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#### Abstract

For a graph $G$ and a positive integer $k$, a subset $S$ of vertices of $G$ is called a $k$-path vertex cover if every path of order $k$ in $G$ contains at least one vertex from $S$. The cardinality of a minimum $k$-path vertex cover is denoted by $\psi_{k}(G)$. In this paper, we give some bounds and the exact values in special cases for $\psi_{k}$ of the Cartesian, and lexicographic products of some graphs.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $x$ be a real number, denoted by $\lfloor x\rfloor$ the maximum integer no more than $x$, and denoted by $\lceil x\rceil$ the minimum integer no less than $x$. For any integers $a<b$, let $[a, b]$ denote the set of integers $\{a, a+1, \cdots, b\}$ for simplicity. We use $V(G), E(G)$ to denote the vertex set and the edge set of graph $G$, respectively. The order of a path $P$ is the number of vertices on $P$ while the length of a path is the number of edges of $P$.

In recent years, many parameters and graph classes were studied. For example, in [30], Zuo et al. gave the exact values of the linear $(n-1)$-arboricity of some Cartesian product graphs, in [31], Zuo showed that a Conjecture holds for all unicyclic graphs and all bicyclic graphs, in [28], Xue, Zuo et al. studied the hamiltonicity and path t-coloring of Sierpiński-like graphs, in [13], Jin and Zuo gave the further ordering bicyclic graphs with respect to the Laplacian spectra radius, in [16], Lai et al. gave a survey for the more recent developments of the research on supereulerian graphs and the related problems, and in [32], Zuo et al. studied the equitable colorings of Cartesian product graphs of wheels with complete bipartite graphs.

For a graph $G$ and a positive integer $k$, a subset $S$ of the vertex set of $G$ is called a $k$-path vertex cover if every path of order $k$ in $G$ contains at least one vertex from $S$. The cardinality of a minimum $k$-path vertex cover is denoted by $\psi_{k}(G)$. The motivation for the $k$-path vertex cover arises from secure communications in wireless sensor networks in [19]. The topology of wireless sensor networks can be represented by a graph, in which vertices represen$t$ sensor devices and edges represent communication

[^0]channels between pairs of sensor devices. Traditional security techniques cannot be applied directly to wireless sensor networks since sensor devices are limited in their computation, energy, and communication capabilities. Furthermore, they are often deployed in accessible areas, where they can be captured by an attacker. Generally speaking, a standard sensor device is not taken into account as tamper-resistant and it is unnecessary to make all devices of a sensor network tamper-proof due to increasing cost. Hence, the design of wireless sensor networks safety contracts has become a challenge in security research. We focus on the Canvas scheme [8, 19, 20, 23] which should provide data integrity in a sensor network. The scheme combines the properties of cryptographic primitives and the network topology. The model distinguishes between two kinds of sensor element protected and unprotected. The attacker is incapable to copy secrets from a protector. This property can be realized by making the protector tamper-resistant or placing the protector at a safe location, where trapping is problematic. On the other hand, an unprotected device can be catched by the assailant, who can also copy secrets from the device and gain control over it. During the deployment and initialization of a sensor network, it should be ensured, that at least one defended node exists on each path of the length $k-1$ in the communication graph [19]. The matter to minimize the cost of the network by minimizing the number of protectors is expressed in [19].

The model of communications in wireless sensor networks is just equivalent the traffic control which was formulated in [25]. The increasing cars and buses result in more and more traffic accidents, hence posing the installment of cameras to be in an urgent state.

If every crossing is installed with several cameras, the cost would be enormous and unnecessary since the installing fees can vary greatly due to different factors. Hence we need to install cameras at certain crossings that can ensure that a driver will encounter at least one camera within $n$ crossings, and, at the same time, guarantee the lowest cost. This practical issue can, then, be turned into the vertex cover $P_{n}$ problem.

The concept of $k$-path vertex cover is a generalization of the vertex cover. Clearly $\psi_{2}(G)$ coincides with the size of a minimum vertex cover, moreover

$$
\psi_{2}(G)=|V(G)|-\alpha(G)
$$

where $\alpha(G)$ is the independence number of graph $G$. This gives an interesting connection to the well studied independence number [ $11,12,22,27$ ].

A subset of vertices in graph $G$ is called a dissociation set if it induce a subgraph with maximum degree at most 1 . The number of vertices in a maximum cardinality set in $G$ is called the dissociation number of $G$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{diss}(G)$. It is obvious that

$$
\psi_{3}(G)=|V(G)|-\operatorname{diss}(G)
$$

It was shown that determining the dissociation number of $G$ is NP-hard in the class of bipartite graphs [29]. The dissociation number problem was studied in $[1,2,5,9]$. We can see a survey for this results in [21]. Some approximation algorithms for $\psi_{3}(G)$ were studied in $[24,25,26]$ and an exact algorithm for computing $\psi_{3}(G)$ in running time $O\left(1.5171^{n}\right)$ for a graph of order $n$ was presented in [14]. Also, a polynomial time randomized approximation algorithm with an expected approximation ratio of $\frac{23}{11}$ for the minimum 3 -path vertex cover was presented.

It was shown that for any fixed integer $k \geq 2$ the computing $\psi_{k}(G)$ problem is in general NP-hard but for tree the problem can be solved in linear time, as shown in [3]. The authors also gave some upper bounds on the value of $\psi_{k}(G)$ and provide several estimations and the exact values of $\psi_{k}(G)$.

The concept of the $k$-path vertex cover was also studied in different graph products. The Cartesian product $G \boxtimes H$ of graphs $G=(V(G), E(G))$ and $H=(V(H), E(H))$ has the vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$, and vertices $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right),\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ are adjacent whenever $u_{1}=u_{2}$ and $v_{1} v_{2} \in E(G)$, or $u_{1} u_{2} \in E(G)$ and $v_{1}=v_{2}$.

The lexicographic product $G \circ H$ of graphs $G=$ $(V(G), E(G))$ and $H=(V(H), E(H))$ has the vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$, and vertices $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right),\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ are adjacent whenever $u_{1} u_{2} \in E(G)$, or $u_{1}=u_{2}$ and $v_{1} v_{2} \in E(H)$.

