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Abstract: From a theory developed by C. Mehl, et al., a theory of the rank one perturbation of Hamiltonian systems with periodic coefficients is proposed. It is shown that the rank one perturbation of the fundamental solution of Hamiltonian system with periodic coefficients is solution of its rank one perturbation. Some results on the consequences of the strong stability of these types of systems on their rank one perturbation is proposed. Two numerical examples are given to illustrate this theory.
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1 Introduction

Let \( J, W \in \mathbb{R}^{2N \times 2N} \) be two matrices such that \( J \) is nonsingular and skew-symmetric matrix. We say that the matrix \( W \) is \( J \)-symplectic (or \( J \)-orthogonal) if \( W^T JW = J \). These types of matrices (so-called structured) usually appear in control theory [1, 11, 12, 15]: more precisely in optimal control [11] and in the parametric resonance theory [10, 15]. In these areas, these types of matrices are obtained as solutions of Hamiltonian systems with periodic coefficients. About these systems, that are differential equations with \( P \)-periodic coefficients of the below form

\[
J \frac{dX(t)}{dt} = H(t)X(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}
\]  

(1)

where \( J^T = -J \), \( (H(t))^T = H(t) = H(t + P) \).

The fundamental solution \( X(t) \) of (1) i.e. the matrix function satisfying

\[
\begin{align*}
J \frac{dX(t)}{dt} &= H(t)X(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^*_+ \\
X(0) &= I_{2N}
\end{align*}
\]  

(2)

is \( J \)-symplectic [2, 3, 7, 15] and satisfies the relationship \( X(t + nP) = X(t)X^n(P), \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \). The solution of the system evaluated at the period is called the monodromy matrix of the system. The eigenvalues of this monodromy matrix are called the multipliers of system (2). The following definition permits to classify the multiplies of Hamiltonian system

**Definition 1** Let \( \rho \) be a semi-simple multiplier of (2) lying on the unit circle. Then \( \rho \) is called a multiplier of the first (second) kind if the quadratic form \((iJx, x)\) is positive (negative) on the eigenspace associated with \( \rho \). When \((Jx, x) = 0\), then \( \rho \) is of mixed kind.

In this definition, the notation \((iJx, x)\) stands for the Euclidean scalar product and \( i = \sqrt{-1} \).

This other definition proposed by S. K. Godunov [4, 5, 8, 9] gives another classification of the multipliers of (2)

**Definition 2** Let \( \rho \) be a semi-simple multiplier of (2) lying on the unit circle. We say that \( \rho \) is of the red (green) color or in short \( r \)-multiplier (\( g \)-multiplier) if \((S_0x, x) > 0 \) (respectively \((S_0x, x) < 0 \)) on the eigenspace associated with \( \rho \) where \( S_0 = (1/2)((JX(P))^T + (JX(P))) \). If \((S_0x, x) = 0\), we say that \( \rho \) is of mixed color.
From Definition 2, Dosso and Sadkane obtained a result of strong stability of symplectic matrix (see [2, 4, 6])

**Theorem 3** A symplectic matrix is strong stability if and only if

1. all eigenvalues are on the unit circle ;
2. the eigenvalues are either red color or green color ;
3. the subspaces associated with these two groups of the eigenvalues are well separated.

Denote by $P_r$ and $P_g$ the spectral projectors associated with the $r$–eigenvalues and $g$–eigenvalues of the monodromy matrix $X(P)$ of (2) and let us put

$$S_r := P_r S_0 P_r = S_r^T \geq 0$$

and

$$S_g := P_g S_0 P_g = S_g^T \leq 0$$

where

$$S_0 = (1/2) \left( (X(P)J) + (X(P)J)^T \right).$$

We give the following theorem which gathers all assertions on the strong stability of Hamiltonian systems with periodic coefficients [2, 6, 15].

**Theorem 4** Hamiltonian system (2) is strongly stable if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

1. If there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that any Hamiltonian system with $P$-periodic coefficients of the form

$$J \frac{dX(t)}{dt} = \tilde{H}(t)X(t)$$

and satisfying

$$\|H - \tilde{H}\| = \int_0^T \|H(t) - \tilde{H}(t)\| dt < \epsilon$$

is stable.
2. The monodromy matrix $W = X(P)$ of system (2) is strongly stable
3. (KGL criterion) the multipliers of system (2) are either of the first kind and either of second kind. The multipliers of the first kind and second kind of the monodromy matrix should be well separated i.e. the quantity

$$\delta_{KGL}(X(P)) = \min \{ |e^{i\theta_k} - e^{i\theta_l}|, e^{i\theta_k}, e^{i\theta_l}$$

are multipliers of (2) of different kinds \} (3) should not be close to zero.

