On the validity of Thompson's conjecture for alternating groups A_{p+4} of degree p+4

YONG YANGSHITIAN LIUSchool of ScienceSchool of ScienceSichuan University of Science and Engineering
Xueyuan Street, 643000, Zigong
CHINA
yangbba@163.comSichuan University of Science and Engineering
Xueyuan Street, 643000, Zigong
CHINA
Uiust@suse.edu.cn

Abstract: Let G be a group. Let $\pi(G)$ be the set of prime divisor of |G|. Let GK(G) denote the graph with vertex set $\pi(G)$ such that two primes p and q in $\pi(G)$ are joined by an edge if G has an element of order $p \cdot q$. We use s(G) to denote the number of connected components of the prime graph GK(G). Let N(G) be the set of nonidentity orders of conjugacy classes of elements in G. Some authors have proved that the groups A_n where n = p, p + 1, p + 2 with $s(G) \ge 2$, are characterized by N(G). Then if s(G) = 1, we know that Liu and Yang proved that alternating groups A_{p+3} are characterized by N(G). As the development of this topics, we will prove that if G is a finite group with trivial center and $N(G) = N(A_{p+4})$ with p + i composite and $1 \le i \le 4$, then G is isomorphic to A_{p+4} .

Key-Words: Element order, Alternating group, Thompson's conjecture, Conjugacy classes, Simple group.

1 Introduction

All groups under considerations are finite and simple groups mean nonabelian simple groups. Let $N(G) := \{n : G \text{ has a conjugacy class of size } n\}$. Regarding N(G), J.G.Thompson in 1987 put forward the following well-known conjecture.

Thompson's Conjecture (see [24, Question 12.38]). If *L* is a finite simple non-Abelian group, *G* is a finite group with trivial center, and N(G) = N(L), then $G \cong L$.

It is well-known that there is a strong relation between the structure of a group and the sizes of its conjugacy classes and there exist many results studying the structure of a group under some arithmetical conditions on its conjugacy class sizes (see [21, 22]).

Let $\pi(G)$ denote the set of all prime divisors of |G|. Let GK(G) be the graph with vertex set $\pi(G)$ such that two primes p and q in $\pi(G)$ are joined by an edge if G has an element of order $p \cdot q$. We use s(G) to denote the number of connected components of the prime graph GK(G). A classification of all finite simple groups with disconnected prime graph was obtained in [16, 27]. Based on these results, Chen proved the following result (see [6, 7]).

Theorem 1. Let G be a finite group with Z(G) = 1. If M is a nonabelian simple group such that N(G) = N(M) and $s(M) \ge 2$, then G is isomorphic to M. Ahanjideh and Xu et al. proved the following result (see [2, 4, 1, 3] and [29]).

Theorem 2. Let G be one of the following groups: $L_n(q)$, $D_n(q)$, B_n , C_n , ${}^2D_N(q)$ and $E_7(q)$ with trivial center. Then G is characterized by N(G).

Alavi and Daneshkhah got the following (see [5]).

Theorem 3. Let G be the alternating groups A_n with n = p, p + 1, p + 2 with trivial center. Then G is characterized by N(G).

So is there a group with connected prime graph for which Thompson's conjecture would be true? Up to now, we have the following (see [11], [20], [23], [26] and [28])

Theorem 4. Let G be the alternating groups A_{10} , A_{16} , A_{22} , A_{26} or A_{p+3} with trivial center. Then G are characterized by N(G).

We know that, in A_{p+4} , $s(A_{p+4}) = 1$ and $2 \sim p, 3 \sim p$. Recently, Yang and Liu prove that Thompson's conjecture is true for A_{27} (see [30]). As the development of this topics, we will prove that Thompson's conjecture is valid for the alternating groups A_{p+4} of degree p + 4 with p + i composite and $1 \leq i \leq 4$.

We introduce some notations used to the proof of the main theorem. For a group, let Z(G) be its center. For any $1 \neq x \in G$, let x^G denote the conjugacy classes in G containing x and $C_G(x)$ denote the centralizer of x in G. Let G be a group and p a prime. Then denote by G_p the Sylow p-subgroup of G. Let $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$ and $\operatorname{Out}(G)$ denote the automorphism and outer-automorphism group of G, respectively. Let $\omega(G)$ denote the set of element order of G. The other notations are standard (see [9], for instance).

2 Preliminary Results

Lemma 5. [26, Lemma 1.2] [4, Lemma 2.3] Let $x, y \in G$, (|x|, |y|) = 1, and xy = yx. Then

- (1) $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y);$
- (2) $|x^{G}|$ divides $|(xy)^{G}|$;
- (3) If $|x^G| = |(xy)^G|$, then $C_G(x) \le C_G(y)$.

