Predicting the Utilization of Mental Health Treatment with Various Machine Learning Algorithms

Abstract— In 2017, about 792 million people (more than 10% of the global population) lived their lives with a mental disorder [24]– 78 million of which committed suicide because of it. In these unprecedented times of COVID-19, mental health challenges have been even further exacerbated as home environments have been proven to be major sources of the creation and worsening of poor mental health. Additionally, proper diagnosis and treatment for people with mental health disorders remains underdeveloped in modern-day’s society due to the widely ever-present public stigma attached to caring about mental health. Recently there have been attempts in the data science world to predict if a person is suicidal (and other diagnostic approaches) yet all face major setbacks. To begin, big data has many ethical issues related to privacy and reusability without permission—especially in regards to using feeds from social media. Additionally, people diagnosed with specific mental health conditions may not actually seek treatment, so data may be incorrect. In this research, we address both of these problems by using anonymous datasets to predict the answer to a different question—whether or not people are seeking mental health treatment. We also use a large variety of machine learning and deep learning classifiers and predictive models to predict with a high accuracy rate through statistical analysis.
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1. Introduction

Mental health (the health of one’s mind) is an integral component of the overall state of a person. The WHO formally defines an individual with a healthy mind as someone who “realizes [their] own abilities, can cope with the normal stresses of life, can work productively, and is able to make a contribution to [their] community” [19]. Someone with poor quality mental health is far more susceptible to mental disorders, either occasional or chronic, which negatively impact one’s emotions, mood, and overall behavior.

According to a WHO led study, mental health issues cause an estimated $1 Trillion in productivity loss to the worldwide economy [21]. Here in the US, mental health disorders are among the most burdensome. The Center for Disease Control (CDC) states that nearly 20% of all adults in 2017, about 18.3% of the US population, reported the official presence of one or more mental illnesses and 71% reported one or more symptoms of stress. In addition, they claim “many people with mental health disorders also need care for other physical health conditions”. The costs of treating people with both mental health disorders and other physical conditions are 2-3 times higher than those without co-occurring illnesses [20]. Mental health problems have startling social and economic costs that place a heavy burden in the workplace, including employee presentism, absenteeism, and disability days [5].

Furthermore, in these unprecedented times of COVID-19 caused lockdowns, mental health challenges have been even further exacerbated. In any emergency, it is common for individuals to be stressed and worried, however, specific to COVID-19, there is additional stress that comes from risks related to transmission, managing work and family, higher demands in work setting, reduced capacity to use social support etc. [12].

Different types of mental disorders are characterized by individuals exhibiting different combinations of one or more of the following: abnormal thoughts, perceptions, emotions, or
behavior. According to the WHO [19], mental disorders include: depression, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia and other psychoses, dementia, and developmental disorders including autism. There are many effective ways to prevent and treat mental disorders and alleviate the suffering caused by them, however, critical to providing this type of care are both diagnosis and access to healthcare and social services.

Diagnosis and treatment of people with mental health disorders remains challenged partly due to the public stigma attached to mental health. People with mental illness are challenged by stereotypes and prejudice that result from misconceptions about mental illness while struggling with the symptoms and disabilities resulting from the illness[6]. People are reluctant to seek help especially early in the detection phase, which if avoided, could lead to much better outcomes down the road.

In recent times, artificial intelligence and big data have begun increasingly used in healthcare, especially in mental health. Data scientists can use data to improve public health strategy, medical research and even the medical care that is provided to patients. Data can be sourced from many different sources like providers (pharmacy and patient's history) and non-providers (cell phone and internet searches). The use of big data is only expected to grow in the coming years.

2. Data-Cleaning and Feature Extraction

The purely numerical dataset we used to perform Principal Component Analysis (PCA) and other machine learning models on originated from combining the responses from Open Sourcing Mental Illness’ (OSMI’s) mental health surveys from 2014 [37] and 2016 [38].

The raw arrays of qualitative data from these two years differed in the number of columns they had: While the response array from 2014 consisted of 1260 rows and 27 columns, the 2016 array was composed of 1467 responses across 63 columns (in which each column represented one question from each survey).

This inconsistency in categorical variables resulted in us taking the inner-joint union of all characteristics of each data set, which combined these two datasets entirely and reduced the supposed number of columns to 21. However, three of these columns were labeled with very similar questions and including them would’ve decreased the performability rates for every method we use. So we deleted them, resulting in our concatenated data having a final value of 18 categorical columns (which would eventually leave us with 17 features and 1 boolean output vector). This entire process automatically resulted in our final array containing only 2391 complete rows (compared to the initial 2727).