4. the multipliers of system (2) are either of the red color and either of the green color. The $r$-multipliers and $g$-multipliers of the monodromy matrix should be well separated i.e. the quantity

$$\delta_S(X(P)) = \min \{ |e^{i\theta_k} - e^{i\theta_l}|, e^{i\theta_k}, e^{i\theta_l}$$

are $r$–multipliers and $g$–multipliers of (2)\} (4) should not be close to zero.

5. $S_r \geq 0, S_g \leq 0$ and $S_r - S_g > 0$
6. $P_r + P_g = I$ and $P_r^T S_0 P_g = 0.$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some preliminaries and useful results to introduce the rank one perturbations of Hamiltonian systems with periodic coefficients. More specifically, this section explains what led us to rank one perturbations of Hamiltonian system with periodic coefficients. Section 3 explains the concept of rank one perturbation of Hamiltonian systems with coefficients. In Section 4 we analyze the consequences of strongly stable of Hamiltonian systems with periodic coefficients on its rank on perturbation. Section 5 is devoted to numerical tests. Finally some concluding remarks are summarized in Section 6.

Throughout this paper, we denoted the identity and zero matrices of order $k$ by $I_k$ and $O_k$ respectively or just $I$ and $O$ whenever it is clear from the context. The 2-norm of a matrix $A$ is denoted by $\|A\|.$ The transpose of a matrix (or vector) $U$ is denoted by $U^T.$

## 2 Rank one perturbation of symplectic matrices depending on a parameter

Let $W \in \mathbb{R}^{2N \times 2N}$ be a $J$-symplectic matrix where $J \in \mathbb{R}^{2N \times 2N}$ is skew-symmetric matrix (i.e. $J^T = -J$)[13, 14].

**Definition 5** We call a rank one perturbation of the symplectic matrix $W$ any matrix of the form $\tilde{W} = (I + uu^T J)W$ where $u$ is a non zero vector of $\mathbb{R}^{2N}.$

We recall in the following proposition some properties of rank one perturbations of symplectic matrices (see [16]).

**Proposition 6** Let $W$ be a $J$-symplectic matrix.

1. Any rank one perturbation of $W$ is $J$-symplectic.
2. The invertible of a rank one perturbation $I + uu^T J$ of identity matrix $I$ is the matrix $I - uu^T J.$
From Definition 2, we have
\begin{equation}
\text{Corollary 8} \quad \text{Let }\quad y \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}, \quad \text{the quadratic form } (S_{0y}, y) \text{ is defined by }
(S_{0y}, y) = (\tilde{S}_{0y}, y) - \varphi(y) \quad (5)
\end{equation}
where
\[
\tilde{S}_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \left( (J\tilde{W}) + (J\tilde{W})^T \right)
\]
and
\[
\varphi(y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( ((Ju_0^T JW) + (Ju_0^T JW)^T) y, y \right).
\]

Proof: Developing \( \tilde{S}_{0} \), we have
\[
\tilde{S}_{0} = \frac{1}{2} \left( (JW) + (JW)^T \right)
+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ (Ju_0^T JW) + (Ju_0^T JW)^T \right].
\]
we deduce
\[
(S_{0y}, y) = (\tilde{S}_{0y}, y) + \varphi(y)
\]

\text{Corollary 8} \ Let \( \rho \) be an eigenvalue of \( W \) of modulus 1 and \( y \) an eigenvector associated with \( \rho \). Then \( \rho \) is an eigenvalue of red color (respectively eigenvalue of green color) if and only if \((\tilde{S}_{0y}, y) > \varphi(y))\) (respectively \((\tilde{S}_{0y}, y) < \varphi(y))\).

However if \((\tilde{S}_{0y}, y) = \varphi(y))\), then \( \rho \) is of mixed color.

