Abstract: Wind power is one of the alternative renewable energy sources free from Co2 emission to the environment and best complement for other sources to meet the energy demand of one’s country. The Doubly Fed Induction Generator (DFIG) is the most commonly used types of machines in wind power system due to its variable speed of operation, reduced converter size, better power quality, and the ability of independent power control. This paper analyses its performance under various operating regions by modeling the machine and designing a Proportional-Integral (PI) controller for tuning the parameters at reference points. The Pulse Width Modulation (PWM) strategy is used for controlling the converters. The results are get compared with factory test reports of the machine, numerical analysis, and simulations. They are found to match each other and hence the study can be an important input to a further insight of induction generators in wind power system. Matlab/Simulink software is used for modeling and simulations. The stability of the machine is also analyzed and found to be stable.
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1 Introduction

As a result of concerns about climate change and higher prices for fossil fuels, wind power has excellent potential for continued rapid deployment. A 2006 joint study by the Global Wind Energy Council and Greenpeace International estimates that wind energy can make a major contribution to global electricity supply within the next 30 years. The study shows that wind energy could supply 5% of the world’s electricity by 2030 and 6.6% by 2050 [1].

Many countries, especially in the developing parts of the world, have considerable wind resources that are still untapped. A key barrier in these countries is their lack of expertise, concerning both methods of site selection and technical aspects of wind power [2].

Ethiopia has good wind resources with velocities ranging from 7 to 9 m/s with wind energy potential estimated to be 10 GW while the current installed capacity is 324 MW [3]. In connection, the DFIG under study is installed in Adama-II wind farm of Ethiopia.

Nowadays, the wound-rotor induction generator, also known as the DFIG is most commonly used by the wind turbine (WT) industry for larger wind turbines. The most significant reason for the popularity of DFIG is the relatively small size of power converter approximately 10-30% of nominal turbine power that is a cost efficient solution in order to obtain variable speed [4].

The use of capacitor banks in DFIG is eliminated because it has both active and reactive power control capability which also enhances its contribution to voltage and load flow distribution control in the power system [5]. The acknowledgment of the increasing capacity of grid-connected wind turbines with DFIG in analyzing its performance and control techniques are the background for this paperwork. The stator winding of the DFIG directly coupled with the constant source of the grid voltage. On the contrary, the rotor is supplied with a bi-directional power electronic converters which allow its amplitude of the voltage, frequency, and phase shift to be modified with respect to the stator voltage requirement and hence the machine can operate at different points of
torque, stator power and rotor active and reactive powers and the total amount of power sent to the grid varies [4]. To achieve a certain power exchange with the grid, for a given amount of torque which varies as per the wind speed, control of the machine parameters, for instance, the rotor voltage amplitude, current and phase shift must be achieved as the paper focused on.

2 Modeling of the Machine

Before proceeding to the control and analysis of the machine for its performance, a model of the machine in space vector form using Clarke and park transformations is very important to facilitate the simulation and implementation of control schemes in wind power systems. The Induction Generator space-vector model is generally composed of three sets of equations: voltage equations, flux linkage equations, and motion equation [6,7]. The voltage equations for the stator and rotor are given by,

\[ \begin{align*}
    \mathbf{V}_s &= \mathbf{L}_s \mathbf{i}_s + \omega_m \mathbf{L}_m \mathbf{i}_r \\
    \mathbf{V}_r &= \mathbf{L}_r \mathbf{i}_r + \omega_m \mathbf{L}_m \mathbf{i}_s 
\end{align*} \]

Writing the rotor voltage in stator reference frame:

\[ \mathbf{V}_r = \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_s \]

Where, \( \mathbf{T} \) is transformation factor,

\[ \mathbf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{bmatrix} \]

Then, \( \mathbf{V}_r = \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}_s \)

Multiplying both sides by \( \mathbf{T}^{-1} \) and taking \( \mathbf{T}^{-1} = \mathbf{T} \)

\[ \omega_r = \omega_m \rightarrow \mathbf{f}_r = s \mathbf{f}_s \]

Thus,

\[ \mathbf{V}_r = [\mathbf{L}_r \mathbf{L}_m \mathbf{L}_s \mathbf{L}_r \mathbf{L}_s \mathbf{L}_m] \mathbf{V}_s \]

