### Lateral Stability Analysis of Telehandlers Based on Multibody Dynamics

HAOLIANG GUO,<sup>1, \*</sup> XIHUI MU,<sup>2</sup> FENGPO DU,<sup>2</sup> KAI LV<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Ammunition Engineering Ordnance Engineering College Shijiazhuang China (Beijing) <sup>2</sup>Research Institute of Ordnance Technology Shijiazhuang China (Beijing) <sup>\*</sup>haocui876@163.com

*Abstract:* At the beginning, this article analyzed the lateral stability of telehandlers, and reached the following results: the critical overturning angle, the critical sliding angle, and the conditions of sliding happen before overturning. Then, the ADAM virtual prototype of telehandlers was built based on the multibody dynamics model, and the dynamic simulation of the lateral stability of telehandlers was carried out, and then we got the rule of that the right tire reacting force change with the critical overturning angle with frame leveling or not. Base on the 'UA' model of tire, we studied the influence of vertical stiffness and lateral stiffness on the lateral stability of telehandlers. The result of virtual simulation method was compared with that of the theoretical calculation and platform experiment. It can be seen that the result of the virtual simulation method is closer to the actual situation, and can shorten the development process and reduce the cost, which can guide the structure design of telehandlers more scientifically. This article can also provide reference for the design of the lateral stability of the rear axle articulated vehicles.

Key-Words: Telehandlers, Lateral Stability, ADAMS, Overturning Angle, Multibody Dynamics

### 1 Introduction

Telehandlers is mainly used in the fields of military and civilian logistics, architectural engineering, agriculture, etc. Vehicle integrates the functions of cranes, forklifts, and loaders, becomes the important logistics and engineering equipment. A variety of works can be implemented, such as obstaclesurmounting operations, pulling in and out operations, loading and unloading operations without operation platform, as well as the integrated high raising operations with large span.

Telehandlers stability can maintain the normal performance under all possible conditions without sliding and overturning. Sliding angle and overturning angle are usually used to evaluate the stability, and should be preferentially considered in the structure layout and design of telehandlers[1]. A wealth of statistical data show that majority forklifts rollover accidents happened are caused by the lateral instability[2]. Therefore, the lateral stability of telehandlers study is extremely necessary.

Currently, there are three methods to evaluate the lateral stability of telehandlers: theoretical calculation, virtual simulation and platform experiment. They are distinguished from each other, as well as connected with each other. Platform experiment is a traditional method to verify the lateral stability of telehandlers, commonly used in the performance simulation of virtual prototype. Theoretical calculation method is often used in the vehicle structure design, especially in the barycenter position design, whose analysis result has some differences with the actual situation. Virtual simulation method is often used in the performance simulation of virtual prototype, which largely overcomes errors of the theoretical calculation to the actual, but also replaces the process of "physical prototype design verification" in traditional design, which has large resource consumption. This method can avoid the phenomenon of rebuilding prototype due to parametric design unreasonable in platform experiment [3, 4].

This paper studied the vehicle leveling system and the influence of tire vertical stiffness, lateral stiffness on lateral stability of telehandlers by using ADAMS, and compared its result with those of theoretical calculation and platform experiment.

# 2 The lateral stability analysis of telehandlers

For safety reasons, sliding and overturning are the

sign of instability, and overturning is a bigger danger. So, it must be ensured that there is no sliding and overturning happen, at least that the sliding could happen before overturning, which is the precondition to stability analysis and calculation[1, 5]. Telehandlers with a rigid suspension weighs G, its static force diagram on the slope with  $\alpha$  angle is as shown in the figure 1.

The barycenter is marked as F, the support force from slope to two wheels is  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ , and the static friction is  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  as wheels sliding down. Noload lateral overturning moment and stability moment can be got at P, which is an intersection point of slope and the tire bottom. [6, 7].



Fig. 1 Force diagram of telehandlers when laterally driving on the slope

#### No-load lateral overturning moment is given by:

$$T_{\rm w} = mgh\sin\alpha \tag{1}$$

Where *m* is the no-load mass of the vehicle,  $\alpha$  is the gradient, *h* is the perpendicular distance from barycenter to slope with none-load.

No-load lateral stability moment is given by:

$$T_z = mg(\frac{b+d}{2} - e)\cos\alpha \tag{2}$$

Where b is the wheelbase, d is the wheel width; e is the offset distance from barycenter to the longitudinal symmetry plane.