Proof: According to lemma 7, we get
\[
(S_{0y}, y) = (\tilde{S}_{0y}, y) - \varphi(y)
\]
From Definition 2, we have
- if \( \rho \) is an eigenvalue of red color,
  \( (S_{0y}, y) > 0 \implies (\tilde{S}_{0y}, y) > \varphi(y)) ;
- if \( \rho \) is an eigenvalue of green color,
  \( (S_{0y}, y) < 0 \implies (\tilde{S}_{0y}, y) < \varphi(y)) ;
- if \( \rho \) is an eigenvalue of mixed color,
  \( (S_{0y}, y) = 0 \implies (\tilde{S}_{0y}, y) = \varphi(y). \)

We consider the following rank one perturbation of the fundamental solution \( X(t) \) of (2)
\[
\tilde{X}(t) = (I + uu^T)X(t)
\]
then we have the following lemma
\text{Lemma 9} \ If \( \tilde{X}(t) \) is a \( J \)-symplectic matrix function such that \( \text{rank} (\tilde{X}(t) - X(t)) = 1 \), \( \forall t > 0 \), then there is a vector function \( u(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{2N} \), \( \forall t > 0 \) such that
\[
\tilde{X}(t) = (I + uu^T)JX(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.
\]
Conversely, for any vector \( u(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{2N} \), the matrix function \( X(t) \) is \( J \)-symplectic.

Proof: According to Lemma 7.1 of [13, Section 7, p. 18], for all \( t > 0 \), there exists a vector \( u(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{2N} \) such that
\[
\tilde{X}(t) = (I + uu^T)JX(t).
\]
Moreover, if \( X(t) \) is \( J \)-symplectic, \( \tilde{X}(t) \) is also \( J \)-symplectic.

This Lemma leads us to introduce the concept of rank one perturbation of Hamiltonian systems with periodic coefficients.

Now consider that the vector function is a vector constant. We give the following theorem which extend Theorem 7.2 of [13, Section 7, p. 19] to matrization of system (2).

\text{Theorem 10} \ Let \( J \in \mathbb{C}^{2N \times 2N} \) be skew-symmetric and nonsingular matrix, \( (X(t))_{t>0} \) fundamental solution of system (2) and \( \lambda(t) \in \mathbb{C} \) an eigenvalue of \( X(t) \) for all \( t > 0 \). Assume that \( X(t) \) has the Jordan canonical form
\[
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_1} J_{n_1}(\lambda(t)) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_2} J_{n_2}(\lambda(t)) \oplus \cdots \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_{m(t)}} J_{n_{m(t)}}(\lambda(t)) \oplus J(t),
\]
where \( n_1 > \cdots > n_{m(t)} \) with \( m : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{N}^* \) a function of index such that the algebraic multiplicities is \( a(t) = l_1n_1 + \cdots + l_{m(t)}n_{m(t)} \) and \( J(t) \) with \( \sigma(J(t)) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \setminus \{ \lambda(t) \} \) contains all Jordan blocks associated with eigenvalues different from \( \lambda(t) \). Furthermore, let \( u \in \mathbb{C}^{2N} \) and \( B(t) = uu^T JX(t) \).
If $\forall t > 0$, $\lambda(t) \not\in \{-1, 1\}$, then generically with respect to the components of $u$, the matrix $X(t) + B(t)$ has the Jordan canonical form

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_1-1} \mathcal{J}_{n_1}(\lambda(t)) \\
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_2} \mathcal{J}_{n_2}(\lambda(t)) \\
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_{m(t)}} \mathcal{J}_{n_{m(t)}}(\lambda(t))
\end{pmatrix} \oplus \cdots \oplus 
\begin{pmatrix}
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_{m(t)}} \mathcal{J}_{n_{m(t)}}(\lambda(t))
\end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathcal{J}(t),
$$

where $\mathcal{J}(t)$ contains all the Jordan blocks of $X(t) + B(t)$ associated with eigenvalues different from $\lambda(t)$. 

If $\exists t_0 > 0$, verifying $\lambda(t_0) \in \{+1, 1\}$, we have

(2a) if $n_1$ is even, then generically with respect to the components of $u$, the matrix $X(t_0) + B(t_0)$ has the Jordan canonical form

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_1-1} \mathcal{J}_{n_1}(\lambda(t_0)) \\
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_2} \mathcal{J}_{n_2}(\lambda(t_0)) \\
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_{m(t)}} \mathcal{J}_{n_{m(t)}}(\lambda(t_0))
\end{pmatrix} \oplus \cdots \oplus 
\begin{pmatrix}
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_{m(t)}} \mathcal{J}_{n_{m(t)}}(\lambda(t_0))
\end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathcal{J}(t_0),
$$

where $\mathcal{J}(t_0)$ contains all the Jordan of $X(t_0) + B(t_0)$ associated with eigenvalues different from $\lambda(t_0)$. 