In the same way, the stator and rotor flux expressions in space vector form for stationary reference frame:

\[ \begin{align*}
    \mathbf{\psi}_s &= \mathbf{L}_s \mathbf{i}_s + \mathbf{L}_m \mathbf{i}_r \\
    \mathbf{\psi}_r &= \mathbf{L}_r \mathbf{i}_r + \mathbf{L}_m \mathbf{i}_s 
\end{align*} \]

Where, \( \mathbf{L}_s, \mathbf{L}_r, \mathbf{L}_m \) are the stator, rotor and mutual inductances (H), \( \mathbf{L}_l_s, \mathbf{L}_l_r \) are the stator and rotor leakage inductances (H), \( \omega \) is the speed of the reference frame (rad/s), \( \omega_m \) is the mechanical angular velocity of the generator rotor (rad/s). On the other hand:

\[ \begin{align*}
    P_s &= \frac{3}{2}(v_{as}i_{as} + v_{bs}i_{bs}) \\
    Q_s &= \frac{3}{2}(v_{as}i_{as} - v_{bs}i_{bs}) 
\end{align*} \]

While the electromagnetic torque, created by the DFIG, can be calculated by:

\[ T_{em} = \frac{3}{2} p I_m (\mathbf{\psi}_r \mathbf{\dot{I}_r}) = \frac{3}{2} p (\mathbf{\psi}_r^2 \mathbf{I}_r) \]

Where \( p \) is number of pole pairs and \( T_{em} \) is electromagnetic torque in the shaft of the machine.

By adding the mechanical motion equation that describes the rotor speed behavior:

\[ T_{em} - T_m = J \frac{d\omega_m}{dt} \]

Where \( \omega_m \) is mechanical rotational speed (rad/s), \( J \) is equivalent inertia of the mechanical axis determined and \( T_m \), the load torque applied to the shaft, the mod-
els of the DFIG above are used in Matlab-simulink software for further analysis. The space vector model of the DFIG can be also represented in a synchronously rotating frame (dq) by multiplying the voltage expressions by $e^{j\beta_s}$ & $e^{j\theta_r}$, respectively, then the dq voltage equations can be [8]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^a_s &= i_s R_s + \frac{d\psi^a_s}{dt} + j\omega_s \psi^a_s \\
\psi^a_r &= i_r R_r + \frac{d\psi^a_r}{dt} + j\omega_r \psi^a_r
\end{align*}
$$

From which the dq-axis stator currents are:

$$
i_{ds} = \frac{\psi_s - L_s m}{L_s} i_{dr}\quad\text{and}\quad i_{qs} = \frac{L_m}{L_s} i_{qr}
$$

Substituting $i_{ds}$ and $i_{qp}$ in $P_s$ and $Q_s$, Eqn.(15 and 16):

$$
i_{dr} = \frac{2L_s}{3V_g L_m} p_s\quad\text{and}\quad i_{dr} = \frac{V_g}{L_m L_s o_s} - \frac{2}{3} Q_s L_m
$$

As of Eqn.(19), the independent control of $i_{dr}$ for $P_s$ and $Q_s$ control respectively with respect to a reference value is possible. The PWM converter acts on the rotor of the generator and the control is done by means of the signals of the rotor and the stator currents, the stator voltage, and the rotor position [10]. The $P_s$ & $Q_s$ of the stator are controlled by the Rotor Side Converter (RSC) by taking consideration of the delay time due to a converter. Therefore, it is worthwhile to investigate the controllability of $P_s$ and $Q_s$ by the rotor voltage and current.

The electromagnetic torque generated by the machine using the relationship between the stator flux, and the rotor current is given by [9]:

$$
T_{em} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{L_m}{L_s} (\psi_{qs} i_{dr} - \psi_{ds} i_{qr})
$$

Under stator flux orientation, this expression may be written as:

$$
T_{em} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{L_m}{L_s} (-\psi_{ds} i_{qr}) = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{L_m}{L_s} (\psi_{qs} i_{dr})
$$

Hence, the electromagnetic torque is directly controlled by the rotor quadrature current. Alternatively, we may use this expression to calculate the mechanical power:

$$
P_{mech} = T_{em} \omega = -\frac{3}{2} \frac{L_m}{L_s} \psi_{qs} i_{qr} \omega_m
$$

3 Control Methodology of DFIG

Control is an important part in the system since with out it is not possible to let the system work properly. The stator flux scheme of the vector control method is used in this study where the d-axis is aligned with the stator flux. In steady state, the stator flux is proportional to the grid voltage, $V_g$. Neglecting the small drop in the stator resistance; yields [9]:

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{ds} &= 0 \\
V_{qs} &= V_g \approx \omega_s \psi_s, \text{where} \quad \psi_s = \psi_{ds} \quad \text{and also} \quad \psi_{qs} = 0
\end{align*}
$$

Now, the equation can be re-written as:

$$
\psi_{ds} = L_s i_{ds} + L_m i_{dr} = \psi_s \quad \text{&} \quad \psi_{qs} = L_s i_{qs} + L_m i_{qr} = 0
$$

4 Designing a Proportional-Integral (PI) Controller

In order to determine the dynamic properties of systems and analyze how they respond to step input functions, designing a PI controller in determining their gain parameters and applying them into a system like wind power energy conversions system (WECS) is very important. The controller can play a greater role in attenuating the signal to the desired value with minimalization of error and optimization of the process output.
Even though PID control is by far the most common way of using feedback in natural and man-made systems, most controllers do not use derivative action [11]. That is why a PI control is selected in this study for tuning the machine parameters. This section describes a fundamental concepts of a PI control and ways of determining parameters of the controller for the DFIG connected with the grid and the back to back PWM converter used in the system for optimal power flow to ensure stable and improved power quality.

A PI controller takes control action based on past and present errors so as to result in reasonable outcomes. The inner or current control loop is applied in real and reactive power flow control in the stator and rotor part of the machine and the voltage (outer) control loop can be designed and used for controlling the DC link voltage between two back to back converters for stable power flow to the grid through the converters. However, this paper doesn’t focus on DC link voltage control.

For designing a PI controller [12], we need to know the gain $K_p$, delay time $(T_i)$ and time constant $\tau$ for calculating the controller parameters. To select the best values of the controller parameters, the tuning procedures can be used whenever required.

Fig. 1 shows a PI controller for a given power plant.

Where error $(e) =$ reference $(r)$-output $(y)$

The PI control in mathematical expression is given as:

$$u(t) = k_p e(t) + k_i \int_0^t e(\tau) \, d\tau$$

(23)

Where $u(t)$ is an input to the plant or output of PI controller.

Taking the Laplace transform:

$$U(s) = k_p E(s) + k_i \frac{E(s)}{s} = [k_p + k_i \frac{1}{s}]E(s)$$

(24)

Where $K_p$ and $K_i$ are proportional and integral gain constants respectively.

Taking $K_i = K_p / T_i$, where $T_i$ is integral time constant

$$U(s) = k_p [1 + \frac{1}{T_i s}]$$

(25)

The DC gain, $K_{dc}$ or $K_p$ is the ratio of the magnitude of the steady-state step response to the magnitude of the step input, and for stable systems it is the value of the transfer function when $s=0$.

Having the above equations, the PI control can be redrawn as shown in either of Fig. 2 (a and b). Here Fig. 2(b) is used.

Fig. 2. PI controller block diagram

$K_p'$ is used just to differentiate it from $K_p$ in the two block diagrams. The transfer function of PI controller is $u(t)$ into $e(t)$.

From block diagram (a)

$$\frac{u(t)}{e(t)} = \frac{K_p + \frac{K_p}{T_i s}}{K_i s}$$

(26)

When simplified:

$$\frac{u(t)}{e(t)} = \frac{K_p}{T_i s} + \frac{1}{T_i}$$

(27)

From block (b)

$$\frac{u(t)}{e(t)} = \frac{K_p'}{s} + \frac{K_i}{s}$$

(28)

$$\frac{u(t)}{e(t)} = \frac{sK_p' + K_i}{s} = \frac{1}{K_i} \left(\frac{K_p'}{s} + K_i + 1\right)$$

(29)

Relating equation (27) and (29) obtained from two block diagrams (a) and (b):

$$\frac{k_p}{T_i} = \frac{K_i}{K_p}$$

(30)

$$T_i = \frac{K_p}{K_i} \rightarrow K_i = \frac{K_p}{T_i}$$

5 The DFIG Transfer Function
At steady state, the equivalent circuit of the DFIG can be given as shown in Fig. 3 [13].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Rs} & \quad \text{Lls} & \quad \text{Llr} & \quad \text{Rr/s} \\
\text{Vs} & \quad \text{Ir} & \quad \text{Im} & \quad \text{Lm}\psi_s & \quad \text{Ψr}
\end{align*}
\]

Fig. 3. Steady state equivalent circuit of DFIG.