The stability coefficient is defined as: (normally, the value should be greater than 1.5)

$$n = \frac{T_w}{T_z} \tag{3}$$

State of critical overturning: make *n* be 1, get that:

$$\tan \alpha = \frac{b+d-2e}{2h} \tag{4}$$

So, we can get that:

$$\alpha_{t} = \arctan\left(\left(b + d - 2e\right)/2h\right) \tag{5}$$

Where  $\alpha_t$  is the critical overturning angle. It follows that the critical overturning angle is the angle between the barycenter line and vehicle longitudinal line when the barycenter line go through the point P. So, it relates to the barycenter position of telehandlers, not the mass, and the critical overturning angle increases with the ratio of horizontal and vertical component of barycenter position.

The maximum static friction is given by:

$$Z = Z_1 + Z_2 = \mu_1 Y_1 + \mu_2 Y_2 \tag{6}$$

Where  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are coefficients of static friction of the two wheels (that is adhesion coefficient), normally,  $\mu_1=\mu_2=\mu$ .

Critical sliding state, make that:

$$Z = mg \sin \alpha_s = \mu (Y_1 + Y_2) = \mu mg \cos \alpha_s \qquad (7)$$
  
The Critical sliding angle is given by:

$$\alpha_s = \arctan(\mu) \tag{8}$$

It follows that, when the coefficients of static friction of the two wheels is equal, the critical sliding angle is proportion to the coefficient of static friction.

So, the condition to ensure sliding happen before overturning is that:  $\alpha_s < \alpha_t$ , which means that the ratio of horizontal and vertical component of barycenter position is bigger than the coefficient of static friction:

$$\frac{b+d-2e}{2h} > \mu \tag{9}$$

# 3 Multibody dynamics model of telehandlers

The dynamic system of telehandlers is made up of several parts, it has many kinematic characteristics, such as inertia, elasticity, damping, etc. Because telehandlers can be influenced by the steering wheel rotation, aerodynamic (such as lateral wind) and uneven road, its kinestate can be changed easily, which may cause overturning happen. Because the influence factors are dynamic, it is difficult to guide the vehicle barycenter position inspection and structure parameter adjustment according to usual static criteria of lateral stability. Therefore, to establish a dynamic model and test the stability of telehandlers by ADAMS kinematics simulation is necessary [6, 8].

Telehandlers has the four-wheel drive system and three-section telescopic boom structure, and three steering ways, such as two-wheel steering, fourwheel steering and crab steering. Front axle and rear axle are connected by rigid suspension. The frame hinges with front axle and rear axle through the cylindrical joint, and can swing with some degree opposite to the both sides of axle. The front axle installs the leveling cylinder, which makes the front axle could be locked and makes the frame can level within 10 degree more or less. Therefore, telehandlers can handle the uneven placed materials, or drive on the slope full-loaded.

Based on the frame structure and the multibody dynamics theory, we established the vehicle multibody dynamics model. The mass of the vehicle can be divided into three parts, the mass of frame and front axle marked as  $M_1$ , rear axle mass marked as  $M_2$ , the combined mass of telescopic boom and fork marked as  $M_3$ , as shown in figure 2. Simplify the part between the telescopic boom and the frame to a piecewise linear spring with viscous damping. Spring is located between the two hinge point marked as F and F' of the hydraulic cylinder, spring stiffness is set as  $K_b$ , and damping is set as  $C_b$ . Simplify the tires to a three-dimensional piecewise linear springs with viscous damping.

Take the right front wheel for example, vertical spring is located between the point A<sub>0</sub> and the wheel center point A, and it's vertical stiffness is set as  $K_{fl}$ , vertical damping is set as C<sub>fl</sub>. Lengthways spring is connected with point A<sub>0</sub> at one end, and the other end is suspended along the lengthways direction, and it's lengthways stiffness is set as  $K_{\rm f2}$ , lengthways damping is set as C<sub>f2</sub>. Driving force P<sub>A</sub> and running resistance  $F_A$  act on point A' along the lengthways direction, which on the suspended end of lengthways spring. Lateral spring is connected with the point  $A_0$  at one end, and on the other end of lateral spring is suspended along the lateral direction, and it's lateral stiffness is set as  $K_{f3}$ , lateral damping is set as Cf3 Lateral force SA (lateral adhesion force or sliding friction force) laterally act on point A", which on the suspended end of lateral spring.