(2b) if $n_1$ is odd, then $l_1$ is even and generically with respect to the components of $u$, the matrix $X(t_0) + B(t_0)$ has the Jordan canonical form

$$
\mathcal{J}_{n_1+1}(\lambda(t_0)) \oplus \begin{pmatrix}
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_1-2} \mathcal{J}_{n_1}(\lambda(t_0)) \\
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_2} \mathcal{J}_{n_2}(\lambda(t_0)) \\
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_{m(t)}} \mathcal{J}_{n_{m(t)}}(\lambda(t_0))
\end{pmatrix} \oplus \cdots \oplus 
\begin{pmatrix}
\bigoplus_{j=1}^{l_{m(t)}} \mathcal{J}_{n_{m(t)}}(\lambda(t_0))
\end{pmatrix} \oplus \mathcal{J}(t_0),
$$

where $\mathcal{J}(t_0)$ contains all the blocks of $X(t_0) + B(t_0)$ associated with eigenvalues different from $\lambda(t_0)$. 

Proof:} For all $t > 0$, if $\lambda(t) \not\in \{-1, 1\}$, we have decomposition (7) according to [13, Theorem 7.2]. Other hand, the number of Jordan blocks depend on the variation of $t$. Thus, this number is a function of index $m : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{N}^*$.

For the other two points (2a) and (2b), we show in the same way that items (2) and (3) of Theorem 7.2 of [13, Theorem 7.2]) since $X(t_0) + B(t_0)$ is a constant matrix.

In fact, the integers $l_1, ..., l_m(t)$ and indexes $n_1, ..., n_{m(t)}$ are not constant when $t$ varies. The number of Jordan blocks and their sizes can vary in function of the variation of $t$. In Theorem 10, we consider the constant integers $l_k$ and $n_k \forall k \in \{1, ..., m(t)\}$ for an index $m(t)$. When $t = 0$, $\lambda(0) = 1$ with $m(0) = 2N$, and $l_k = 1, \forall k$. All Jordan blocks are reduced to 1.

### 3 Rank one perturbations of Hamiltonian system with periodic coefficients

Let $u$ be a non zero and constant vector of $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$. $(X(t))_{t \geq 0}$ the fundamental solution of system 2. We have the following proposition

**Proposition 11** Consider the perturbed Hamiltonian system

$$
J \frac{d\tilde{X}(t)}{dt} = [H(t) + E(t)] \tilde{X}(t)
$$

where

$$
E(t) = (Juu^TH(t))^T + Juu^TH(t) + (uu^TJ)^TH(t)(uu^TJ).
$$

Then $\tilde{X}(t) = (I + uu^TJ)X(t)$ is a solution of system (8).

**Proof:** By derivation of $\tilde{X}(t)$, we obtain :

$$
J \frac{d\tilde{X}(t)}{dt} = J(I + uu^TJ)J^{-1}J \frac{dX(t)}{dt}
$$

$$
= J(I + uu^TJ)J^{-1}H(t)X(t),
$$

according from system (2)

$$
= [H(t) + Juu^TH(t)]X(t)
$$

$$
= [H(t) + Juu^TH(t)][I + uu^TJ]^{-1}\tilde{X}(t)
$$

$$
= [H(t) + Juu^TH(t)][I - uu^TJ]\tilde{X}(t)
$$

because $(I + uu^TJ)^{-1} = (I - uu^TJ)$ (see [16])

$$
= [H(t) - H(t)uu^TJ + Juu^TH(t) - Juu^TH(t)uu^TJ] \tilde{X}(t)
$$

$$
= \left[ H(t) + (Juu^TH(t))^T + Juu^TH(t) + (uu^TJ)^TH(t)(uu^TJ) \right] \tilde{X}(t)
$$
Hence the perturbed Hamiltonian equation (8) follows where
\[
E(t) = (J uu^T H(t)) + J uu^T H(t) + (uu^T J)^T H(t)(uu^T J)
\] (9)

We note that \( E(t) \) is symmetric and \( P \)-periodic i.e. \( E(t)^T = E(t) \) and \( E(t + P) = E(t) \) for all \( t \geq 0 \). The following corollary gives us a simplified form of system (8)