Representing the general equations in an arbitrary reference frame in which the reference frame speed \( (\omega_k=0) \) and also assuming mechanical speed \( (\omega_m=0) \), \( V_{ds}=0 \), transformation ratio \( (N_s/N_r=a=1) \) and referring rotor parameters to stator side, the above equivalent circuit can be modified as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Rs}+\text{Rr'} & \quad \text{Lls}+\text{Llr'} \\
\text{Vs} & \quad \text{Idr} & \quad \text{Vdr} & \quad \text{shorted}
\end{align*}
\]

Fig. 4. Simplified DFIG equivalent circuit for PI parameter determination.

Under this condition, the rotor voltage can be given:

\[
v_{dr} = R_i i_d + L_i \frac{d i_d}{dt} \tag{31}
\]

Where, \( R_i \) and \( R_r' \) are stator & rotor resistances and \( R_r' \) and \( Llr' \) are rotor resistance and inductance values referred to stator side where \( R=R_i+R_r' \) and \( L=Lls+Llr' \)

When get written in the laplace transform:

\[
v_{dr} = R_i i_d + L_i \frac{d i_d}{dt} \tag{32}
\]

The transfer function is given as:

\[
\frac{i_{dr}}{v_{dr}} = \frac{1}{R_i L_i s + 1} = \frac{1}{R_i L_i s + 1} T_m s + 1 \tag{33}
\]

Where, \( T_m = L/R = T_i = \tau \) is compensateable time constant of the plant. The transfer function in \( q \) axis can be the same as with Eqn.(33) with interchange of \( d \) by \( q \). Fig. 5 shows its block diagram.

Fig. 5. Transfer function block.

From the control point of view, the converter is considered as an ideal power transformer with a time delay. The output voltage of the converter is assumed to follow a voltage reference signal with an average time delay equals half of a switching cycle \( (T_{avg}=T_{sw}/2) \), due to VSC switches [14]. In other words, this can be achieved by taking the sampling frequency \( (f_s) \) as twice of switching frequency \( (f_{sw}) \) as used in this paper and accordingly, the sampling and switching periods are; \( T_s=T_{sw}/2 \)

Where, \( T_s = \) sampling time.

A delay time, \( T_\Sigma \) is required to ensure the performance of the loop. Hence the transfer function between a reference and actual voltage is given as:

\[
Y(s) = \frac{1}{1 + s T_\Sigma} \tag{34}
\]

Where \( T_\Sigma \) is the non compensatable time delay given as:

\[
T_\Sigma = \frac{3}{2} T_s \tag{35}
\]

Where \( Y(s) \) is the 1.5Ts delay due to two factors. The delay of \( T_s \) is introduced due to the elaboration of the computation device and 0.5 Ts delay is introduced by the PWM [15]. Fig. 6 (a) shows the transfer function of delay between the reference and actual voltage and (b) shows how it goes to reach actual value.

\[
V_{d/q} = V_{d/q} \ast \frac{1}{1 + s T_\Sigma} \tag{36}
\]

Thus for PI controller block,

\[
[I_{d/q}(s) \ast -I_{d/q}(s)] [K_p + \frac{1}{T_i s}] = V_{d/q}(s) \tag{37}
\]

The actual input voltage to the PWM converter in dq frame which needs to be converted into abc stationary frame by using Inverse Park and the inverse Clark transformation is given as:

\[
U_{d/q}(s) = U_{d/qPWM} = (I_{d/q}(s) \ast -I_{d/q}(s)) [K_p + \frac{1}{T_i s}] \frac{1}{1 + s T_\Sigma} \tag{38}
\]

Accordingly, the current control loop for the given plant is given as shown in Fig. 7.
Fig. 7. Current control loop of the plant. \( T_i = T_M \) and when simplified, the block diagram can be given as shown in Fig. 8.

Fig. 8. Simplified current control loop

\[
G = \frac{K_p}{T_i R (s(T_i + 1))} = \frac{i_d}{s} = \frac{i_q}{s} \tag{39}
\]

Where \( G \) is the open loop control gain and also considered as a process and the controller transfer functions in \( P(s) \) and \( C(s) \), i.e.

\[
P(s) \ast C(s) = \frac{1 + P(s) \ast C(s)}{1 + P(s) \ast C(s)} = G \tag{40}
\]

For closed loop:

\[
\frac{i_d}{i_q} = \frac{1}{1 + G} = \frac{K_p}{s(T_i + 1)^2 + T_i R} \tag{41}
\]

Eqn. (41) can be simplified as:

\[
\frac{i_d}{i_q} = \frac{K_p}{s(T_i + 1)^2 + T_i R} \tag{42}
\]