Lemma 6. [26, Lemma 3] If P and H are finite groups with trivial centers, and N(P) = N(H), then $\pi(P) = \pi(H)$.

Lemma 7. [26, Lemma 4] Suppose that G is a finite group with trivial center and p is a prime from $\pi(G)$ such that p^2 does not divide $|x^G|$ for all x in G. Then a Sylow p-subgroup of G is elementary abelian.

Lemma 8. [26, Lemma 5]Let K be a normal subgroup of G, and $\overline{G} = G/K$.

- (1) If \overline{x} is the image of an element x of G in \overline{G} . Then $|\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}|$ divides $|x^{G}|$.
- (2) If (|x|, |K|) = 1, then $C_{\overline{G}}(\overline{x}) = C_G(x)K/K$.
- (3) If $y \in K$, then $|y^K|$ divides $|y^G|$.

Let $\exp(n, r)$ denote the nonnegative integer a such that $r^a \mid n$ but $r^{a+1} \nmid n$.

Lemma 9. [19] Let A_{p+4} be the alternating group of degree p + 4, where p is a prime. Then the following hold.

- (1) $\exp(|A_{p+4}|, 2) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{p+4}{2^i}\right] 1$. In particular, $\exp(|A_{p+4}|, 2) \le p+3$.
- (2) $\exp(|A_{p+4}|, r) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} [\frac{p+4}{r^i}]$ for each $r \in \pi(A_{p+4}) \setminus \{2\}$. Furthermore, $\exp(|A_{p+4}|, r) < \frac{p+4}{2}$, where $3 \le r \in \pi(A_{p+4})$. In particular, if $r > [\frac{p+4}{2}]$, then $\exp(|A_{p+4}|, r) = 1$.

Let S_n be the symmetric group of degree n. Assume that the cycle has c_1 1-cycles, c_2 2-cycles, and so on, up to c_k k-cycles, where $1c_1+2c_2+\cdots+kc_k = n$. Then the number of conjugacy class in S_n is

$$z = n! (\prod_{i=1}^{k} i^{c_i} \prod_{i=1}^{k} c_i!)^{-1}.$$
 (1)

Let A_n be the alternating group of degree n.

Lemma 10. [13] Let $x \in A_n$. Then for the size of the conjugacy class x^G of x in A_n , we have:

- (1) If for all even $i, c_i = 0$ and for all odd $i, i \in \{0, 1\}$, then $|x^G| = z/2$.
- (2) In all other cases, $|x^G| = z$.

In particular, $|x^G| \ge z/2$.

Lemma 11. [17, Lemma 1] If $n \ge 6$ is a natural number, then there are at least s(n) prime numbers p_i such that $\frac{n+1}{2} < p_i < n$. Here

- s(n) = 6 for $n \ge 48$;
- s(n) = 5 for $42 \le n \le 47$;
- s(n) = 4 for $38 \le n \le 41$;
- s(n) = 3 for $18 \le n \le 37$;
- s(n) = 2 for $14 \le n \le 17$;
- s(n) = 1 for $6 \le n \le 13$.

In particular, for every natural number n > 6, there exists a prime p such that $\frac{n+1}{2} , and for every natural number <math>n > 3$, there exists an odd prime number p such that n - p .

Lemma 12. [12, Lemma 8] Let q > 1 be an integer, m be a nature number, and p be an odd prime. If p divides q - 1, then $(q^m - 1)_p = m_p \cdot (q - 1)_p$.

Lemma 13. [18] Let G be a finite non-abelian simple group and p is the largest prime divisor of |G| with p|||G|. Then $p \nmid |Out(G)|$.

Lemma 14. [31]Let a, b and n be positive integers such that (a, b) = 1. Then there exists a prime p with the following properties:

- p divides $a^n b^n$,
- p does not divide $a^k b^k$ for all k < n,

with the following exceptions: a = 2, b = 1; n = 6and $a + b = 2^k; n = 2$. **Lemma 15.** [10][14] With the exceptions of the relations $(239)^2 - 2(13)^4 = -1$ and $(3)^5 - 2(11)^2 = 1$ every solution of the equation

$$p^m-2q^n=\pm 1; \qquad p,q \quad prime; \qquad m,n>1,$$

has exponents m = n = 2; i. e., it comes from a unit $p - q.2^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the quadratic field $Q(2^{\frac{1}{2}})$ for which the coefficients p and q are primes.