**Corollary 12**: System (8) can be put at the form
\[
\begin{cases}
J \frac{d\tilde{X}(t)}{dt} = (I - uu^T J)^T H(t)(I - uu^T J)\tilde{X}(t), \\
\tilde{X}(0) = I + uu^T J
\end{cases}
\] (10)

**Proof**: Indeed, developing \((I - uu^T J)^T H(t)(I - uu^T J)\), we get
\[
(I - uu^T J)^T H(t)(I - uu^T J) = H(t) + (J uu^T H(t)) - (J uu^T H(t)) + (uu^T J)^T H(t)(uu^T J)
\]
and \( \tilde{X}(0) = (I + uu^T J)X(0) = I + uu^T J \). □

We give the following corollary

**Corollary 13**: Let \((X(t))_{t \geq 0}\) be the fundamental solution of system (2). All solutions \( \tilde{X}(t) \) of perturbed system (10) of system (2), is of the form \( \tilde{X}(t) = (I + uu^T J)X(t) \).

**Proof**: From Proposition 8 if \( X(t) \) is a solution of (2), the perturbed matrix \( W(t) = (I + uu^T J)X(t) \) is a solution of (10).

Reciprocally, for any solution \( \tilde{X}(t) \) of (10), Let us put
\[
X(t) = (I - uu^T J)\tilde{X}(t)
\]
where \( u \) is the vector defined in system (10).

Since \((I + uu^T J)\) is inverse of the matrix \((I - uu^T J)\) (see [16]), it holds that
\[
\tilde{X}(t) = (I + uu^T J)X(t)
\]
By replacing the expression of \( \tilde{X}(t) \) in (10), we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
J(I + uu^T J)\frac{d}{dt}X(t) &= (I - uu^T J)^T H(t)X(t) \\
J(I + uu^T J)\frac{d}{dt}X(t) &= (I - uu^T J)^T H(t)X(t) \\
(I - uu^T J)^{-T}J(I + uu^T J)\frac{d}{dt}X(t) &= H(t)X(t) \\
(1 + uu^T J)J(I + uu^T J)\frac{d}{dt}X(t) &= H(t)X(t)
\end{align*}
\]
and \( X(0) = (I - uu^T J)\tilde{X}(0) = (I - uu^T J)(I + uu^T J) = I \). Consequently, \( X(t) \) is the solution of (2).

From the foregoing, we give the following definition:

**Definition 14**: We call rank one perturbations of Hamiltonian system with periodic coefficients, any perturbation of the form (10) of (2).

Consider the following canonical perturbed system taking \( I_{2N} \) at \( t = 0 \).
\[
\begin{cases}
J \frac{d\tilde{W}(t)}{dt} = (I - uu^T J)^T H(t)(I - uu^T J)\tilde{W}(t), \\
\tilde{W}(0) = I
\end{cases}
\] (11)

4 Consequence of the strong stability on rank one perturbations

We give the following proposition which is a consequence of Corollary 8

**Proposition 15**: If a symplectic matrix \( W \) is strongly stable, then there exists a positif constant \( \delta \) such that any vector \( u \in R^{2N} \) verifying \( \|uu^T JW\| < \delta \), we have \((S_0 y, y) \neq \varphi(y)\) for any eigenvector \( y \) of \( W \) where \( S_0 = (1/2) ((JW) + (JW)^T) \) with \( W = (I + uu^T) \).

**Proof**: The strong stability of symplectic matrix \( W \) implies that the eigenvalues of \( W \) are either of red color or either of green color i.e. for any eigenvector \( y \) of \( W \), we have
\[
(S_0 y, y) \neq 0 \implies (S_0 y, y) \neq \varphi(y)
\]
using Corollary 8. □

This following Proposition gives us another consequence of the strong stability of \( W \) under small perturbation that preserve symplecticity.

**Proposition 16**: If a symplectic matrix \( W \) is strongly stable, then there exists a positif constant \( \delta \) such that any vector \( u \in R^{2N} \) verifying \( \|uu^T JW\| < \delta \), we have \( \tilde{W} = (I + uu^T) \) is stable.

**Proof**: If \( W \) is strongly stable, then there exists a positif constant \( \delta \) such that any small perturbation \( \tilde{W} \) of \( W \) preserving its symplecticity verifying \( \|W - \tilde{W}\| \leq \delta \), is stable. In particular, if the perturbation is a rank one perturbation with \( \tilde{W} \) of the form
gives $W$ stable.