For the Cartesian product of two paths, an asymptotically tight bound for $\psi_{3}$ and the exact value for
$\psi_{3}$ was given in [4]. Also, an upper bound for $\psi_{3}$ and a lower bound of $\psi_{k}$ of regular graphs were presented. Some bounds for the Cartesian product of two paths were improved in [17] and extended to the strong product of paths. In [17] some results for the lexicographic product of arbitrary graphs were also presented. For the lexicographic product of two arbitrary graphs, the bounds were presented for $\psi_{k}$, furthermore, $\psi_{2}$ and $\psi_{3}$ were exactly determined in [3]. Recently, a lower and an upper bounds for $\psi_{k}$ of the rooted product graphs were presented in [18], moreover, $\psi_{2}$ and $\psi_{3}$ were exactly determined.

It is obvious that the following two results hold.
Lemma 1. If positive integers $k \geq 2$ and $k \leq n$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{k}\left(P_{n}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k}\right\rfloor \\
& \psi_{k}\left(C_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{k}\right\rceil \\
& \psi_{k}\left(K_{n}\right)=n-k+1
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2. If $H$ is a subgraph of $G$ and $k$ is a positive integer, then

$$
\psi_{k}(G) \geq \psi_{k}(H)
$$

This is trivial since we can obtain one $k$-path vertex cover $S \cap V(H)$ of $H$ from every $k$-path vertex cover $S$ of $G$ for every subgraph $H$ of $G$.

Lemma 3. [4] For $k \geq 4, n \geq 2\lceil\sqrt{k}\rceil$, and $m \geq$ $3\lceil\sqrt{k}\rceil$, the following holds

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{n} \boxtimes P_{m}\right) \geq \frac{m n}{24\lceil\sqrt{k}\rceil}
$$

In this paper, we will present several results on $\psi_{k}$ for Cartesian product and lexicographic product of some graphs.

## 2 Main results

Let $G$ and $H$ be arbitrary graphs, for a fixed vertex $v \in V(H)$, we refer to the set $V(G) \times\{v\}$ as a $G$-layer. Similarly $\{u\} \times V(H)$, for a fixed vertex $u \in V(G)$, is an $H$-layer. Whenever referring to a specific $G$ - or $H$ - layer, we denote them by $G^{v}$ or ${ }^{u} H$, respectively. It is clear that in the Cartesian and lexicographic products, a $G$-layer or $H$-layer is isomorphic to $G$ or $H$, respectively.

Clearly, $\psi_{1}(G)=|V(G)|$ and $\psi_{k}(G)=0$ for any graph $G$ and each integer $k>|V(G)|$, so we always suppose that $2 \leq k \leq|V(G)|$ for $\psi_{k}(G)$ in the following.

Lemma 4. If $n \geq 2$ and $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq k \leq n+1$, then

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)=n .
$$

Proof. Firstly we will construct a $k$-path vertex cover with $n$ vertices to prove that

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \leq n
$$

Let

$$
S_{1}=\left\{\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right) \in V\left(P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \mid k \leq j \leq n\right\}
$$

with $\left|S_{1}\right|=n-k+1$, and

$$
S_{2}=\left\{\left(u_{2}, v_{j}\right) \in V\left(P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq k-1\right\}
$$

with $\left|S_{2}\right|=k-1$. It is easy to see that $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ is a $k$-path vertex cover, since the largest connected subgraph of $P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}$ with all vertices uncovered is isomorphic to $K_{k-1}$. So we have

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \leq|S|=n
$$

Secondly we will prove that

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \geq n
$$

Assume to the contrary that $T$ is a $k$-path vertex cover of the graph $P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}$, with $|T| \leq n-1$. Clearly there exist two vertices $\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{2}, v_{j}\right) \notin T$, where $1 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore, lying in the layer ${ }^{u_{1}} K_{n}$, all the vertices which are not covered by $T$ can form a path $P_{1}$ with the terminate vertex $\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right)$. And lying in the layer ${ }^{u_{2}} K_{n}$, all the vertices which are not covered by $T$ can form a path $P_{2}$ with the original vertex $\left(u_{2}, v_{j}\right)$. Set

$$
P=P_{1}+\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right)\left(u_{2}, v_{j}\right)+P_{2} .
$$

Since
$|V(P)|=2 n-|V(T)| \geq 2 n-(n-1)=n+1 \geq k$,
we have a path of order at least $k$ with no vertices belong to $T$, a contradiction.

Lemma 5. If $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq n+2$, then

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \geq n,
$$

where $a=\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1$.
Proof. We will prove our result by contradiction. Assume to the contrary that $S$ is a $k$-path vertex cover of the graph $P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}$ with $|S| \leq n-1$. Let $\left(u_{i}, v_{j_{i}}\right)$ and $\left(u_{i}, v_{l_{i}}\right)$ be the first vertex and the last vertex, which lie in $P$ and belong to the layer ${ }^{u_{i}} K_{n}$, respectively, where $1 \leq i \leq a, 1 \leq j_{i} \leq n$ and $1 \leq l_{i} \leq n$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|V\left(P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)\right|-|V(S)| \\
& \geq n\left(\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1\right)-(n-1) \\
& \geq n\left(\frac{k-1}{n}+1\right)-(n-1)=k,
\end{aligned}
$$

we only need to show that all the vertices of $P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}$, not covered by $S$, can form a path $P$. Moreover, if $\left(u_{i}, v_{j_{i}}\right) \neq\left(u_{i}, v_{l_{i}}\right)$, then all the vertices that lie in $P$ and between $\left(u_{i}, v_{j_{i}}\right)$ and $\left(u_{i}, v_{l_{i}}\right)$ should belong to $V\left({ }^{u_{i}} K_{n}\right)$. We will show our result by induction for $a$.
Claim 1. For $a=3$, we can get a contradiction.
We will deal with the result in three cases.
Case 1. Suppose that all the vertices which belong to $S$ lie in the same layer ${ }^{u_{i}} K_{n}$ completely for some $i \in[1,3]$. It is easy to prove that all the vertices of $P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}$, not covered by $S$, can induce a path since there is a vertex $\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right) \notin S$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n$, a contradiction.