Similarly, the other three wheels are simplified in the same way with the right front wheel. So, the dynamic system has complete six degrees of freedom [9-11].



**Fig. 2** Multibody dynamics model of telehandlers Related simulation parameters of telehandlers as following:

ParametersSignsValuesUnitsOverall LengthL6590mmOverall WidthW2340mmOverall HeightH2390mmMaximum LoadsG2500kgTotal MassM7990kgFront Axle MassM12560kgRear Axle MassM23707kgTelescopic Boom and ForkM31723kgLoad Center Distanceh1600mmMaximum SpeedV45km/hMinimum Turning RadiusR7200mmMinimum Ground Clearanceh2400mmVertical StiffnessKf11000N/mmVertical StiffnessKf240000NLateral StiffnessKf330000N/radLateral Damping CoefficientCf32.3Static FrictionUMA X1.1Rolling ResistanceX1.1	telenandiers				
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} Overall Length & L & 6590 & mm \\ Overall Width & W & 2340 & mm \\ Overall Height & H & 2390 & mm \\ Maximum Loads & G & 2500 & kg \\ Total Mass & M & 7990 & kg \\ Front Axle Mass & M_1 & 2560 & kg \\ Rear Axle Mass & M_2 & 3707 & kg \\ Telescopic Boom and \\ Fork & M_3 & 1723 & kg \\ Load Center Distance & h_1 & 600 & mm \\ Maximum Speed & V & 45 & km/h \\ Minimum Turning \\ Radius & R & 7200 & mm \\ Minimum Ground \\ Clearance & h_2 & 400 & mm \\ Vertical Stiffness & K_{f1} & 1000 & N/mm \\ Vertical Damping \\ Coefficient & C_{f1} & 3.1 \\ Lengthways Stiffness & K_{f2} & 4000 & N \\ Lateral Stiffness & K_{f3} & 30000 & N/rad \\ Lateral Damping \\ Coefficient & C_{f3} & 2.3 \\ Static Friction & UMA \\ Coefficient & X & 1.1 \\ Rolling Resistance \\ \end{array}$	Parameters	Signs	Values	Units	
Overall WidthW2340mmOverall HeightH2390mmMaximum LoadsG2500kgTotal MassM7990kgFront Axle MassM12560kgRear Axle MassM23707kgTelescopic Boom and ForkM31723kgLoad Center Distanceh1600mmMaximum SpeedV45km/hMinimum Turning RadiusR7200mmMinimum Ground Clearanceh2400mmVertical StiffnessKf11000N/mmVertical StiffnessKf24000NLateral StiffnessKf24000NLateral StiffnessKf330000N/radLateral Damping CoefficientCf32.3Static FrictionUMA X1.1Rolling ResistanceX1.1	Overall Length	L	6590	mm	
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} Overall Height & H & 2390 & mm \\ Maximum Loads & G & 2500 & kg \\ Total Mass & M & 7990 & kg \\ Front Axle Mass & M_1 & 2560 & kg \\ Rear Axle Mass & M_2 & 3707 & kg \\ Telescopic Boom and \\ Fork & M_3 & 1723 & kg \\ Load Center Distance & h_1 & 600 & mm \\ Maximum Speed & V & 45 & km/h \\ Minimum Turning \\ Radius & R & 7200 & mm \\ Minimum Ground \\ Clearance & h_2 & 400 & mm \\ Vertical Stiffness & K_{f1} & 1000 & N/mm \\ Vertical Damping \\ Coefficient & C_{f1} & 3.1 \\ Lengthways Stiffness & K_{f2} & 4000 & N \\ Lateral Stiffness & K_{f3} & 30000 & N/rad \\ Lateral Damping \\ Coefficient & C_{f3} & 2.3 \\ Static Friction & UMA \\ Coefficient & X & 1.1 \\ Rolling Resistance \\ \end{array}$	Overall Width	W	2340	mm	