Hence we have this following result on the strong stability of the Hamiltonian systems with periodic coefficients.

**Proposition 17** If Hamiltonian system with periodic coefficients (2) is strongly stable, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any vector $u$ verifying $\|u^TJW\| \leq \delta$

\[
\|E(t)\| \leq \varepsilon
\]

where $E(t)$ is defined in (9), rank one perturbation Hamiltonian system (10) associated is stable.

**Proof:** This proposition is a consequence of Theorem 4 using system (8) of Proposition 11.

On the other hand, if the unperturbed system is unstable, there exists a neighborhood in which any rank one perturbation of system (2) remains unstable.

**Remark 18** The stability of any small rank one perturbation of a Hamiltonian system with periodic coefficients doesn’t imply its strong stability because we are in a particular case of the perturbation of the system. However it can permit to study the behavior of multipliers of Hamiltonian systems with periodic coefficients.

## 5 Numerical examples

**Example 19** Consider the Mathieu equation

\[
J\frac{d^2 y(t)}{dt^2} = (a + b \sin(2t)) y(t)
\]

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [15, vol. 2, p. 412],[4]).

Putting

\[
x(t) = \left( \begin{array}{c} y \\ \frac{dy}{dt} \end{array} \right), \quad J = \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)
\]

and

\[
H(t) = \left( \begin{array}{cc} b \sin 2t + a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right),
\]

we obtain the following canonical Hamiltonian Equation

\[
\begin{cases}
J \frac{dX(t)}{dt} = H(t)X(t), & \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \\
X(0) = I_2,
\end{cases}
\]

(10) of (13). We show that the rank one perturbation of the fundamental solution is a solution of perturbed system (10). Consider

\[
\psi(t) = \|\tilde{X}_1(t) - \tilde{X}_2(t)\|, \quad \forall t \geq 0
\]

where $\tilde{X}_1(t) = (I - uu^TJ)X(t)$ and $(\tilde{X}_2(t))_{t \geq 0}$ is the solution of system (10). We show by numerical examples that $\psi(t) \leq 1.5 \cdot 10^{-14}, \forall t \in [0, \pi].$

- For $a = 7$ and $b = 4$, consider the vector $u = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0.8913 \\ 0.7621 \end{array} \right)$. In Figure 1, we consider a random vector $u$ which permits to perturb system (13) by the vectors $u, 10^{-1}u, 10^{-2}u$ and $10^{-3}u$. In this first figure, we note that $\psi(t) \leq 1.5 \cdot 10^{-14}$. This shows that $\tilde{X}_1(t) = \tilde{X}_2(t)$ for all $t \in [0, \pi]$ i.e. the rank one perturbation $(\tilde{X}_1(t))_{t \in [0,\pi]}$ of the fundamental solution of system (13) is equal to the solution $(\tilde{X}_2(t))_{t \in [0,\pi]}$ of rank one perturbation system (10).

![Figure 1: Comparison of two solutions](image)

However, unperturbed system (13) is strongly stable. We remark that the rank one perturbed systems (10) of (13) is strongly stable for any vector belonging to \{u, $10^{-1}u, 10^{-2}u, 10^{-3}u$\}. Therefore they are stable. This justifies Proposition (17)

- For $a = 16.1916618724166685...$ and $b = 5$, consider the vector $u = \left( \begin{array}{c} 0.4565 \\ 0.0185 \end{array} \right)$. In this another example illustrated by Figure 2, we consider a random vector $u$ which permits to perturb system (13) by the vectors $u, 10^{-1}u, 10^{-2}u$ and $10^{-3}u$. In figure 2, we note that $\psi(t) \leq 1.5 \cdot 10^{-14}$. This shows that $\tilde{X}_1(t) = \tilde{X}_2(t)$ for all $t \in [0, \pi]$. 
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Hamiltonian system

\[
\begin{cases}
\text{system is unstable for any vector belonging to } \{u, 10^{-1}u, 10^{-2}u, 10^{-3}u\}. \\
\end{cases}
\]

This justifies the existence of a neighborhood of the unperturbed system in which any rank one perturbation of the system is unstable.