Case 2. Suppose that all the vertices which belong to $S$ lie in two layers ${ }^{u_{b}} K_{n}$ and ${ }^{u_{c}} K_{n}$ completely, where $1 \leq b \neq c \leq 3$.

Clearly, in this case, $n \geq 3$ and there are at least 2 vertices on each layer which are not covered by $S$. If all the vertices which belong to $S$ lie in the two layers ${ }^{u_{1}} K_{n}$ and ${ }^{u_{2}} K_{n}$, then there exist two vertices $\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right)$, $\left(u_{2}, v_{j}\right) \notin S$ for some $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq n$. Therefore, lying in the layer ${ }^{u_{1}} K_{n}$, all the vertices which are not covered by $S$ can form a path $P_{1}$ with the terminate vertex $\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right)$; Lying in the layer ${ }^{u_{2}} K_{n}$, all the vertices which are not covered by $S$ can form a path $P_{2}$ with the original vertex $\left(u_{2}, v_{j}\right)$ and the terminate vertex $\left(u_{2}, v_{l}\right)$; All the vertices which lie in the layer ${ }^{u_{3}} K_{n}$ can form a path $P_{3}$ with the original vertex $\left(u_{3}, v_{l}\right)$, where $1 \leq l \leq n$ and $l \neq j$. Set
$P=P_{1}+\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right)\left(u_{2}, v_{j}\right)+P_{2}+\left(u_{2}, v_{l}\right)\left(u_{3}, v_{l}\right)+P_{3}$.
Then all the vertices of $P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}$ which are not covered by $S$ induce a path $P$, a contradiction.

If all the vertices which belong to $S$ lie in the two layers ${ }^{u_{2}} K_{n}$ and ${ }^{u_{3}} K_{n}$, then we can get a contradiction similarly.

If all the vertices which belong to $S$ lie in the two layers ${ }^{u_{1}} K_{n}$ and ${ }^{u_{3}} K_{n}$, then $n \geq 3$ and there exist vertices $\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{3}, v_{l}\right) \notin S$, where $1 \leq j \neq l \leq n$. Therefore, lying in the layer ${ }^{u_{1}} K_{n}$, all the vertices which are not covered by $S$ can form a path $P_{1}$ with the terminate vertex $\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right)$; All the vertices which lie in the layer ${ }^{u_{2}} K_{n}$ can form a path $P_{2}$ with the original vertex $\left(u_{2}, v_{j}\right)$ and the terminate vertex $\left(u_{2}, v_{l}\right)$; Lying in the layer ${ }^{u_{3}} K_{n}$, all the vertices which are not covered by $S$ can form a path $P_{3}$ with the original vertex $\left(u_{3}, v_{l}\right)$. Set
$P=P_{1}+\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right)\left(u_{2}, v_{j}\right)+P_{2}+\left(u_{2}, v_{l}\right)\left(u_{3}, v_{l}\right)+P_{3}$.
Then, all the vertices of $P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}$ which are not covered by $S$ form a path $P$ with order at least $k$, a contradiction, too.

Case 3. Suppose that $S \cap^{u_{i}} K_{n} \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in[1,3]$. Then $n \geq 4$ and there exist four vertices $\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{2}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{2}, v_{l}\right)$ and $\left(u_{3}, v_{l}\right)$ which are not in $S$ for some $1 \leq j \neq l \leq n$, since $|S| \leq n-1$. Therefore, lying in the layer ${ }^{u_{1}} K_{n}$, all the vertices which are not covered by $S$ can form a path $P_{1}$ with the terminate vertex $\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right)$; Lying in the layer ${ }^{u_{2}} K_{n}$, all the vertices which are not covered by $S$ can form a path $P_{2}$ with the original vertex $\left(u_{2}, v_{j}\right)$ and the terminate vertex $\left(u_{2}, v_{l}\right)$; Lying in the layer ${ }^{u_{3}} K_{n}$, all the vertices which are not covered by $S$ can form a path $P_{3}$ with the original vertex $\left(u_{3}, v_{l}\right)$. Set
$P=P_{1}+\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right)\left(u_{2}, v_{j}\right)+P_{2}+\left(u_{2}, v_{l}\right)\left(u_{3}, v_{l}\right)+P_{3}$.
Then, not covered by $S$, all the vertices of $P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}$ form a path $P$ with order at least $k$, and thus we also get a contradiction.

Claim 2. Assume that the lemma is true for $a=q \geq$ 3 . Then we can get a contradiction for $a=q+1$.

In the following we will deal with the result in three cases for $a=q+1$.

Case 1. If $S \subseteq\left(V\left(P_{q+1} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)-V\left({ }^{u_{q+1}} K_{n}\right)\right)$, then, by the induction hypothesis, we assume that, all the vertices of $\left(V\left(P_{q+1} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)-V\left({ }^{u_{q+1}} K_{n}\right)\right)$ that are not covered by $S$ can form a path $P_{1}$ with the terminate vertex $\left(u_{q}, v_{l}\right)$, where $1 \leq l \leq n$. All the vertices which lie in the layer ${ }^{u_{q+1}} K_{n}$ can form a path $P_{2}$ with the original vertex $\left(u_{q+1}, v_{l}\right)$. Set

$$
P=P_{1}+\left(u_{q}, v_{l}\right)\left(u_{q+1}, v_{l}\right)+P_{2} .
$$

Then all the vertices of $P_{q+1} \boxtimes K_{n}$ which are not covered by $S$ form a path $P$ with order at least $k$, a contradiction.

Case 2. If $S \subseteq\left(V\left(P_{q+1} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)-V\left({ }^{u_{1}} K_{n}\right)\right)$, then we can get a contradiction similarly.