Maximum LoadsG2500kgTotal MassM7990kgFront Axle MassM12560kgRear Axle MassM23707kgTelescopic Boom and ForkM31723kgLoad Center Distanceh1600mmMaximum SpeedV45km/hMinimum Turning RadiusR7200mmMinimum Ground Clearanceh2400mmVertical StiffnessKf11000N/mmVertical Damping CoefficientCf13.1Lengthways StiffnessKf240000NLateral StiffnessKf330000N/radLateral Damping CoefficientCf32.3Static FrictionUMA X1.1Rolling ResistanceX1.1	Overall Height	Н	2390	mm	
Total MassM7990kgFront Axle Mass $M_1$ 2560kgRear Axle Mass $M_2$ 3707kgTelescopic Boom and Fork $M_3$ 1723kgLoad Center Distance $h_1$ 600mmMaximum SpeedV45km/hMinimum Turning RadiusR7200mmMinimum Ground Clearance $h_2$ 400mmVertical Stiffness $K_{f1}$ 1000N/mmVertical Stiffness $K_{f2}$ 4000NLateral Damping Coefficient $C_{f1}$ 3.1Lateral Stiffness $K_{f2}$ 40000NLateral Damping Coefficient $C_{f3}$ 2.3Static FrictionUMA X1.1Rolling ResistanceX1.1	Maximum Loads	G	2500	kg	
Front Axle Mass $M_1$ 2560kgRear Axle Mass $M_2$ 3707kgTelescopic Boom and Fork $M_3$ 1723kgLoad Center Distance $h_1$ 600mmMaximum SpeedV45km/hMinimum Turning RadiusR7200mmMinimum Ground Clearance $h_2$ 400mmVertical Stiffness $K_{f1}$ 1000N/mmVertical Stiffness $K_{f1}$ 1000N/mmVertical Damping Coefficient $C_{f1}$ 3.1Lengthways Stiffness $K_{f2}$ 40000NLateral Stiffness $K_{f2}$ 30000N/radLateral Damping Coefficient $C_{f3}$ 2.3Static FrictionUMA X1.1Rolling ResistanceX1.1	Total Mass	Μ	7990	kg	
Rear Axle Mass $M_2$ $3707$ kgTelescopic Boom and Fork $M_3$ $1723$ kgLoad Center Distance $h_1$ $600$ mmMaximum SpeedV $45$ km/hMinimum Turning RadiusR $7200$ mmMinimum Ground Clearance $h_2$ $400$ mmVertical Stiffness $K_{f1}$ $1000$ N/mmVertical Stiffness $K_{f1}$ $1000$ N/mmVertical Damping Coefficient $C_{f1}$ $3.1$ Lengthways Stiffness $K_{f2}$ $40000$ NLateral Stiffness $K_{f2}$ $40000$ NLateral Damping Coefficient $C_{f3}$ $2.3$ Static FrictionUMA X $1.1$ Rolling Resistance $X$ $1.1$	Front Axle Mass	$M_1$	2560	kg	
Telescopic Boom and Fork $M_3$ 1723kgLoad Center Distance $h_1$ 600mmMaximum SpeedV45km/hMinimum Turning RadiusR7200mmMinimum Ground Clearance $h_2$ 400mmVertical Stiffness $K_{f1}$ 1000N/mmVertical Damping Coefficient $C_{f1}$ 3.1Lengthways Stiffness $K_{f2}$ 40000NLateral Stiffness $K_{f2}$ 40000NLateral Stiffness $K_{f3}$ 30000N/radLateral Damping Coefficient $C_{f3}$ 2.3Static FrictionUMA X1.1Rolling ResistanceX1.1	Rear Axle Mass	$M_2$	3707	kg	
Load Center Distance $h_1$ 600mmMaximum SpeedV45km/hMinimum Turning RadiusR7200mmMinimum Ground Clearance $h_2$ 400mmVertical StiffnessKfl1000N/mmVertical Damping CoefficientCfl3.1Lengthways StiffnessKf240000NLateral StiffnessKf330000N/radLateral Damping CoefficientCf32.3Static FrictionUMA X1.1Rolling ResistanceX1.1	Telescopic Boom and Fork	$M_3$	1723	kg	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Maximum Speed & V & 45 & km/h \\ Minimum Turning & R & 7200 & mm \\ Radius & R & 7200 & mm \\ \hline Minimum Ground & h_2 & 400 & mm \\ \hline Clearance & h_2 & 400 & mm \\ \hline Vertical Stiffness & K_{f1} & 1000 & N/mm \\ \hline Vertical Damping & C_{f1} & 3.1 \\ \hline Lengthways Stiffness & K_{f2} & 40000 & N \\ \hline Lateral Stiffness & K_{f3} & 30000 & N/rad \\ \hline Lateral Damping & C_{f3} & 2.3 \\ \hline Static Friction & UMA \\ \hline Coefficient & X & 1.1 \\ \hline Rolling Resistance & \end{array}$	Load Center Distance	$h_1$	600	mm	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} \mbox{Minimum Turning} & R & 7200 & mm \\ \mbox{Radius} & h_2 & 400 & mm \\ \mbox{Minimum Ground} & h_2 & 400 & mm \\ \mbox{Clearance} & K_{f1} & 1000 & N/mm \\ \mbox{Vertical Stiffness} & K_{f1} & 1000 & N/mm \\ \mbox{Vertical Damping} & C_{f1} & 3.1 \\ \mbox{Lengthways Stiffness} & K_{f2} & 40000 & N \\ \mbox{Lateral Stiffness} & K_{f3} & 30000 & N/rad \\ \mbox{Lateral Damping} & C_{f3} & 2.3 \\ \mbox{Coefficient} & C_{f3} & 2.3 \\ \mbox{Static Friction} & UMA \\ \mbox{Coefficient} & X & 1.1 \\ \mbox{Rolling Resistance} \end{array}$	Maximum Speed	V	45	km/h	
$\begin{array}{cccc} \mbox{Minimum Ground} & h_2 & 400 & mm \\ \mbox{Clearance} & & h_2 & 400 & mm \\ \mbox{Vertical Stiffness} & K_{f1} & 1000 & N/mm \\ \mbox{Vertical Damping} & C_{f1} & 3.1 \\ \mbox{Lengthways Stiffness} & K_{f2} & 40000 & N \\ \mbox{Lateral Stiffness} & K_{f3} & 30000 & N/rad \\ \mbox{Lateral Damping} & C_{f3} & 2.3 \\ \mbox{Static Friction} & UMA \\ \mbox{Coefficient} & X & 1.1 \\ \mbox{Rolling Resistance} \end{array}$	Minimum Turning Radius	R	7200	mm	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} \mbox{Vertical Stiffness} & K_{f1} & 1000 & \mbox{N/mm} \\ \mbox{Vertical Damping} & C_{f1} & 3.1 \\ \mbox{Lengthways Stiffness} & K_{f2} & 40000 & \mbox{N} \\ \mbox{Lateral Stiffness} & K_{f3} & 30000 & \mbox{N/rad} \\ \mbox{Lateral Damping} & C_{f3} & 2.3 \\ \mbox{Static Friction} & UMA \\ \mbox{Coefficient} & X & 1.1 \\ \mbox{Rolling Resistance} \end{array}$	Minimum Ground Clearance	$h_2$	400	mm	
Vertical Damping Coefficient $C_{f1}$ $3.1$ Lengthways Stiffness $K_{f2}$ 40000NLateral Stiffness $K_{f3}$ 30000N/radLateral Damping Coefficient $C_{f3}$ $2.3$ Static FrictionUMA X $1.1$ Rolling Resistance $X$	Vertical Stiffness	$K_{fl}$	1000	N/mm	
$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mbox{Lengthways Stiffness} & K_{f2} & 40000 & N \\ \mbox{Lateral Stiffness} & K_{f3} & 30000 & N/rad \\ \mbox{Lateral Damping} & C_{f3} & 2.3 \\ \mbox{Static Friction} & UMA \\ \mbox{Coefficient} & X & 1.1 \\ \mbox{Rolling Resistance} \end{array}$	Vertical Damping Coefficient	$C_{fl}$	3.1		
Lateral Stiffness $K_{f3}$ 30000N/radLateral Damping Coefficient $C_{f3}$ 2.3Static FrictionUMA Coefficient1.1Rolling Resistance	Lengthways Stiffness	$K_{f2}$	40000	Ν	
Lateral Damping Coefficient $C_{f3}$ 2.3Static FrictionUMA Coefficient1.1Rolling ResistanceX	Lateral Stiffness	K <sub>f3</sub>	30000	N/rad	
Static Friction UMA Coefficient X 1.1 Rolling Resistance	Lateral Damping Coefficient	$C_{f3}$	2.3		
Rolling Resistance	Static Friction Coefficient	UMA X	1.1		
Coefficient $f$ 4.0	Rolling Resistance Coefficient	f	4.0		
Tire Radius $R_T$ 650 mm	Tire Radius	R <sub>T</sub>	650	mm	
Tire Width $W_T$ 350 mm	Tire Width	ŴŢ	350	mm	