Finally, we converted any/all categorical data into numerical data. The list below depicts every category we converted:

❖ Age: {18,19,20, … 74} kept the same
❖ Gender: {Female, Male, NB} was converted to {1,2,3}.
❖ Everything other than cis male or cis female was categorized as “NB”.
❖ Family History: {no, yes, Other} was converted to {1,2,3}
❖ Treatment: {no, yes} was converted to {0,1}.
❖ This would later become our binary output variable.
❖ Work Interference: {NA, Never, Often, Rarely, Sometimes} was converted to {1,2,3,4,5}
❖ Work Benefits: {I don’t know, no, yes} was converted to {1,2,3}.
❖ Care Options: {Not sure, yes, no} was converted to {1,2,3}
❖ Wellness program: {Don’t know, yes, no} was converted to {1,2,3}
❖ Seek help: {Don’t know, yes, no} was converted to {1, 2, 3}
❖ Anonymity: {Yes, no, Don’t know} was converted to {1, 2, 3}
❖ Leave: {Somewhat easy, Don’t know, Yes, Somewhat difficult, Very easy, Neither easy nor difficult, Very difficult} was converted to {1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7}
❖ Mental Health Consequence: {Maybe, No, Yes} was converted to {1,2,3}
❖ Coworkers: {Yes, No, Some of them} was converted to {1,2,3}
❖ Supervisors: {Yes, No, Some of them} was converted to {1,2,3}
❖ Mental Health Interview: {Yes, No, Maybe} was converted to {1,2,3}
❖ Physical Health Interview: {Yes, No, Maybe} was converted to {1,2,3}
❖ Mental vs Physical health awareness: {Yes, No, Maybe} was converted to {1,2,3}
❖ Observable Consequence: {Yes, No} was converted to {1,2}

After we completely preprocessed or cleaned our initial data, we had to decide which column of this array would be set aside as our output vector. Our output vector needed to be boolean, so we chose to run our models to predict whether or not a person sought out treatment. As this was represented by the fourth column of our array, we used the MATLAB code below to separate the entire array into an IV and a DV:

```
load('MentalHealthArr.mat');
```
After performing this data cleaning, we used PCA to the cleaned data.

Although we do not use it as a predictive/classification model, PCA is a very efficient dimensionality-reduction algorithm and feature extraction tool, widely utilized across a variety of machine learning environments, which decreases the dataset’s number of predictors while simultaneously retaining the highest percentage of the original dataset as it can. The algorithm does this by preserving the most influential variables (with the greatest percentage of the original variance) while removing the least influential ones (with the closest amount percentage of the original variance to 0). It does this by creating new uncorrelated variables that successively maximize variance.

To perform PCA, we calculate the eigenvalues of a Covariance matrix $\Sigma$ in order to identify the Principal Components of the original dataset $X$. We then project the original dataset $X$ onto the much lower dimensional space, called eigenspace, spanned by principal components of $X$ to get a new dataset $Y$ which has much lower dimension than that of $X$.

PCA helps in increasing interpretability but at the same time minimizing information loss from the dataset. By utilizing PCA on any dataset, the degree of variance specific to each independent variable can be determined. For this research specifically, we were able to use PCA to analyze each variable and determine which ones contribute the most to whether a person is receiving mental health treatment or not (while simultaneously determining which variables had negligible significance).

We found out that of all the independent variables that could contribute whether or not a person receives mental health treatment is correlated primarily by the age of the person.
typically taken from a set of objects for which the class is known.

$$R_B(C^{\text{wnn}}) - R_B(C^{\text{Bayes}}) = (B_1 s_n^2 + B_1 t_n^2)\{1 + o(1)\}$$

3.4. Decision Tree

Decision Tree model is an information-mapping method with a tree-like structure commonly used for a variety of purposes in machine learning. There are multiple types of decision trees, but the one we used on our research is a Classification and Regression Tree, commonly abbreviated as CART, to conduct binary classification on our data.

Every CART utilizes an attribute-selection method called the Gini Index during the training process to calculate the probability that an attribute is classified incorrectly at a split (aka the split criterion).

$$Gini = \left(\frac{T}{D}\right) * g(T) + \left(\frac{F}{D}\right) * g(F)$$

3.5. Random Forest

Random Forest algorithm, as implied in its name, shares many similarities with Decision Tree mapping. However, they are not identical. A Random Forest consists of a multitude of Decision Trees built from randomly-selected observations and features and presents the total average of all of the results (from individual Decision Trees) as its final output.

The main benefit of using a Random Forest over a single Decision Tree is that it allows you to increase the accuracy of your results without overfitting your data. However, in a Decision Tree, the accuracy rate is directly proportional to the number of splits — As the number of splits in a tree increases, so does the accuracy. Therefore, this makes overfitting training data easily happening without our realizing, which would result in decreasing the accuracy of output-prediction on the test dataset.