Standard second order transfer function is given [16]:

\[
T(s) = \frac{\omega_n^2}{s^2 + 2\zeta \omega_n s + \omega_n^2} \tag{43}
\]

Where \( \omega_n \) is undamped natural frequency (rad/s) which determines the time-scale of the response and \( \zeta \) is the damping ratio which is a dimensionless quantity characterizing the energy losses in the system due to such effects as viscous friction or electrical resistance. Relating Eqn. (42) and (43)

\[
\frac{K_p}{T_i T_\Sigma R} = \omega_n^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{T_\Sigma} = 2\zeta \omega_n \rightarrow \omega_n = \frac{1}{2T_\Sigma^2} \tag{44}
\]

Simplifying Eqn. (44) gives:

\[
K_p = \frac{4T_\Sigma^2}{T_i R} \tag{45}
\]

The polynomial ‘s’ in equation (43) is called the Characteristic Equation and its roots will determine the system transient response. Their values are:

\[
s_1, s_2 = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} = \frac{\omega_n (\zeta \pm j\sqrt{1 - \zeta^2})}{2} \tag{46}
\]

Where the term \((b^2 - 4ac)\) is the discriminant. Considering critical damping for the system, where, \( \zeta = 1/\sqrt{2} \) and simplifying Eqn. (44) again gives:

\[
\frac{1}{4T_\Sigma^2} = \frac{K_p}{T_i T_\Sigma R} \rightarrow K_p = \frac{T_i R}{2T_\Sigma^2} \tag{47}
\]

\[\tau = T_i = T_M = L/R = \text{time constant}\]

Then, \( K_p = \frac{L}{2T_\Sigma} \tag{48} \]

Using the above method of tuning the current controller, the DFIG under study whose parameters are obtained from no load and short circuit test and nameplate data [17] is calculated for the gains in which, \( K_p = 0.21 \) and \( T_i = 28.87 \) ms and the results are discussed in the subsequent sections. The switching frequency of the converter is taken as 5kHz. The average time delay of the converter is then, \( T_\Sigma = 3/2Ts = 150 \) μs.

6 Results and Discussions

The DFIG of a 1.5 MW, 690 V, 50 Hz, 1800 rpm rated rotor speed with its other specific parameters is used in this study for the analysis. Once the DFIG machine is modeled for wind power applications and its controller gains are get determined, the Matlab-Simulink software can be used for result analysis. The simplified block diagram implemented in Matlab-Simulink is shown in Fig. 9.

Fig. 9. Matlab model for simulations.
6.1. Stability
For the purpose of stability analysis of a system, the Bounded Input Bounded Output (BIBO) [16] definition of stability which states that a system is stable if the output remains bounded for all bounded (finite) inputs can be recalled. Substituting, the values of the numerical solutions, the standard second order transfer function form of the plant can be re-written:

\[ \frac{i_k}{i_s} = \frac{K_i}{s^2 + 2\varsigma\omega_s + \omega^2} = \frac{2800326}{s^2 + 6666.67s + 2800326} \]

Using the Matlab, the poles of the open system are \(10^3[-6.2162-i0.4505]\). Thus, this system is stable since the real parts of the poles are both negative. In addition, a single real pole at \(s=0\) is positive and non-zeros implies the system's stability.

Having the factory test report of the machine [17], Fig. 10 is drawn for the comparison of it with the numerical solutions of Fig. 11 [18].

Fig. 10. Factory test results of the DFIG.

Fig. 11. Numerical solution results of the DFIG [20].

Negative real power simply is the indication of generation mode. As it can be seen from Fig.10 and 11, the rotor of the generator receives power \(P_r\) from the grid through converters during the subsynchronous mode of operation and sends it during super-synchronous operation, whereas the stator is sending power \(P_s\) to the grid. The positive value is used here. The grid power which is drawn with positive values of \(P_s\) and \(P_r\), in this case, is shown in Fig. 10 and 11. Comparing the grid power with mechanical input power, they are almost following similar behavior with slight deviation due to losses in the system.

Fig.12 shows the rotor speed of the machine in rpm at subsynchronous and super synchronous states for the given wind speed. The assumed wind speed used here by repeating sequence of Matlab-Simulink is (4, 6, 11, 11, 6, 4) over the 30 seconds simulation period.