**Example 20** Consider the system of differential equations (see [9] and [15, Vol. 2, p. 412])

\[
\begin{align*}
q_1 \frac{d^2 \eta_1}{dt^2} + p_1 \eta_1 \\
+ [a_1 \eta_1 \cos 2\gamma t + (b \cos 2\gamma t + c \sin 2\gamma t) \eta_3] &= 0, \\
q_2 \frac{d^2 \eta_2}{dt^2} + p_2 \eta_2 + g \eta_3 \sin 5\gamma t &= 0, \\
q_3 \frac{d^2 \eta_3}{dt^2} + p_3 \eta_3 \\
+ [(b \cos 2\gamma t + c \sin 2\gamma t) \eta_1 + g \eta_2 \sin 5\gamma t] &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

which can be reduced on the following canonical Hamiltonian system

\[
J \frac{dX(t)}{dt} = H(t), \quad X(0) = I_6
\]

where

\[
x = \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ \frac{d\eta}{dt} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \sqrt{q_2} \\
\sqrt{q_3} \end{pmatrix}, \\
J = \begin{pmatrix} 0_3 & -I_3 \\
I_3 & 0_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H(t) = \begin{pmatrix} P(t) & 0_3 \\
0_3 & I_3 \end{pmatrix},
\]

\[
P(t) = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 + a \cos 2\gamma t & 0 \\
0 & b \cos 2\gamma t + c \sin 2\gamma t \end{pmatrix}
\]

Let \( u \in \mathbb{R}^{2N} \) be a random vector in a neighborhood of the zero vector. Consider perturbed system (10) of (15). We show that the rank one perturbation of the fundamental solution of (15) is a solution of the rank one perturbation of the system. Consider

\[
\psi(t) = ||\tilde{X}_1(t) - \tilde{X}_2(t)||, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}
\]

where \( \tilde{X}_1(t) = (I - uu^T J)X(t) \) and \( (\tilde{X}_2(t)) \in \mathbb{R} \) is the solution of the rank one perturbation Hamiltonian system (10) of (15). Figures 3 and 4 represent the norm of the difference between \( \tilde{X}_1 \) et \( \tilde{X}_2 \).

- for \( \epsilon = 15.5 \) and \( \delta = 1 \), Let’s take

\[
u = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9214 \\ 0.4447 \\ 0.6154 \\ 0.7509 \\ 0.9218 \\ 0.7982 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Figure 3 is obtained for values of any vector taken in \( \{u, 10^{-1}u, 10^{-2}u, 10^{-3}u\} \). In figure 3, we note that \( \psi(t) \leq 5 \times 10^{-13} \). This shows that \( \tilde{X}_1(t) = \tilde{X}_2(t) \) for all \( t \in [0, \pi] \) i.e. the rank one perturbation \( (\tilde{X}_1(t))_{t \in [0, \pi]} \) of the fundamental solution of system (15) is equal to the solution \( (\tilde{X}_2(t))_{t \in [0, \pi]} \) of the rank one perturbation system of (15).
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\[ \epsilon = 15 \text{ and } \delta = 2, \text{ Let's take } \theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0272 \\ 0.3127 \\ 0.0129 \\ 0.3840 \\ 0.6831 \\ 0.0028 \end{pmatrix}. \]

The following figures is obtained for any vector belonging to \( \{ u, 10^{-1} u, 10^{-2} u, 10^{-3} u \} \). In figure 4, we also note that \( \psi(t) \leq 10^{-13} \). This shows that \( \tilde{X}_1(t) = \tilde{X}_2(t) \) for all \( t \in [0, \pi] \).

Figure 4: Comparisons of two solutions

In this latter example, the unperturbed system is unstable and the rank one perturbation systems remain unstable for any vector belonging to \( \{ u, 10^{-1} u, 10^{-2} u, 10^{-3} u \} \). This justifies the existence of a neighborhood of the unperturbed system in which any rank one perturbation of the system is unstable.

6 Conclusion

From a theory developed by C. Mehl, et al., on the rank one perturbation of symplectic matrices (see [13]), we defined the rank one perturbation of Hamiltonian system of periodic coefficients. After an adaptation of some results of [13] on symplectic matrices when they depend on a time parameter, we show that the rank one perturbation of the fundamental solution of a Hamiltonian system with periodic coefficients is solution of the rank one perturbation of the system. As result of this theory, we give a consequence of the strong stability on a small rank one perturbation of these Hamiltonian systems. Two numerical examples are given to illustrate this theory.

In future work, we will study how to use the rank one perturbation of Hamiltonian system with periodic coefficients to analyze the behavior of their multipliers and also how this theory can analyze their strong stability?
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