Case 3. Assume that $S \cap^{u_{1}} K_{n} \neq \emptyset$ and $S \cap^{u_{q+1}}$ $K_{n} \neq \emptyset$. By the induction hypothesis, all the vertices which are not covered by $S$ and lie in layers ${ }^{u_{i}} K_{n}$ can form a path $P_{1}$ with the terminate vertex lying in the layer ${ }^{u_{q}} K_{n}$, where $1 \leq i \leq q$. Let $\left(u_{q-1}, v_{j}\right)$ be the last vertex lying in $P_{1}$ and belonging to the layer ${ }^{u_{q-1}} K_{n}$. Denote by $V_{1}$ the vertex set of $\left(u_{q-1}, v_{j}\right)$ together with all the vertices which lie in $P_{1}$ and precede $\left(u_{q-1}, v_{j}\right)$. Set $P_{2}=P_{1}\left[V_{1}\right]$, and let $\left(u_{q-1}, v_{j}\right)$ be the terminate vertex of $P_{2}$, where $1 \leq j \leq n$. Since $\left|V\left(P_{2}\right)\right| \geq 1$, there are at most $n-2$ vertices being covered by $S$ and lying in the two layers ${ }^{u_{q}} K_{n}$ and ${ }^{u_{q+1}} K_{n}$. So, there exist vertices $\left(u_{q}, v_{l}\right)$, $\left(u_{q+1}, v_{l}\right) \notin S$, where $1 \leq l \leq n$ and $l \neq j$. Lying in the layer ${ }^{u_{q}} K_{n}$, all the vertices which are not covered by $S$ can form a path $P_{3}$ with the original vertex $\left(u_{q}, v_{j}\right)$ and the terminate vertex $\left(u_{q}, v_{l}\right)$; Lying in
the layer ${ }^{u_{q+1}} K_{n}$, all the vertices which are not covered by $S$ can form a path $P_{4}$ with the original vertex $\left(u_{q+1}, v_{l}\right)$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
P= & P_{2}+\left(u_{q-1}, v_{j}\right)\left(u_{q}, v_{j}\right)+P_{3} \\
& +\left(u_{q}, v_{l}\right)\left(u_{q+1}, v_{l}\right)+P_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, all the vertices of $P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}$ which are not covered by $S$ form a path $P$ with order at least $k$, a contradiction, too.

Theorem 6. For $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$, the following holds
(1) If $2 \leq k \leq\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$, then

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)=m(n-k+1) .
$$

(2) If $\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq k \leq n+1$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{m n}{2}, & \text { if } m \text { is even }, \\
\frac{(m+1) n}{2}-k+1, & \text { if } m \text { is odd } .\end{cases} \\
\text { (3) Let } n+1<k<n\left\lceil\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rceil+1 . \text { If } \\
m n
\end{gathered}
$$

for $l \in[1, k-1] \cup\{0\}$, then

$$
n\left\lfloor\frac{m}{\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1}\right\rfloor \leq \psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \leq n\left\lfloor\frac{m n}{n+k-1}\right\rfloor .
$$

Moreover, if $k \equiv 1(\bmod n)$, then

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)=n\left\lfloor\frac{m n}{n+k-1}\right\rfloor .
$$

If $m n \equiv l(\bmod (n+k-1))$ for $l \in[k, n+k-2]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n\left\lfloor\frac{m}{\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1}\right\rfloor \leq \psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \\
& \leq m n-(k-1)\left\lfloor\frac{m n}{n+k-1}\right\rfloor .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4) If $n\left\lceil\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq k \leq(m-1) n+1$, then

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)=n .
$$

(5) If $(m-1) n+2 \leq k \leq m n$, then

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)=m n-k+1
$$

Proof. (1) Firstly we will construct a $k$-path vertex cover with $m(n-k+1)$ vertices to prove that

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \leq m(n-k+1) .
$$

Let

$$
S_{i}=\left\{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in V\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \mid k \leq j \leq n\right\}
$$

for odd $i$ and

$$
S_{i}=\left\{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in V\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n-k+1\right\}
$$

for even $i$, where $1 \leq i \leq m$. Clearly $\left|S_{i}\right|=n-k+$ 1. It is obvious that $S=\cup_{i=1}^{m} S_{i}$ is a $k$-path vertex cover, since the largest connected subgraph of $P_{m} \boxtimes$ $K_{n}$ with all vertices uncovered is isomorphic to $K_{k-1}$. Therefore,

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \leq|S|=m(n-k+1)
$$

Secondly, since each layer ${ }^{u_{i}} K_{n}$ is isomorphic to $K_{n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, we need at least $\psi_{k}\left(K_{n}\right)$ vertices to cover each $K_{n}$-layer and we have $m$ such layers. Therefore,

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \geq m \psi_{k}\left(K_{n}\right)=m(n-k+1)
$$

by Lemma 1.
(2) Firstly, we will construct a $k$-path vertex cover $S$ to prove that
$\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \leq \begin{cases}\frac{m n}{2}, & \text { if } m \text { is even }, \\ \frac{(m+1) n}{2}-k+1, & \text { if } m \text { is odd } .\end{cases}$
Let

$$
S_{i}=\left\{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in V\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \mid k \leq j \leq n\right\}
$$

with $\left|S_{i}\right|=n-k+1$ for odd $i$ and

$$
S_{i}=\left\{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in V\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq k-1\right\}
$$

with $\left|S_{i}\right|=k-1$ for even $i$, where $1 \leq i \leq m$. It is clear that $S=\cup_{i=1}^{m} S_{i}$ is a $k$-path vertex cover since the largest connected subgraph of $P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}$ with all vertices uncovered is isomorphic to $K_{k-1}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \leq|S|=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{m n}{2}, \text { if } m \text { is even } \\
\frac{(m+1) n}{2}-k+1 \\
\text { if } m \text { is odd }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now we show that $\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \geq|S|$. If $m=2$, then the conclusion is true by Lemma 4. Suppose that $m \geq 3$ in the following. We delete the edges between the two layers ${ }^{u_{2 i}} K_{n}$ and ${ }^{u_{2 i+1}} K_{n}$, where $2 \leq 2 i<2 i+1 \leq m$.