### Tab 1. Related simulation parameters of talabandlars

# 4 Dynamics simulation for lateral stability

### 4.1 The multi-body dynamics simulation model design

Based on the above multibody dynamics model of telehandlers, the ADAMS virtual prototype model with rigid suspension system is established, avoiding the complex mathematical formula derivation process. Import the UG model with the X\_T format into ADAMS to simulate. Before simulation, the environment of simulation is set, and then the required constraints is added after summing several parts of model, not only because that can make it easier to add constraints, but also can reduce the calculation amount during simulation and improve the accuracy of the simulation results[12].

Whether the frame of telehandlers level or not can directly affect the barycenter position, and also directly affect its lateral stability. Therefore, different conditions must be studied respectively.

The UA tire model used in the virtual prototype simulation model belongs to physical model, which

takes the unstable condition into account, and the effective frequency could be set to 8 Hz. It has good accuracy considering limited parameters. To prevent sliding happen before overturning, the coefficient of static friction should be set as 0.9.

As shown in figure 3, the ADAMS virtual prototype model of telehandlers with leveling system move on a 2D slope at no-load, and its telescopic boom was drawn back.



**Fig. 3** The ADAMS virtual prototype model of telehandlers with leveling system move on a slope

## **4.2** The effect of leveling system on the lateral stability of telehandlers

In the lateral stability dynamics simulation, the slope angle can be modified by STEP function. And the reacting forces of the right front tire and the right rear tire change with the slope angle was studied. The simulation results as seen in figure 4 and figure 5, the reacting force decreases with the increase of slope angle. When the reacting force approximate to zero, telehandlers becomes instable, and its critical overturning angle of right front tire can be obtained to be 35 degree if with leveling system, and 30.9 degree if without leveling system. The critical overturning angle of right rear tire can be obtained to be 38.5 degree if with leveling system, and 34.9 degree if without leveling system.



Fig. 4 Curve of the reacting force of the right front tire changed with the slope angle



Fig. 5 Curve of the reacting force of the right rear tire changed with the slope angle

By comparing Figure 4 and Figure 5, it is found that with the leveling system, the critical overturning angle of right rear tire slightly larger than the right front tire, which is caused by the center of gravity of the vehicle close to the rear tires. So, when lateral instability of telehandlers occurred, it tended to occur at the right front tire first. Because the rear axle of telehandler articulated, only the front axle has leveling oil cylinder, that frame leveling system has little effect on the reacting force of the right front tire, but has greater impact on the critical overturning angle of the right rear tire.

## **4.3** The effect of vertical stiffness of tires on lateral stability of telehandlers

Vertical stiffness of the tire determines its vertical deformation, related to the tire load and pressure, which increases with the increase of tire pressure, and then growth slows down, which appears logarithmical increase. In order to make the results more comparable, study the state without leveling system only.

In UA tire model, the vertical stiffness values were set to be 600, 800, 1000, 1200, 1400 respectively, other parameters could be found in Table 1. We got curves of the reacting force of the right front tire and right rear tire, changing with the slope angle at different vertical stiffness, shown in Figure 6, 7.



Fig. 6 Curves of the reacting force of the right front tire



Fig. 7 Curves of the reacting force of the right rear tire

Figure 6&7 shows that the reacting force of the right front tire or the right rear tire are very nearly at different vertical stiffness. So, we can draw a conclusion that the vertical stiffness of the tire has little effect on tire reacting force, and little effect on the critical overturning angles of telehandler. The critical overturning angle at the right front tire is about 31 °, and that at the right rear tire is about 38 °. Therefore, the tire vertical stiffness has little effect on lateral stability of telehandler.