Remark 16. If b = 1, the prime p is called the Zsigmondy prime. If p is a Zsigmondy of $a^n - 1$, then Fermat's little theorem shows that $n \mid p - 1$. Put $Z_n(a) = \{p : p \text{ is a Zigmondy prime of } a^n - 1\}$. If $r \in Z_n(a)$ and $r \mid a^m - 1$, then $n \mid m$.

Let L be a nonabelian simple group and let O denote the order of the outer-automorphism group of L.

Lemma 17. [15] Let L be a nonabelian simple group. Then the orders and their outer-automorphism of L are as listed in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

3 Main theorem and its proof

In this section, we shall give the main theorem and its proof.

Theorem 18. Let G be a finite group with trivial center and $N(G) = N(A_{p+4})$ with p + i composite and $1 \le i \le 4$. Then G is isomorphic to A_{p+4} .

Proof: We know that if k = 3, the groups A_{p+3} are characterized by N(G) (see [23]). Then in the following we only consider when $p \ge 23$ and let $L = A_{p+4}$.

We divide the proof into the following lemmas.

Lemma 19. The following hold.

(1) If $2 \neq r \leq \left[\frac{p+4}{2}\right]$, then we can write p+4 = kr + m with $0 \leq m < r$ and conjugacy class sizes of *r*-elements of *L* are $\frac{(p+4)!}{(p+4-ir)! \cdot r^i \cdot i!}$ for possible *i* with $1 \leq i \leq k = \left[\frac{p+4}{r}\right]$.

In particular, if r is an odd prime divisor of |G|, then conjugacy class sizes of r-element of L are $\frac{(p+4)!}{(p+4-r)!\cdot r}$, $\frac{(p+4)!}{2\cdot k!\cdot r^2}$, where p+4 = 2r + k and $0 \le k < r$.

(2) If r = 2, then we can write p + 4 = 2k + mwith $0 \le m \le 1$ and conjugacy class sizes of 2-elements of L are $\frac{(p+4)!}{(p+4-2i)! \cdot 2^{2i} \cdot (2i)!}$ for possible i with $1 \le i \le k = [\frac{p+4}{2}]$. (3) If $r > \lfloor \frac{p+4}{2} \rfloor$, then we can write p + 4 = r + mwith $0 \le m < r$ and conjugacy class size of *r*-elements of *L* is $\frac{(p+4)!}{(p+4-r)! \cdot r}$.

In particular, if r = p, then the conjugacy class size of p-elements of L is $\frac{(p+4)!}{4!\cdot p}$.

- (4) The following numbers from N(G) are maximality with respect to divisibility.
 - (4.1) $\frac{(p+4)!}{2mr^2}$ if $2 \cdot r + m = p + 4$ with m odd;
 - (4.2) One of the following holds: $\frac{(p+4)!}{2\cdot 3\cdot p}$ if p+4 = r+4; $\frac{(p+4)!}{2\cdot (m-2)\cdot r}$ if p+4 = r+m with $6 \le m$ even.
- (5) p'-numbers in $N(L) \setminus \{1\}$ are $\frac{(p+4)!}{4! \cdot p}$; $\frac{(p+4)!}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot p}$; $\frac{(p+4)!}{2^2 \cdot 2 \cdot p}$; $\frac{(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{2^2 \cdot 2}$; $\frac{(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{3}$.

Proof: From equation 1 and Lemma 10, we get the desired results. \Box

Lemma 20. Let G be a finite group with trivial center and N(G) = N(L). Then |L| | |G| and $\pi(G) = \pi(L)$.

Proof: Since $|x^G||C_G(x)| = |G|$, every member form N(G) divides the order of G and $|L| \mid |G|$. So by Lemmas 6, we have that $\pi(G) = \pi(L)$.

Lemma 21. Suppose that G is a finite group with trivial center and N(G) = N(L). Then the following hold.

- (1) There exist different primes r_1, r_2, p from $\pi(L)$ such that $r_1, r_2, p > [\frac{p+4}{2}]$. In particular, the Sylow r-subgroup S of G is a cyclic group of order r where $r \in \{r_1, r_2, p\}$. There does not exist an element of order $r_1 \cdot r_2, r_1 \cdot p$ or $r_2 \cdot p$.
- (2) For all $n \in N(G)$, if n is divisible at most by r^a , then the Sylow r-subgroup S of G is of order r^a .

Proof: (1) By Lemma 11, there exist different prime numbers r_1, r_2, p from $\pi(G)$ such that $r_1, r_2, p > \lfloor \frac{p+4}{2} \rfloor$.

By Lemmas 19 and 20, it is easy to see that the primes r_1, r_2, p are prime divisors of |G| and r_1^2, r_2^2, p^2 do not divide $|x^G|$ for all $x \in G$. On the other hand, by Lemma 7 we have that S is elementary abelian.