If $m$ is even, then the graph $P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}$ can be partitioned into $\frac{m}{2}$ disjoint subgraphs which are isomorphic to $P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}$. We need at least $\psi_{k}\left(P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)$ vertices to cover each subgraph that is isomorphic to $P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}$. Therefore,

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \geq \frac{m}{2} \psi_{k}\left(P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)=\frac{m n}{2} .
$$

If $m$ is odd, then the graph $P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}$ can be partitioned into $\frac{m-1}{2}$ disjoint subgraphs which are isomorphic to $P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}$ and a subgraph that is isomorphic to $K_{n}$. We need at least $\psi_{k}\left(P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)$ vertices to cover each subgraph that is isomorphic to $P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}$ and at least $\psi_{k}\left(K_{n}\right)$ vertices to cover the subgraph that is isomorphic to $K_{n}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \geq \frac{m-1}{2} \psi_{k}\left(P_{2} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)+\psi_{k}\left(K_{n}\right) \\
=\frac{(m+1) n}{2}-k+1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

(3) Let vertex $\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ label $n(i-1)+j$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S=\{ & \left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n \\
& n(i-1)+j \equiv l(\bmod (n+k-1)) \\
& l \in[k, n+k-2] \cup\{0\}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, the labels of vertices in $V\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)$ are $[1, m n]$, and $|S| \geq n$ since

$$
n+k-1 \leq n+n\left\lceil\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rceil \leq n+\frac{m n}{2} \leq m n
$$

We shall show that $S$ is a $k$-path vertex cover of $P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}$. Let $T$ be the vertex set of any $n$ consecutive label vertices and $T \neq V\left({ }^{u_{1}} K_{n}\right)$. For any such vertex set $T$, we have $\left|T \cap V\left(P_{m}^{v_{j}}\right)\right|=1$ for every $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then, for a fixed such vertex set $T$, there exist $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, such that $V(T) \subset V\left({ }^{u_{i}} K_{n} \cup^{u_{i+1}} K_{n}\right)$. There are at most $\left\lfloor\frac{m n}{n+k-1}\right\rfloor$ groups of such vertex set $T$ of $n$ consecutive label vertices in $S$. Remove all vertices $\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in S$ and all edges incident with them from $P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}$. We can get at most $\left\lceil\frac{m n}{n+k-1}\right\rceil$ components with order at most $k-1$, so $S$ is a k-path vertex cover of $P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}$. If $m n \equiv l(\bmod (n+k-1))$ for $l \in[1, k-1] \cup\{0\}$, then

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \leq|S|=n\left\lfloor\frac{m n}{n+k-1}\right\rfloor
$$

If $m n \equiv l(\bmod (n+k-1))$ for $l \in[k, n+k-2]$, then

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \leq|S|=m n-(k-1)\left\lfloor\frac{m n}{n+k-1}\right\rfloor .
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1 \leq\left\lceil\frac{n\left\lceil\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rceil+1-1}{n}\right\rceil+1 \\
& =\left\lceil\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq \frac{m}{2}+1 \leq m .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $m=a$, then the lower bound is true. Suppose that $m \geq a+1$. We delete the edges between the two layers ${ }^{u_{a i}} K_{n}$ and ${ }^{u_{a i+1}} K_{n}$, where $a \leq a i<a i+$ $1 \leq m$. We can partition the graph $P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}$ into $r$ disjoint subgraphs which are isomorphic to $P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}$, where $r=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1}\right\rfloor$. We need at least $\psi_{k}\left(P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)$
vertices to cover each subgraph that is isomorphic to $P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}$. According to Lemma 5, we have

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \geq r \psi_{k}\left(P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \geq n\left\lfloor\frac{m}{\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1}\right\rfloor .
$$

Clearly, if $m n \equiv l(\bmod (n+k-1))$ for $l \in$ $[1, k-1] \cup\{0\}$, then the two bounds of $\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)$ are equal when $k \equiv 1(\bmod n)$, hence we can get

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)=n\left\lfloor\frac{m n}{m+k-1}\right\rfloor
$$

in this case.
(4) Let

$$
x=\left\lceil\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rceil+1
$$

and

$$
S=\left\{\left(u_{x}, v_{j}\right) \in V\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}
$$

It is easy to see that $S$ is a $k$-path vertex cover of $P_{m} \boxtimes$ $K_{n}$, since the largest connected subgraph of $P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}$ with all vertices uncovered is isomorphic to $P_{x-1} \boxtimes$ $K_{n}$ and

$$
\left|V\left(P_{x-1} \boxtimes K_{n}\right)\right|=n\left\lceil\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rceil \leq k-1
$$

Therefore,

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \leq|S|=n
$$

On the other hand, set $a=\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1$. Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
a \leq\left\lceil\frac{(m-1) n+1-1}{n}\right\rceil+1=m, \\
P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n} \subseteq P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

According to Lemmas 2 and 5, we have

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \geq \psi_{k}\left(P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \geq n
$$

(5) Let $S=\left\{\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right) \in V\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $j \leq m n-k+1\}$. It is easy to see that $S$ is a $k$-path vertex cover of $P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}$, since the order of the largest connected subgraph of $P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}$ with al1 vertices uncovered is at most $k-1$. Therefore, $\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \leq|S|=m n-k+1$.

On the other hand, the process of proving $\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes K_{n}\right) \geq m n-k+1$ is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.

As seen in the previous theorems, it is very hard to determine exact results for product of fixed graphs $G$ and $H$. Next we give some lower bounds of $\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes\right.$ $P_{n}$ ) for general $m$ and $n$.

Theorem 7. If $m \geq 2, n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$ are positive integers, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}\right) \geq \\
& \max \left\{2\left\lfloor\frac{m}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{m n-\left(2\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+2\right)\left\lfloor\frac{m}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}{k}\right\rfloor,\right. \\
& \left.\quad 2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{\left.m n-\left(2\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+2\right)\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1}\right\rfloor \frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}{k}\right\rfloor\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. As seen in Fig.1, we partition the graph $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$ into $x$ disjoint subgraphs which are isomorphic to $C_{y}$, where $x=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ and $y=2\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+2$. The remain vertices can construct a path of order $z$, where $z=m n-x y$. We need at least $\psi_{k}\left(C_{y}\right)$ vertices to cover each subgraph that is isomorphic to $C_{y}$ and at least $\psi_{k}\left(P_{z}\right)$ vertices to cover the subgraph that is isomorphic to $P_{z}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}\right) \geq x \psi_{k}\left(C_{y}\right)+\psi_{k}\left(P_{z}\right)_{m}=2 x+\left\lfloor\frac{z}{k}\right\rfloor \\
& =2\left\lfloor\frac{m}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{\left.m n-\left(2\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+2\right)\left\lfloor\frac{m}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1}\right\rfloor \frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor}{k}\right\rfloor .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 1: A partition of $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}$.
Similarly, we can obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}\right) \geq & 2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor \\
& +\left\lfloor\frac{m n-\left(2\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+2\right)\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}{k}\right\rfloor .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3 and Theorem 7 give two lower bounds of $\psi_{k}\left(P_{n} \boxtimes P_{m}\right)$. When $k$ is relatively small, the lower bound of Theorem 7 is better than Lemma 3.