![Fig. 12. The rotor speed of the machine.](image)

At the rotor speed shown in Fig. 12, the performance analysis of the machine in \(\alpha\beta\) coordinates when the stator and rotor voltages are injected into the machine as well as with the load torque for the given machine will reach different steady state points are as described here below at sub and super synchronous speeds at specific speeds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Performance Analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rotor speed (rpm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tem (kNm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_s) (MW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_r) (MW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q_s) (MW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Q_r) (MW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_g) (MW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P_m) (MW)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The simulation results over the 30s simulation period are given in Figure 13 (a)-(j).
From Fig. 13 (a), (b), (e) and (f), the stator and rotor input voltages are imposed. Near at 7.5 seconds of the simulation period where the wind speed change occurred, the rotor voltage is 180° phase shifted producing the speed change from 1400 rpm (sub synchronous) to 2000 rpm (super synchronous). The phase changes are also seen between time periods of 20-30 seconds where again the assumed wind speed is to be changing (see Fig. 13 (e), (f), and Fig.12). On the other hand, the stator voltage is not modified.

- Both abc and αβ stator voltages have the same pulsations and equal amplitude. However, the phase of a rotor voltage is shifting at super synchronism and at sub synchronism.
- The αβ stator and rotor fluxes are remaining unchanged during the simulation (Fig. 13i and 13j).
- The stator αβ currents have very similar behavior to the stator voltages as they remain constant during the simulation period. In connection, the rotor currents phases remain constant while its abc voltages and currents phases are altering at supersynchronous and sub-synchronous speeds (Fig. 13e-13h).

Fig. 14 shows the simulation result of electromagnetic torque developed.

The control is imposed through dual converters to track the stator active power and to maintain the reactive power for unity power factor. However, this situation can be modified as per the demand of power utility to be specified at certain limits. Fig. 15 shows us that the PI controller well tracks the stator power to its reference point for optimum power control.
The stator active power at an assumed wind speed over a simulation period is near 0.95 MW for higher speed and follows the characteristics of the wind. The reactive power is maintained to be controlled at unity power factor. However, there is small deviation at high wind speeds as the machine tries to support the grid with reactive power.

At super synchronous speed, the rotor and stator active powers have an equal sign, both negative indicating as the machine is generating. On the other hand, at subsynchronous speed, the rotor active power becomes positive (due to a positive slip). At this condition, the rotor is receiving power from the grid. The rotor power is near 0.4 MW of power at higher wind speed. It again follows the same pattern as of measured and numeric results discussed before.

The grid power as the sum of stator and rotor powers neglecting losses is near 1.35 MW in higher wind. The grid power due to losses is slightly less than the mechanical power. The same situation is shown in Fig. 10 and 11 from calculation results. The efficiency of the machine is simulated with no loss assumption as shown in Fig. 20 and seen better at higher speed.
Following, the performance of the DFIG is analyzed in dq coordinates once the parameters are transformed into a rotating dq frame using Parke transformation at stator flux orientation. The waveforms in Fig. 21 (a)-(f) are simulated results of the stator and rotor voltages, currents and fluxes in dq frames.

Fig. 21. A DFIG performance analysis in dq axis at the sub and super synchronous speeds: (a) dq stator voltages, (b) dq stator currents, (c) dq stator fluxes, (d) dq rotor voltages, (e) dq rotor currents, (f) dq rotor fluxes.

From the simulation results:

- we can see that the dq stator voltage components remain constant during the entire simulation period, due to the fact that they do not depend on the speed (Fig. 21 (a)); however, the rotor dq voltages illus-
the stator d fluxes are constant during the entire simulation regardless of speed changes (Fig. 21c). The q component of the flux is zero since the dq reference frame has been aligned to the stator flux space vector,

- the rotor and stator fluxes show similar pattern except for the q-axis rotor flux slight variation at supersynchronous speeds (Fig. 21c and f).
- the stator and rotor d current components remain fixed and q components have an equal pulsation variation due to the change of speed (Fig. 21b and e).

7 Conclusion
In this paper, the DFIG for its steady state and dynamic operation has been presented. Once the dynamic model has been established, the system is studied for its performance analysis. The numerical analysis, the factory test and simulation results agree with many aspects, however, there exist small deviations in some values due to losses, approximations, and assumptions. The PI controller gains can tune the variables to the desired reference point and hence it is possible to control the rotor currents and hence the active and reactive powers to their respective reference points as per the demand of power authority. Lastly, the modeling gave various pieces of information regarding the performance of the machine and also can give further ideas based on its implementation and need. The model and simulation can also be in different ways.
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