Let $|S| \ge p^2$. Consider an element y of G with $|y^G| = \frac{(p+4)!}{2m \cdot r^2}$ if 2r + m = p + 4 with m < r by Lemma 19.

We consider two cases: $p \mid |y|$ and $p \nmid |y|$.

L	Lie; rank L	d	0	L
$L_n(q)$	$A_{n-1}(q)$	(n, q - 1)	$2df$, if $n \ge 3$;	$\frac{1}{d}q^{n(n-1)/2}\prod_{i=2}^{n}(q^{i}-1)$
	n-1		df, if $n = 2$	_
$U_n(q)$	$^{2}A_{n-1}(q)$	(n, q+1)	$2df$, if $n \ge 3$	$\frac{1}{d}q^{n(n-1)/2}\prod_{i=2}^{n}(q^{i}-(-1)^{i})$
	[n/2]		df, if $n = 2$	- <u>-</u>
$PSp_{2m}(q)$	$C_m(q)$	(2, q - 1)	$df, m \ge 3;$	$\frac{1}{d}q^{m^2}\prod_{i=1}^m (q^{2i}-1)$
	m		2f, if $m = 2$	
$\Omega_{2m+1}(q)$	$B_m(q)$	2	2f	$\frac{1}{2}q^{m^2}\prod_{i=1}^m (q^{2i}-1)$
q odd	m		-	
$P\Omega_{2m}^{+}(q)$	$D_m(q)$	$(4, a^m - 1)$	$2df$, if $m \neq 4$	$\frac{1}{4}q^{m(m-1)(q^m-1)\prod_{i=1}^{m-1}(q^{2i}-1)}$
$m \ge 3$			6df, if $m = 4$	
$P\Omega_{a}^{-}(a)$	$^{2}D_{m}(a)$	$(4 \ a^m + 1)$	2df	$\frac{1}{2} a^{m(m-1)(q^m+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (a^{2i} - 1)$
$m \ge 2$	m-1	(1,9 1)	2009	
_				1

Table 2: The simple exceptional groups

L	L	d	0	
$G_2(q)$	2	1	f , if $p \neq 3$	$q^6(q^2-1)(q^6-1)$
			2f, if $p = 3$	
$F_4(q)$	4	1	(2,p)f	$q^{24}(q^2-1)(q^6-1)(q^8-1)(q^{12}-1)$
$E_6(q)$	6	(3, q - 1)	2df	$\frac{1}{d}q^{36}\prod_{i\in\{2,5,6,8,9,12\}}(q^i-1)$
$E_7(q)$	7	(2, q - 1)	df	$\frac{1}{d}q^{63}\prod_{i\in\{2,6,8,10,12,14,18\}}(q^i-1)$
$E_8(q)$	8	1	f	$q^{120}\prod_{i\in\{2,8,12,14,18,20,24,30\}}(q^{i}-1)$
$^{2}B_{2}(q), q = 2^{2m+1}$	1	1	f	$q^2(q^2+1)(q-1)$
$^{2}G_{2}(q), q = 3^{2m+1}$	1	1	f	$q^3(q^3+1)(q-1)$
${}^{2}F_{4}(q), q = 2^{2m+1}$	2	1	f	$q^{12}(q^6+1)(q^4-1)(q^3+1)(q-1)$
$^{3}D_{4}(q)$	2	1	3f	$q^{12}(q^8 + q^4 + 1)(q^6 - 1)(q^2 - 1)$
$^{2}E_{6}(q)$	4	(3, q+1)	2df	$\frac{1}{d}q^{36}\prod_{i\in\{2,5,6,8,9,12\}}(q^i-(-1)^i)$