Corollary 8. If $m$ is a positive integer, then

$$
\psi_{5}\left(P_{2} \boxtimes P_{m}\right)=2\left\lfloor\frac{m}{3}\right\rfloor .
$$

Proof. If $m<3$, the conclusion is true. Suppose that $m \geq 3$. We will construct a 5 -path vertex cover of order $2\left\lfloor\frac{m}{3}\right\rfloor$. Let

$$
S=\left\{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 2, j \equiv 0(\bmod 3)\right\}
$$

It is clear that $S$ is a 5-path vertex cover, since the largest connected subgraph of $P_{2} \boxtimes P_{m}$ induced with all vertices uncovered is isomorphic to $P_{2} \boxtimes P_{2}$. So, we have obtained

$$
\psi_{5}\left(P_{2} \boxtimes P_{m}\right) \leq|S|=2\left\lfloor\frac{m}{3}\right\rfloor .
$$

On the other hand, according to Theorem 7, we have $\psi_{5}\left(P_{2} \boxtimes P_{m}\right) \geq 2\left\lfloor\frac{m}{3}\right\rfloor$.

Corollary 9. Let $m \geq 2, n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$ be positive integers. For any positive number $\varepsilon$, we have

$$
\frac{\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}\right)}{\left|V\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}\right)\right|} \geq \frac{1}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1}-\varepsilon
$$

when $m$ and $n$ are large sufficiently.
Proof. Let

$$
m=a\left(\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right)+c
$$

and

$$
n=2 b+d
$$

where $c \in\left[0,\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor\right]$ and $d=0$ or 1 . According to Theorem 7, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}\right)}{\left|V\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}\right)\right|} & \geq 2\left\lfloor\frac{m}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor \frac{1}{m n} \\
& =2 \frac{m-c}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1} \frac{n-d}{2} \frac{1}{m n} \\
& =\left(1-\frac{c}{m}-\frac{d}{n}+\frac{c d}{m n}\right) \frac{1}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1} \\
& \rightarrow \frac{1}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1}(m, n \rightarrow+\infty) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}\right)}{\left|V\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}\right)\right|} \geq \frac{1}{\left\lfloor\frac{k}{2}\right\rfloor+1}-\varepsilon,
$$

when $m$ and $n$ are large sufficiently.
Next we give some lower bounds for $\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes\right.$ $P_{n}^{2}$ ).

Theorem 10. Let $k \geq 2, m \geq \min \left\{\frac{k}{2}, 2\right\}$ and $n \geq k$ be positive integers. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}^{2}\right) \geq \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{r}
4\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{\left.m n-\left(2\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+4\right)\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}\right\rfloor \frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}{k}\right\rfloor \\
\text { for } n \equiv 1\left(\bmod \left(\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2\right)\right), \\
4\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lceil\frac{\left.m n-\left(2\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+4\right)\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}\right\rfloor \frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}{k}\right\rceil
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { otherwise }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $H=P_{2} \boxtimes P_{a}^{2}$, where $a=\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2$.
Claim 1. If positive integers $k \geq 2$ and $a=\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2$, then $\psi_{k}(H)=4$, where $H=P_{2} \boxtimes P_{a}^{2}$.

If $2 \leq k \leq 4$, then $\psi_{k}(H)=4$, so we suppose that $k \geq 5$.

Firstly we construct a $k$-path vertex cover $S$ with $|S|=4$ to prove that $\psi_{k}(H) \leq 4$. Let $S=$ $\left\{\left(u_{1}, v_{2}\right),\left(u_{1}, v_{3}\right),\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right),\left(u_{2}, v_{3}\right)\right\}$. Remove from $G$ the vertex $\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in S$ and all edges incident with $\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)$. We can get two disjoint subgraphs $P_{2}$ and $P_{2} \boxtimes P_{a-3}^{2}$. Then $S$ is a $k$-path vertex cover of $H$, since
$\left|V\left(P_{2} \boxtimes P_{a-3}^{2}\right)\right|=2\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil-2 \leq 2 \frac{k+1}{2}-2=k-1$.
Therefore, $\psi_{k}(H) \leq|S|=4$.
Since $C_{2 a} \subset H, \psi_{k}(H) \geq \psi_{k}\left(C_{2 a}\right)=2$. Suppose $T$ is a minimum $k$-path vertex cover of $H$ with $|T| \geq 2$. Assume two different vertices $\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ and $\left(u_{p}, v_{q}\right)$ belong to $T$ and $T_{1}=\left\{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right),\left(u_{p}, v_{q}\right)\right\}$, where $1 \leq i, p \leq 2$ and $1 \leq j, q \leq a$. We can show that the vertices which belong to $V(H) \backslash T_{1}$ always form a circle of order $2 a-2$ or $2 a-3$. Since $\psi_{k}\left(C_{2 a-2}\right)=\psi_{k}\left(C_{2 a-3}\right)=2$, we need at least two more vertices that lie in each constructed circle to belong to $T$. Therefore, $\psi_{k}(H)=|T| \geq\left|T_{1}\right|+2=4$ and then the claim is proved.


Figure 2: A partition of $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}^{2}$ for $n \equiv 1\left(\bmod \left(\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2\right)\right)$.

