m)s} T_{m\_com}(s) = \frac{1}{1 + (L_m/R_m)s} U_m(s) \quad (7)
\]

The output torque \( T_m \) of motor model is determined by the input value that is the wheel torque command \( T_{m\_com} \) from the control algorithm. Figure 3 (a) shows the motor performance curve that was the result of motor testing. The data of Figure 3 (b) was used for simulation purpose.

3.3. Vehicle Model Verification

The verification of vehicle model was done in a simple double lane change test at 15kph. We have compared the simulation and experimental data of vehicle yaw rate and lateral acceleration for double lane change test. At first, the experiment of double lane change was performed on dry asphalt road on test vehicle and data of steering angle sensor along with yaw rate, lateral acceleration and vehicle velocity were recorded on the notebook and then the same data of steering wheel angle input was used in double lane change simulation at the same vehicle speed and data of vehicle yaw rate and lateral acceleration was recorded again.

The simulation and experimental conditions are shown in Table 3 and the vehicle yaw rate and lateral acceleration data comparison is shown in Figure 4 for verification purpose. This data comparison shows very close agreement between the vehicle model and test vehicle.

Table 3 Simulation & Experimental Conditions for Vehicle Model Verification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Model Verification</td>
<td>Double Lane Change Test at 15kph</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Speed in Experiments</td>
<td>25 kph (Fixed Speed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road Condition</td>
<td>Split-mu Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left (( \mu = 0.85 ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right (( \mu = 0.40 ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Experiment/Test</td>
<td>Straight Motion on split-mu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
difference between the actual lateral acceleration and limiting value of later acceleration.

\[
G_x = -\text{sgn}(a_y,\phi) \frac{1}{2} \eta \left( \frac{1}{a_y - a_{y,\text{lim}}} - \frac{1}{\Delta a_y} \right) \quad (8)
\]

\[
a_{\text{des}} = \begin{cases} 
    a_{\text{driver}} - G_x, & (a_y - a_{y,\text{lim}}) < \Delta a_y \\
    a_{\text{driver}}, & (a_y - a_{y,\text{lim}}) > \Delta a_y
\end{cases} \quad \quad (9)
\]

Figure. 5. Driving Control Algorithm for Lateral Stability

Originally this method is used in mobile robotics for obstacle avoidance where the distance from the obstacle is measured and if its within predefined radius range then a virtual repulsive force is generated that modify the mobile planned path. Using the same concept the vehicle is decelerated on the basis of difference between the actual lateral acceleration and limiting lateral acceleration at which the rollover is likely to happen. The limiting lateral acceleration is treated like an obstacle and the system will use torque control to avoid this value of lateral acceleration by slowing down the vehicle. This deceleration will be generated if the difference between the actual lateral acceleration and limiting acceleration is less than a predefined gap as shown in Eq. 9. Within this range this algorithm will generate more deceleration as the actual lateral acceleration goes more close to the limiting value of lateral acceleration and the driver’s command of desired acceleration will be modified.

The \textit{signum} function takes into account the signs of lateral acceleration and roll angle. Their combine effect can be additive or can cancel the effect of each other if they are of opposite signs.
5 Simulation

The performance of the driving control algorithm is evaluated in simulation. The algorithm is developed in Simulink and vehicle model which is developed in TruckSim is run in close loop with Simulink. The following simulations are conducted with and without utilizing the controller action of modifying the driver’s acceleration commands.

5.1 Steering Step Input at 40 kph

The vehicle is started from zero initial velocity and after attaining the desired velocity the step steering input of 240 degrees is applied. This will produce lateral acceleration value of 0.53 g. In this simulation the limiting value 0.35 g of lateral acceleration constraints is enforced using this concept. The two cases compared in the following plots. Velocity comparison is shown in Fig.6.

The potential field function will decelerate the vehicle using Eq. 8 and velocity will be reduced and as a result lateral acceleration will be reduced as well. The lateral acceleration is plotted in Fig.7 and the corresponding performance on g-g diagrams is shown in Fig. 8. In Fig.9 the controller action cause the vehicle to stay in the stable motion region while the vehicle without control passes the lateral acceleration limit as shown in Fig. 9.

5.2 Steering Ramp Input at 40 kph

The vehicle is started from zero initial velocity and after attaining the desired velocity the ramp steering input is applied as shown in Fig. 10.

![Figure 6. Velocity Comparison for Steering Step Input at 40 kph](image)

![Figure 7. Lateral Acceleration Comparison for Steering Step Input at 40 kph](image)

![Figure 8. g-g diagram for Steering Step Input at 40 kph](image)

![Figure 9. Control Performance on Velocity-Yaw Rate Plot for Steering Step Input at 40 kph](image)

![Figure 10. Steering Ramp Input at 40 kph](image)
The ramp steering input will increase the yaw rate and lateral acceleration as shown in Fig. 12. The purpose of this simulation is to evaluate the controller performance to slowly increasing lateral acceleration. For this simulation the two cases of with and without controller action are compared and plots for velocity, lateral acceleration, trajectory and g-g diagrams are shown.

Figure. 11. Velocity Comparison for Steering Step Input at 40 kph

Figure. 12. Lateral Acceleration Comparison for Steering Ramp Input at 40 kph

It is clear from the Fig.14 that the controller impose the 0.35g lateral acceleration value and causes deceleration in order to prevent the lateral acceleration to cross the limiting value. The trajectory is shown in Fig. 13 in which the controlled vehicle travels less distance due to low velocity. Fig. 15 shows the performance on Velocity-Yaw Rate plot. The controller action cause the vehicle lateral acceleration to stay below the limiting value while in case of no controller the vehicle follows the driver commands of acceleration and yaw rate and enter into the region of unstable motion.

Figure. 13. Vehicle Trajectory Comparison for Steering Ramp Input at 40 kph

Figure. 14. g-g diagram for Steering Ramp Input at 40 kph

Figure. 15. Control Performance on Velocity-Yaw Rate Plot for Steering Ramp Input at 40 kph

6 Conclusion

In this study a novel strategy is devised for lateral stability of a class of vehicle which are more susceptible to rollover at lower values of lateral acceleration. The potential field function is used to couple the longitudinal and lateral vehicle dynamics in a way that the lateral acceleration will be under some desired constraints. The two simulations of step steering input and ramp input shows that this methodology can ensure the maintaining lateral acceleration under desired constraints. This method can also be applied to mobile robots for autonomous mode of operation.
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Nomenclature

\( T_m \) Output Torque of Motor

\( T_{m-com} \) Wheel Torque Command

\( L_m \) Motor Coil Inductance

\( R_m \) Motor Coil Resistance

\( s \) Laplace Operator

\( \delta \) Steering Wheel Angle

\( \phi \) Roll Angle

\( a_x, a_y \) Longitudinal and Lateral Acceleration

\( a_y_{\text{lift-off}} \) Lateral Acceleration at which Wheel Lift Off the ground

\( a_{y_{\text{lim}}} \) Limiting Value of Lateral Acceleration

\( a_{\text{driver}} \) Driver’s Commanded Longitudinal acceleration

\( a_{\text{des}} \) Resultant Longitudinal Acceleration

\( G_x \) Acceleration by Potential Field Function

\( RI \) Rollover Index

\( t \) Tread

\( h \) Sprung Mass C.G Height

\( g \) Gravity

\( \eta \) Tuning Gain