Table 3: The simple sporadic groups

L	d	0	
M_{11}	1	1	$2^4 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$
M_{12}	2	2	$2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$
M_{22}	12	2	$2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$
M_{23}	1	1	$2^7 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$
M_{24}	1	1	$2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$
J_1	1	1	$2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$
J_2	2	2	$2^7 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$
J_3	3	2	$2^7 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 17 \cdot 19$
J_4	1	1	$2^{21} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11^3 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 43$
HS	2	2	$2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$
Suz	6	2	$2^{13} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$
McL	3	2	$2^7 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$
Ru	2	1	$2^{14} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 29$
$He(F_7)$	1	2	$2^{10} \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 17$
Ly	1	1	$2^8 \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 31 \cdot 37 \cdot 67$
ON	3	2	$2^9 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 31$
Co_1	2	1	$2^{21} \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 23$
Co_2	1	1	$2^{18} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$
Co_3	1	1	$2^{10} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 23$
Fi_{22}	6	2	$2^{17} \cdot 3^9 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$
Fi_{23}	1	1	$2^{18} \cdot 3^{13} \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 23$
Fi'_{24}	3	2	$2^{21} \cdot 3^{16} \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 23 \cdot 29$
$HN(F_5)$	1	2	$2^{14} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$
$Th(F_3)$	1	1	$2^{15} \cdot 3^{10} \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 31$
$BM(F_2)$	2	1	$2^{41} \cdot 3^{13} \cdot 5^6 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 31 \cdot 47$
$M(F_1)$	1	1	$2^{46} \cdot 3^{20} \cdot 5^9 \cdot 7^6 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 41 \cdot 47 \cdot 59 \cdot 71$

Yong Yang, Shitian Liu

Case 1. $p \nmid |y|$.

Let x be an element of $C_G(y)$ having order p. Then $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y), |x^G| | |(xy)^G|$ and $|y^G| | |(xy)^G|$ by Lemma 5. Since S is abelian, $S \leq C_G(x). \text{ Hence, } p \nmid |x^G|. \text{ It follows that } |x^G| \\ \text{equals to } \frac{(p+4)!}{4! \cdot p}; \frac{(p+4)!}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot p}; \frac{(p+4)!}{2^2 \cdot 2 \cdot p}; \frac{(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{2^2 \cdot 2}; \end{cases}$ $\frac{(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{3}$, by Lemma 19.

If $|x^G| = \frac{(p+4)!}{4! \cdot p}$, $\frac{(p+4)!}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot p}$ or $\frac{(p+4)!}{2^2 \cdot 2 \cdot p}$, then obviously, there is no number from N(G) such that $|x^G|$ $|(xy)^{G}|$ and $|y^{G}| | |(xy)^{G}|$.

Therefore $|x^G|$ equals to $\frac{(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{2^{2}\cdot 2}$, or $\frac{(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{3}$. In the following, we will consider the following two subcases.

Subcase 1: $|x^G| = \frac{(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{3}$.

If m = 3, then obviously, $r \mid \frac{(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{3}$. Therefore $\frac{(p+4)!}{r} \mid |(xy)^G|$, a contradiction since $|x^{G}| \mid |(xy)^{\dot{G}}|, |y^{G}| \mid |(xy)^{G}|$ and the maximality

of $|y^G| = \frac{(p+4)!}{2mr^2}$. If $m \ge 5$ is odd or m = 1, then $r \nmid C$ $\frac{(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{3}$. Thus $|(xy)^G| = |y^G|$ since the maximality of $|y^G|$ and so by Lemma 5, $C_G(y) \leq C_G(x)$. On the other hand, $p \nmid |x|$ and $p ||C_G(x)|$. Since $|S| \ge |z|$ p^2 , then $p \mid |x^G|$. It follows from Lemma 1.2 of [6], that there is a *p*-element w such that $1 \neq w \in C_G(x)$, $C_G(wx) < C_G(x)$ and $p \mid \frac{|C_G(x)|}{|C_G(wx)|} = 1$, a contradiction.

Subcase 2: $|x^G| = \frac{(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{2^{2} \cdot 2}$. If m = 1 or 3, then $r \mid \frac{(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)}{3}$. Therefore $\frac{(p+4)!}{r} \mid |(xy)^G|$.

If $m \ge 5$ is odd, then $r \nmid \frac{(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{2^{2} \cdot 2}$. On the other hand, obviously, $p \nmid |x|$ and $p \| |C_G(x)|$. Since $|S| \ge p^2$, then $p \mid |x^G|$. Thus by Lemma 1.2 of [6], we also get a contradiction as "Subcase 1".

Case 2. p | |y|.

Let $|y| = p \cdot t$. Since S is elementary abelian, the numbers p and t are coprime. Let $u = y^p, v = y^t$. Then y = uv, $C_G(uv) = C_G(u) \cap C_G(v)$. Therefore, $|v^G| | |y^G| = \frac{(p+4)!}{2 \cdot m \cdot r^2}$ if 2r + m = p + p4 and $1 \le m < r$.

On the other hand, the element v of G is of order p. Since the Sylow p-subgroup of G is elementary abelian, then $p \nmid |v^G|$. It follows that $|v^G|$ equals to $\frac{(p+4)!}{4! \cdot p}$; $\frac{(p+4)!}{2^2 \cdot 2 \cdot p}$; $\frac{(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{2^2 \cdot 2}$; $\frac{(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{2^2 \cdot 2}$; $\frac{(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{3}$; by Lemma 19.