As seen in Fig.2, if

$$
n \equiv 1\left(\bmod \left(\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2\right)\right)
$$

then we can partition the graph $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}^{2}$ into $x$ disjoint subgraphs which are isomorphic to $H$ and a path of order $y$, where

$$
x=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor
$$

and

$$
y=m n-x\left(2\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+4\right)
$$

We need at least $\psi_{k}(H)$ vertices to cover each subgraph that is isomorphic to $H$ and at least $\psi_{k}\left(P_{y}\right)$ vertices to cover the subgraph that is isomorphic to $P_{y}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}^{2}\right) \geq x \psi_{k}(H)+\psi_{k}\left(P_{y}\right)=4 x+\left\lfloor\frac{y}{k}\right\rfloor \\
& =4\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\frac{\left.m n-\left(2\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+4\right)\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}\right\rfloor \frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}{k}\right\rfloor .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3: A partition of $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}^{2}$ for $n \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod \left(\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2\right)\right)$.

As seen in Fig.3, if

$$
n \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod \left(\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2\right)\right)
$$

then we can partition the graph $P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}^{2}$ into $x$ disjoint subgraphs which are isomorphic to $H$ and a cycle of order $y$, where

$$
x=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor
$$

and

$$
y=m n-x\left(2\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+4\right)
$$

If $m \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $n \equiv 0\left(\bmod \left(\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2\right)\right)$, then $y=0$; otherwise, $y \geq \min \{n, 2 m\} \geq k$. We need at least $\psi_{k}(H)$ vertices to cover each subgraph that is isomorphic to $H$ and at least $\psi_{k}\left(C_{y}\right)$ vertices to cover the subgraph that is isomorphic to $C_{y}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}^{2}\right) \geq x \psi_{k}(H)+\psi_{k}\left(C_{y}\right)=4 x+\left\lceil\frac{y}{k}\right\rceil \\
& =4\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor+\left\lceil\frac{\left.m n-\left(2\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+4\right)\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}\right\rfloor \frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}{k}\right\rceil .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 11. Let $k \geq 2, m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$ be positive integers. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}^{2}\right) \geq & 4\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor \\
& +\left\lfloor\frac{m n-\left(2\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+4\right)\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor}{k}\right\rfloor .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We can get the result as the proof of Theorem 10 for $n \equiv 1\left(\bmod \left(\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2\right)\right)$, similarly.

Corollary 12. Let $k \geq 2, m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$ be positive integers. For any positive number $\varepsilon$, we have

$$
\frac{\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}^{2}\right)}{\left|V\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}^{2}\right)\right|} \geq \frac{2}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}-\varepsilon
$$

when $m$ and $n$ are large sufficiently.
Proof. Let $n=a\left(\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2\right)+c$ and $m=2 b+d$, where $c \in\left[0,\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+1\right]$ and $d=0$ or 1 . According to Corollary 11, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}^{2}\right)}{\left|V\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}^{2}\right)\right|} & \geq 4\left\lfloor\frac{n}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}\right\rfloor\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor \frac{1}{m n} \\
& =4 \frac{n-c}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2} \frac{m-d}{2} \frac{1}{m n} \\
& =2\left(1-\frac{c}{n}-\frac{d}{m}+\frac{c d}{m n}\right) \frac{1}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2} \\
& \rightarrow \frac{2}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}(\text { when } m, n \rightarrow+\infty) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for any positive number $\varepsilon$, we have obtained

$$
\frac{\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}^{2}\right)}{\left|V\left(P_{m} \boxtimes P_{n}^{2}\right)\right|} \geq \frac{2}{\left\lceil\frac{k}{2}\right\rceil+2}-\varepsilon
$$

when $m$ and $n$ are large sufficiently.
Finally, we give some results for the lexicographic product of a path and a complete graph. We can obtain the following result by Lemma 5 since $P_{a} \boxtimes K_{n}$ is a subgraph of $P_{a} \circ K_{n}$. We will present a much easier proof than Lemma 5.

Lemma 13. If $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq n+2$, then

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{a} \circ K_{n}\right) \geq n
$$

where $a=\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1$.
Proof. Assume to the contrary that $S$ is a $k$-path vertex cover of the graph $P_{a} \circ K_{n}$ with $|S| \leq n-1$. Let $S_{i}=S \cap V^{u_{i}} K_{n}$ with $\left|S_{i}\right|=n_{i}$, where $1 \leq i \leq a$. Therefore, lying in the layer ${ }^{u_{i}} K_{n}$, all the vertices that are not covered by $S_{i}$ can construct a path $P_{i}$ of order $n-n_{i}$. Let the original vertex of $P_{i}$ be $\left(u_{i}, v_{i}\right)$ and the terminate vertex of $P_{i}$ be $\left(u_{i}, w_{i}\right)$, where $1 \leq i \leq a$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
P= & P_{1}+\left(u_{1}, w_{1}\right)\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)+P_{2}+\left(u_{2}, w_{2}\right)\left(u_{3}, v_{3}\right) \\
& +P_{3}+\cdots+\left(u_{a-1}, w_{a-1}\right)\left(u_{a}, v_{a}\right)+P_{a} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
|V(P)| & =\sum_{i=1}^{a} P_{i} \\
& =a n-\sum_{i=1}^{a} n_{i} \\
& =\left(\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1\right) n-(n-1) \\
& \geq\left(\frac{k-1}{n}+1\right) n-(n-1) \\
& =k
\end{aligned}
$$

We have a path of order at least $k$ with no vertices in $S$, a contradiction.

Theorem 14. For $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$, the following results hold.
(1) If $2 \leq k \leq n+1$, then
$\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{m(2 n-k+1)}{2}, \text { if } m \text { is even }, \\ \frac{(m+1)(2 n-k+1)}{2}-n, \text { if } m \text { is odd } .\end{array}\right.$
(2) If $n+1<k<n\left\lceil\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rceil+1$, then

$$
n\left\lfloor\frac{m}{\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1}\right\rfloor \leq \psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right) \leq n\left\lfloor\frac{m}{\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rfloor+1}\right\rfloor .
$$

Moreover, if $k \equiv 1(\bmod n)$, then

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right)=n\left\lfloor\frac{m n}{n+k-1}\right\rfloor .
$$

(3) If $n\left\lceil\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq k \leq(m-1) n+1$, then