### 4.4 The effect of lateral stiffness of tires on lateral stability of telehandlers

Lateral stiffness of the tire determines its lateral deformation. We still only study the state of the frame without leveling system. In UA tire model, the lateral stiffness values were set to be 20000, 25000, 30000, 35000, and 40000 respectively, other parameters could be found in Table 1. We got curves of the reacting force of the right front tire and right rear tire, changing with the slope angle at different

lateral stiffness, shown in Figure 8, 9.



Fig. 8 Curves of the reacting force of the right front tire



Fig. 9 Curves of the reacting force of the right rear tire

As shown in Figures 8&9, the curves almost completely overlap, so we can see that the tire lateral stiffness has no effect on lateral stability, owing to the lateral stiffness of the tire determines its lateral deformation only.

# 5 Statics calculation for lateral stability of telehandlers

Because the rear axle of telehandlers is articulated with the frame, the supporting plane turns to ABE plane from ABCD plane. Its front fulcrum A and B are the ground points of left and right wheels, respectively, and the rear fulcrum E is the hinge center of rear axle and frame, as shown in figure 10. The point J is the projection of the barycenter on the ABE plane. Line JK parallel to line AB, and meet in point K with line BE. When telehandlers laterally overturn, its overturning axis is line BE or AE[13].



Fig. 10 The overturning angle of telehandlers analysis

By the formula (5), when e = 0, the overturning angle of telehandlers is  $a_t = \arctan(JK / FJ)$ .

#### 5.1 Frame without leveling

Using traditional method to analyze the stability of telehandlers, the position of the barycenter must be known at first. Normally, the position of the barycenter could be measured on prototype using platform experiment method, and then prototype's stability can be checked[3]. In this paper, we build the global coordinate system for ADAMS virtual prototype model as shown in figure 10. We can get the barycenter position exactly in ADAMS by the command of Aggregate Mass, and the barycenter coordinates is (*XF*, *YF*, *ZF*)=(1604, 0.28, 1178), *BO*=930mm, and the coordinates of point E is (*XE*, *YE*, *ZE*)=(3300, 0, 590), then:

$$FJ = Z_F - X_F \times Z_E / X_E \approx 891.22 \tag{10}$$

$$JK = (1 - X_F / X_E) BO + |Y_F| \approx 478.24$$
(11)

$$a_t = \arctan(JK / FJ) \approx 28.2^{\circ}$$
 (12)

#### 5.2 Frame leveling

After the frame leveling on the slope, the barycenter position is changed, that can improve the lateral reliability of telehandlers. The coordinates of point E is (*XE*, *YE*, *ZE*) = (3300, 0, 590), the barycenter coordinates is (*XF*, *YF*, *ZF*) = (1604, 90, 1168), and BO=930mm, then:

$$FJ = Z_F - X_F \times Z_E / X_E \approx 881.22$$
(13)

$$WK = (1 - X_F / X_E)BO + |Y_F| \approx 567.96$$
 (14)

$$a_t = \arctan(JK / FJ) \approx 32.8^{\circ}$$
 (15)

# 6 Platform experiment for lateral stability of telehandlers

Through early structural design and virtual simulation, we produced the prototype. And then, we finished the lateral stability experiment using platform method in China National Construction Machinery Quality Supervision Testing Center. As seen in Figure 11.



Fig. 11 Platform experiment for lateral stability of telehandlers

The critical overturning angle was been gotten at the Testing Center. The critical overturning angle of telehandlers with frame without leveling is 30.1 degree. The critical overturning angle of telehandlers with frame leveling is 34.6 degree. The angles gotten by three methods of theoretical calculation, virtual simulation and platform experiment were compared, as shown in table 2.

 
 Tab 2.Lateral overturning angles by statics and dynamics method with leveling or not

5	U	
Overturning Angles	Without Leveling	Leveling
Dynamic Simulation	30.9°	35.0°
Statics Calculation	28.2°	32.8°
Platform Experiment	30.1°	34.6°

As seen in the table 2, whether the frame have leveling or not, can easily affect the position of the barycenter, the critical overturning angle of telehandlers with frame leveling is bigger than that without leveling. It can obviously improve the lateral stability that telehandlers is leveled when driving or operating on the slope.

The dynamic simulation result is closer to the platform experiment result compared to the statics calculation result. The main reason is that the tire is seen as a totally rigid part in statics calculation, and the overturning point is the intersection point of slope and the bottom of the left tire. However, in the dynamical simulation, the vertical stiffness and damping coefficient of the tire is being considered, so that the result of dynamical simulation seems to be closer to the actual situation. Therefore, the dynamics simulation can guide the structure design of telehandlers more scientifically compared with the statics calculation.