If $|v^G|$ equals to $\frac{(p+4)!}{4!\cdot p}, \frac{(p+4)!}{2\cdot 3\cdot p}$ or $\frac{(p+4)!}{2^2\cdot 2\cdot p}$, then $|v^G| | |y^G|$, a contradiction. Hence $|v^G| = \frac{(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{2^2\cdot 2}$ or $|v^G| = \frac{(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{3}$. We consider the following two subcases.

Subcase 1: $|v^G| = \frac{(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{3}$.

If m = 3, then obviously, $r \mid \frac{(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{3}$. But $r \nmid \frac{(p+4)!}{2m \cdot r^2}$, a contradiction since $|x^G| \mid |(xy)^G|$, $|y^G| \mid |(xy)^G|$ and the maximality of $|y^G| = \frac{(p+4)!}{2m \cdot r^2}$. If m = 1 or $m \geq 5$ is odd, then $r \notin$ $\frac{(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{3}$. Obviously $p |||C_G(v)|$ and $p \nmid |v|$. Since $|S| \ge p^2$, then $p \mid |v^G|$. It follows from Lemma 1.2 of [6], that there is a p-element w such that $1 \neq w \in C_G(v), C_G(wv) < C_G(w)$ and $p \mid \frac{|C_G(w)|}{|C_G(wv)|} = \frac{|(wv)^G|}{w^G} = 1 \text{(since } wv = vw, \text{ then } by \text{ Lemma 5, } |v^G| \mid |(wv)^G| = |w^G|\text{).}$

Subcase 2: $|v^G| = \frac{(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{2^{2} \cdot 2}$

If m = 1, 3, then obviously, r $\frac{(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{2^{2} \cdot 2}. \quad \text{But } r \notin \frac{(p+4)!}{2mr^{2}}, \text{ a contradiction since } |x^{G}| \mid |(xy)^{G}|, |y^{G}| \mid |(xy)^{G}| \text{ and the}$ maximality of $|y^G| = \frac{(p+4)!}{2m \cdot r^2}$.

If $m \ge 5$ is odd, then similarly we can rule out as "Subcase 1".

Therefore the Sylow p-subgroup of G is of order p.

Similarly we can prove the other two cases.

There does not exist an element of order $r_1 \cdot r_2$, $r_1 \cdot p$ or $r_2 \cdot p$.

(2) Without loss of generality, we assume that nis divisible at most by r^2 .

Assume that $|S| \ge r^3$. Consider an element x of G such that

$$|x^{G}| = \begin{cases} \frac{(p+4)!}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot p}, & \text{if } r+4 = p+4\\ \frac{(p+4)!}{2m(m-2)p}, & \text{if } r+m = p+4\\ & \text{with } 6 \le m \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

by Lemma 19.

Let $r \nmid |x|$. Then there is an element y of G of order r. By Lemma 5 we have that $C_G(xy) =$ $C_G(x) \cap C_G(y), |x^G| \mid |(xy)^G|, \text{ and } |y^G| \mid |(xy)^G|.$

If y is an r-central element, then $S \leq C_G(y)$ and $|y^G|$ is an r'-number and hence, $|y^G|$ equals to one of the numbers which lie in between $\frac{(p+4)!}{2m!\cdot r^2}$ to $\frac{(p+4)!}{2mr^2}$ where $2 \cdot r + m = p + 4$ with m odd. It follows that $\frac{(p+4)!}{2} \in N(G)$, a contradiction since there is no number from N(G) such that $|x^G| \mid |(xy)^G|$ and $|y^G| \mid |(xy)^G|$ and the maximality of $|x^G|$.

If y is a noncentral r-element, then we choose an element z of order p such that $r^2 ||z^G|$ (we choose a maximal number $|z^G|$ from N(G)). By hypothesis, $r \mid |C_G(z)|$ and obviously, $r \nmid |z|$. Then by Lemma 1.2 of [6], there is an r-element w such that $1 \neq w \in$ $C_G(z), C_G(wz) < C_G(w)$, and

$$r \mid \frac{|C_G(w)|}{|C_G(wz)|} = \frac{|(wz)^G|}{|w^G|}.$$

On the other hand, we also have $z \in C_G(w)$. Since wz = zw, then $|(wz)^G| = |z^G|$ and so $C_G(z) \leq C_G(w)$. Therefore $z \in C_G(z) \leq C_G(w)$. It follows that $|C_G(z)| = |C_G(wz)|$ since the maximality of $|z^G|$, and we get $r \mid \frac{|C_G(w)|}{|C_G(wz)|} = 1$, a contradiction.