3.6. Gradient Boosting Machines

While random forests build an ensemble of deep independent trees, Gradient Boosting Machines (“GBM”) build an ensemble of shallow and weak successive trees with each tree learning and improving on the previous [13]. The main idea of boosting is to sequentially add new models to an ensemble. This way each new weak base learner model is trained with respect to the error of the whole ensemble learnt so far. This converts weak learners into stronger learners.

$$Loss(x) = \text{sign}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \theta_m f_m(x)\right)$$

3.7. Binary Logistic Regression

Binary logistic regression is a subset of logistic regression which analyzes a dataset (notated by the variable $x$) categorized by labels (features) and fits it onto a logistic curve in order to predict the accurate classification of data into two dichotomous outcomes (visually represented as 0 and 1 on the $y$-axis on a cartesian coordinate plane). Usually, these outcomes are opposing answers to the same question.

$$p = \frac{1}{1+e^{-(b_0+b_1x)}}$$

4. Deep Learning

The brain of any mammal contains basic tissue. This tissue consists of a complex web of many individual cells called neurons (nerve cells). This web, officially named the Nervous system, allows action commands and sensory data to travel throughout the mammal’s entire body. Artificial Neural networks (ANN) work in the same way. To summarize, an artificial neural network is a type of machine-learning model that is loosely referred to as an “artificial brain”. This is due to the structural similarities that biological brain tissue and an artificial neural network share.

We delved into the vast field of deep learning and created a deep feedforward neural network with 100 hidden layers. While this didn’t produce the most accurate results, the results produced by running the network on the test dataset have been very consistent and accuracy was the second-highest out of all of the methods used. The following is the structure of activation function used in ANN deep-learning model.

$$K\left(w^{(1)}_t x_1 + \cdots + w^{(1)}_{it} x_t + b^{(1)}_t\right) = K\left(\bar{w}^{(1)}_t x_t + \bar{b}^{(1)}_t\right)$$

5. Experimental Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model Type</th>
<th>Train Results</th>
<th>Test Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SVM</td>
<td>81.5%</td>
<td>82.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naive Bayes</td>
<td>79.4%</td>
<td>80.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNN</td>
<td>84.6%</td>
<td>79.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision Tree</td>
<td>91.5%</td>
<td>76.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Random Forest</td>
<td>91.6%</td>
<td>77.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBM</td>
<td>90.3%</td>
<td>80.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistic Regression</td>
<td>78.4%</td>
<td>79.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neural Network</td>
<td>82.7%</td>
<td>80.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
utilizing PCA, the number of features (the columns of the dataset that contribute to whether a person is receiving mental health treatment or not), was reduced from 21 to the five that had the most effect on the prediction. These five features are the most important out of the 21, and should definitely be considered whenever discussing mental health. Also, these features (person’s age, gender, family history, whether their mental illness interferes with their work, and work benefits) can be analyzed deeper with further research involving each feature individually, which would demonstrate the extent of the effect of each feature.

Utilizing Machine Learning on self-reported data avoids all the ethical issues related to privacy because employees are choosing to respond and provide permission to use the data. This is a novel approach that is able to avoid the challenges in current applications of Machine Learning in the field. We believe this can be a first step in the overall diagnosis and treatment of mental health issues.

To leverage our research, we propose sending a simple questionnaire to employees with key questions that are the factors we have identified. Since it will be a short questionnaire, it will likely have high response rates. Two of these factors (age, gender) do not need to be asked in a questionnaire from an employer if they can be tagged at the back end with the employee data. The other 3 factors, Family history, work interference, and work benefits are the only 3 questions that need to be answered. As a result, the time burden in answering this much simpler questionnaire can be as little as 2-3 minutes as opposed to 15-30 minutes in a typical questionnaire.

Our approach will be much faster to implement and with low burden on technology, allowing for a wide-spread adoption from employers. Simplicity and speed of administration also allows administration of the questionnaire in mobile format, which typically has significantly higher response rates vs. web-based designs.

We believe using Machine Learning in such a manner will have direct applications for employers, insurance companies and healthcare providers like Hospitals and doctors to allow for a very quick response and deliver diagnoses in a timely fashion as well as allow providers to plan for capacity and insurers and employers to identify and prevent mental health issues early.

Future research should seek to fill some of the shortcomings in the data by leveraging a larger, more recent sample across industries. Our research was based on a previously administered questionnaire and the data on it is both dated (from 2014 & 2016) and relatively limited (with only 21 features). The questionnaire was limited to technology workspace, hence some of the conclusions may not hold true for other industries. There may have been bias in the results due to the self-reporting nature of the survey, since the incidence of people seeking treatment seems very high.

Taking the PCA results further, future research can also be used to develop a nested questionnaire with 5-7 questions that both determine the likelihood of receiving mental health treatment as well as classifying what type of mental health treatment is needed. The questionnaire can dynamically change...
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