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right)=n
$$

(4) If $(m-1) n+2 \leq k \leq m n$, then

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right)=m n-k+1 .
$$

Proof. (1) Firstly we will construct a $k$-path vertex cover $S$ to obtain the upper bound. Let

$$
S_{i}=\left\{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in V\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right) \mid k \leq j \leq n\right\}
$$

with $\left|S_{i}\right|=n-k+1$ for odd $i$ and

$$
S_{i}=\left\{\left(u_{i}, v_{j}\right) \in V\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}
$$

with $\left|S_{i}\right|=n$ for even $i$, where $1 \leq i \leq m$. It is clear that $S=\cup_{i=1}^{m} S_{i}$ is a $k$-path vertex cover since the largest connected subgraph of $P_{m} \circ K_{n}$ with all vertices uncovered is isomorphic to $K_{k-1}$. Therefore,
$\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right) \leq|S|=\left\{\begin{array}{r}\frac{m(2 n-k+1)}{2}, \text { if } m \text { is even }, \\ \frac{(m+1)(2 n-k+1)}{2}-n, \\ \text { if } m \text { is odd } .\end{array}\right.$
Now we will show the lower bound. It is easy to see that $P_{2} \circ K_{n} \cong K_{2 n}$ and thus $\psi_{k}\left(P_{2} \circ K_{n}\right)=2 n-$
$k+1$. If $m=2$, then the conclusion is true. Suppose $m \geq 3$, we delete the edges between the two layers ${ }^{u_{2 i}} \bar{K}_{n}$ and ${ }^{u_{2 i+1}} K_{n}$, where $2 \leq 2 i<2 i+1 \leq m$.

If $m$ is even, then the graph $P_{m} \circ K_{n}$ can be partitioned into $\frac{m}{2}$ disjoint subgraphs which are isomorphic to $P_{2} \circ K_{n}$. Hence, we have
$\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right) \geq \frac{m}{2} \psi_{k}\left(P_{2} \circ K_{n}\right)=\frac{m(2 n-k+1)}{2}$.
If $m$ is odd, then the whole graph $P_{m} \circ K_{n}$ can be partitioned into $\frac{m-1}{2}$ disjoint subgraphs which are isomorphic to $P_{2} \circ K_{n}$ and a subgraph that is isomorphic to $K_{n}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right) & \geq \frac{m-1}{2} \psi_{k}\left(P_{2} \circ K_{n}\right)+\psi_{k}\left(K_{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{(m+1)(2 n-k+1)}{2}-n .
\end{aligned}
$$

(2) Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
a & =\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1 \leq\left\lceil\frac{n\left\lceil\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rceil+1-1}{n}\right\rceil+1 \\
& =\left\lceil\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rceil+1 \leq \frac{m}{2}+1 \leq m .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $m=a$, then the lower bound is true. Suppose $m \geq$ $a+1$, we delete the edges between the two layers ${ }^{u_{i a}} K_{n}$ and ${ }^{u_{i a+1}} K_{n}$, where $1 \leq i a<i a+1 \leq n$. The graph $P_{m} \circ K_{n}$ can be partitioned into $\left\lfloor\frac{m}{a}\right\rfloor$ disjoint subgraphs which are isomorphic to $P_{a} \circ K_{n}$. We need at least $\psi_{k}\left(P_{a} \circ K_{n}\right)$ vertices to cover each subgraph that is isomorphic to $P_{a} \circ K_{n}$. According to Lemma 13 , we have
$\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right) \geq\left\lfloor\frac{m}{a}\right\rfloor \psi_{k}\left(P_{a} \circ K_{n}\right) \geq n\left\lfloor\frac{m}{\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1}\right\rfloor$.
On the other hand, let $b=\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rfloor+1 \leq a \leq m$ and $r=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{b}\right\rfloor \geq 1$. Set $S_{i}=\left\{\left(u_{i b}, v_{j}\right) \in V\left(P_{m} \circ\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.K_{n}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$ with $\left|S_{i}\right|=n$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. It is clear that $S=\cup_{i=1}^{r} S_{i}$ is a $k$-path vertex cover of $P_{m} \circ K_{n}$, since the order of the largest connected subgraph of $P_{m} \circ K_{n}$ with all vertices uncovered is at most

$$
n(b-1)=n\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rfloor \leq k-1
$$

So,

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right) \leq|S|=n r=n\left\lfloor\frac{m}{\left\lfloor\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rfloor+1}\right\rfloor .
$$

Clearly, when $k \equiv 1(\bmod n)$, the two bounds of $\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right)$ are equal, hence we can obtain that

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right)=n\left\lfloor\frac{m n}{n+k-1}\right\rfloor
$$

in this case.
(3) Let $x=\left\lceil\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rceil+1$ and $S=\left\{\left(u_{x}, v_{j}\right) \in\right.$ $\left.V\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\right\}$. It is easy to see that $S$ is a $k$-path vertex cover of $P_{m} \circ K_{n}$ since the largest connected subgraph of $P_{m} \circ K_{n}$ with all vertices uncovered is isomorphic to $P_{x-1} \circ K_{n}$ and

$$
\left|V\left(P_{x-1} \circ K_{n}\right)\right|=n(x-1)=n\left\lceil\frac{m-1}{2}\right\rceil \leq k-1
$$

Therefore,

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right) \leq|S|=n
$$

On the other hand, set

$$
a=\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1 .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=\left\lceil\frac{k-1}{n}\right\rceil+1 \leq\left\lceil\frac{(m-1) n+1-1}{n}\right\rceil+1=m \\
P_{a} \circ K_{n} \subseteq P_{m} \circ K_{n} .
\end{gathered}
$$

According to Lemmas 2 and 13, we have

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right) \geq \psi_{k}\left(P_{a} \circ K_{n}\right) \geq n .
$$

(4) Let
$S=\left\{\left(u_{1}, v_{j}\right) \in V\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq m n-k+1\right\}$.
It is easy to see that $S$ is a $k$-path vertex cover of $P_{m} \circ$ $K_{n}$, since the order of the largest connected subgraph of $P_{m} \circ K_{n}$ with all vertices uncovered is at most $k-1$. Therefore,

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right) \leq|S|=m n-k+1 .
$$

On the other hand, it is easy to prove that

$$
\psi_{k}\left(P_{m} \circ K_{n}\right) \geq m n-k+1
$$

as Lemma 13 similarly.
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