Let $r \mid |x|$, then we write |x| = rt. If S is elementary abelian, then (r, t) = 1. Set

$$u = x^r, \quad v = x^t.$$

Then x = uv, $C_G(x) = C_G(u) \cap C_G(v)$. Hence $|v^G| \mid x^G$ and $|u^G| \mid |x^G|$. Since S is abelian and v is an element of order r, then $|v^G|$ equals to one of the numbers that lie in between $\frac{(p+4)!}{2m! r^2}$ to $\frac{(p+4)!}{2m \cdot r^2}$ where 2r + m = p + 4. It follows that $|(uv)^G| = |v^G|$ and so $r^3 \mid |S| \mid |v^G|$ and hence, $r \nmid |C_G(v)| = |C_G(uv)| = |C_G(uv)|$

Therefore S is non-abelian. So we chose an element z of order p such that $r^2 | |z^G|$ (we only consider the element $|z^G|$ which is the maximality with respect to divisibility from N(G)). By hypothesis, $r | |C_G(z)|$ and obviously, $r \nmid |z|$. Then by Lemma 1.2 of [6], there is a r-element w such that $w \in C_G(z)$, $C_G(wz) < C_G(z)$ and we get $r | \frac{|C_G(w)|}{|C_G(wz)|} = \frac{|(wz)^G|}{|w^G|} = 1$, a contradiction.

For the other case, we similarly can prove as the case " $|S| = r^2$ ".

The Lemma is proved. \Box

Lemma 22. Suppose that G is a finite group with trivial center and N(G) = N(L). Let $\pi = \{2, 3\}$. Then $O_{\pi,\pi'}(G) = O_{\pi}(G)$. In particular, G is insoluble.

Proof: Let $K = O_{\pi}(G)$, $\overline{G} = G/K$ and denote by \overline{x} and by \overline{H} the images of an element x and a subgroup H of G in \overline{G} , respectively. Assume that the result is not true, then there is a prime $r \in \pi(L) \setminus \pi$ with $O_r(\overline{G}) \neq 1$.

Let $r \in \{r_1, r_2, p\}$ with $O_r(\overline{G}) \neq 1$. Then \overline{G} contains a Hall $\{r \cdot s\}$ -subgroup of order $r \cdot s$ with $s \in \{r_1, r_2, p\} - \{r\}$. However, Hall $\{r, s\}$ -subgroup must be cyclic contradicting Lemma 21.

Let P be a Sylow r-subgroup of \overline{G} where $r \in \pi(L) \setminus \{r_1, r_2, p\}$. If $O_r(\overline{G}) \neq 1$, then $A = Z(O_r(\overline{G}))$ is a nontrivial normal subgroup of \overline{G} . Let \overline{x} be an element of order p in \overline{G} . So we have that $|\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}|$ is a divisor of $\frac{(p+4)!}{4! \cdot p}$, $\frac{(p+4)!}{2 \cdot 3 \cdot p}$, $\frac{(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{2^2 \cdot 2}$ or $\frac{(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{3}$. By conving set

By coprime action lemma, $A = C_A(\overline{x}) \times [A, \overline{x}]$. In the following, we consider two cases " $r \leq \left[\frac{p+4}{2}\right]$ and $r \geq \left[\frac{p+4}{2}\right]$ ".

• $r > \left[\frac{p+4}{2}\right]$ and $r \neq r_1, r_2, p$. In this case, by Lemma 21, we have that the Sylow *r*-subgroup

of G is of order r. Hence there is a Hall $\{r, p\}$ -subgroup H. Since H must be cyclic, then there is an element of order $r \cdot p$, a contradiction by the proof of Lemma 21.

r ≤ [^{p+4}/₂] and r ≠ 2,3. If 2r + m = p + 4, then the index of C_A(x̄) in A is at most r². By Lemma 14, there exists a least divisor m of φ(p) such that p divides r^m - 1, and the subgroup [A, x̄] < x̄ > must be abelian. It follows that [A, x̄] = 1, and A = C_A(x̄). Let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G. If ȳ is a nontrivial element of Z(P) ∩ A, then the order of C_G(ȳ) is a multiple of p. By Lemma 8, y lies in the center of a Sylow p-subgroup of G. This contradicts Lemma 19. Thus O_r(Ḡ) = 1.

It follows that $O_r(\overline{G}) = 1$ for $r \in \{5, 7, ..., p\}$. Therefore $O_{\pi,\pi'}(G) = O_{\pi}(G)$. In particular, G is insoluble.

Lemma 23. There is a normal series $1 \le K \le H \le G$ such that $H/K \cong A_{p+3}$.