### **6** Conclusion

By studying the lateral reliability of telehandlers, it can be found that to ensure sliding happen before overturning must make sure that the ratio of horizontal and vertical component of barycenter position is bigger than the coefficient of static friction. And it is found that the effect of frame leveling on the lateral stability of telehandlers is significant; during ramp movement security can be improved if with frame leveling. For rear axle articulated vehicle, when front axle is fixed or with frame leveling system the critical overturning angle occur in the right front tire. By using UA tire model simulation, it is shown that the tire vertical stiffness has little effect on the lateral stability, and lateral stiffness almost no effect on lateral stability.

It can be seen from the example in this article that the virtual simulation method could drive product development by using simulation technology, which can avoid complex mathematical formula derivation process, and can make it come true to simulating lateral stability with high precision simulation in design phase, and its result is more close to actual situation comparing with the theoretical calculation method, that can improve the lateral reliability analysis and forecasting abilities. Comparing with prototype testing it can greatly optimize the development cycle, shorten the process and reduce costs.

### **6** Acknowledgement

The authors would like to acknowledge the financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51205101, 58670106, 61471385). The authors are grateful to the anonymous reviewers for valuable comments and suggestions, which helped to improve this study.

#### References:

- [1] Wan, H., Stability Analysis and Calculation of Engineering Machinery. *Construction Machinery*, No.8, 1998, pp. 12-16.
- [2] Quan, B. and H. Xiong, Instability Analysis of Counterbalanced Forklift and Visualization of Accident Reconstruction Technology. *Port Operation*, No.4, 2013, pp. 4-6.
- [3] Su, X., et al., ADAMS-based Static Stability Analysis and Simulation on Forklifts. *Chinese Journal of Construction Machinery*. Vol.10, No.1, 2012, pp. 77-80.
- [4] Popescu, S., N. Sutru, and G. Boiangiu, Theortical and experimental research on of the longitudinal dynamic stability of the forklift Proceedings of the trucks. in Third International Research People and Actual Tasks on Multidisciplinary Sciences 2011, Bulgarian Conference. National Multidisciplinary Scientific Network of the

Professional Society for Research Work: Lozenec, Bulgaria. p. 147-152.

- [5] Gong, Q., *Research on Design and Analyse of Tracked Vehicles Key Technologies*. 2008, YangZhou University: Yang Zhou, China.
- [6] Lemerle, P., O. Hoeppner, and J. Rebelle, Dynamic stability of forklift trucks in cornering situations: parametrical analysis using a driving simulator. *Vehicle System Dynamics*. Vol.49, No.10, 2011, pp. 1673-1693.
- [7] Jo, J.S., et al., Vehicle stability control system for enhancing steerabilty, lateral stability, and roll stability. *International Journal Of Automotive Technology*. Vol.9, No.5, 2008, pp. 571-576.
- [8] Yin, G., et al., A Study on mu-Synthesis Control for Four-Wheel Steering System to Enhance Vehicle Lateral Stability. *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement and Control.* Vol.133, No.1, 2011, pp. 011002 (6 pages).
- [9] Yang, X., N. Kang, and X. Li, Nonlinear dynamics and lateral stability of tractor semitrailer vehicle. *Journal of Mechanical Engineering*. Vol.48, No.8, 2012, pp. 79-89.
- [10] Sutru, N., G. Boiangiu, and S. Popescu, Contributions to theoretical and experimental study of the dynamic stability of the forklift trucks. *Journal of EcoAgriTourism*. Vol.8, No.2, 2012, pp. 150-156.
- [11] Ren, A., Z. Wu, and J. Bao, Study on Lateral Stability of Vehicle-Trailer System Based on Multi-Body Dynamic Simulation. Advanced Materials Research. Vol.765-767, 2013, pp. 345-50.
- [12] Xia, G., et al., ADAMS-based Simulation Study on Lateral Stability of Counterbalanced Forklift Trucks. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*. Vol.464, 2014, pp. 105-9.
- [13] Huang, G., Research on Stability of Counterbalanced Forklift. *Forklift Technology*, No.1, 1999, pp. 7-14.