Proof: By Lemmas 20 and 21, $|G| = \frac{(p+4)!}{2}$.

By Lemma 22, we have that $H/\bar{K} \leq \bar{G} \leq$ $\operatorname{Aut}(H/K)$, where $M: H/K = S_1 \times S_2 \times \cdots \times S_k$ is a direct product of non-abelian simple groups S_1, S_2 , \cdots , S_k . Since G cannot contain a Hall $\{r_1, r_2, p\}$ subgroup, numbers r_1 , r_2 , and p divide the order of exactly one of these groups that is listed as Lemma 17, and so we assume that they divide S_1 . Since $S_1 \triangleleft \overline{G}$, we let G^* and M^* denote the factor groups G/S_1 and M/S_1 , respectively. If k > 1. Then a Sylow 2subgroup of G^* is a non-trivial and its center Z has a nontrivial intersection with M^* . Consider a nontrivial element y of $T = S_2 \times \cdots \times S_k$ such that its image in \overline{G} lies in Z. Since y centralizes S_1 , it lies in the center of a Sylow 2-subgroup of \overline{G} and centralizes an element of order p, a contradiction. Thus $M = S_1$, and G is almost simple. Therefore

$$H/K \le \overline{G} \le \operatorname{Aut}(H/K).$$

Obviously, $r_1, r_2, p \mid |H/K|$ (in fact, if $r_1, r_2, p \mid |G/H|$, then $r_1, r_2, p \mid |G/H| \mid |\operatorname{Out}(H/K)|$, a contradiction from Lemma 17; if $r_1, r_2, p \mid |K|$, then there is an element of order $r \cdot p$ with $r \in \{r_1, r_2\}$ contradicting Lemma 21). In the following, we always assume that $r \in \pi(G) = \pi(L)$. In the following, we consider S_1 which is listed as in Tables 1, 2 and 3.

Case 1. $H/K \cong A_n$ with $n \ge 6$.

Then $n = p, p + 1, \dots, p + k$ with $p + 2, p + 4, \dots$ composite and p + k + 1 prime. If $k \ge 5$, then $\frac{(p+k)!}{2} \mid (p+3)!$, a contradiction. Therefore H/K is isomorphic to $A_p, A_{p+1}, A_{p+2}, A_{p+3}$ or A_{p+4} .

Let x be an element of order p in H. Then $|x^H|$ is p'-number since $|H|_p = p$. Let $H/K \cong A_p$.

Since $|A_p| \mid (p+4)!$, then $3' \mid |K|$. We have $|x^H| = \frac{(p-1)!}{2}$. On the other hand, $|x^G| = \frac{(p+3)!}{6p}$. It follows that $|x^K| \mid \frac{(p+1)(p+2)(p+3)(p+4)}{4!}$ and so there is an element of $r \cdot p$ or of order $r' \cdot p$ with $5 \leq r' < r < p$ and r and r' divide one of the prime divisor of the numbers p+1, p+2, p+3 and p+4, which contradicts Lemma 21.

Similarly, we can rule out these cases " $H/K \cong A_{p+1}$, $H/K \cong A_{p+2}$ and $H/K \cong A_{p+3}$ ".

Therefore $H/K \cong A_{p+4}$.

Case 2. H/K is not isomorphic to a sporadic simple group according to Table 3.

Case 3. H/K is isomorphic to a simple group of Lie type.

- Let $q' = r'^{f'}$.
- 1. $S \cong B_n(q)$ with $n \ge 2$.

In this situation, by hypothesis, $\pi(G) = \{2, 3, 5, 7, \cdots, p\}$ and so

$$\frac{1}{(2,q-1)}q^{n^2}\prod_{i=1}^n(q^{2i}-1)\mid (p+4)!.$$

It follows that $p \mid q$ or $p \mid \prod_{i=1}^{n} (q^{2i} - 1)$. If $p \mid q$, then q is a power of p. Since $|G_p| = p$ by hypothesis, this is impossible as $n \geq 2$. Therefore $p \mid \prod_{i=1}^{n} (q^{2i} - 1)$. It follows that $p \mid q^{2t} - 1$ for some $1 \leq t \leq n$ as p is prime. If $p \mid q^2 - 1$, then $p \mid q^4 - 1$ and hence $p \mid q^{2n} - 1$. Since $|G_p| = p$, then $p \nmid q^{2n-2} - 1$. Then without loss of generality, we assume that $p = q^n - 1$ or $p = q^n + 1$ and hence, $2 \mid q$ by Lemma 14. By Fermat's little theorem, $2n \leq p - 1$ and so, $n^2 \leq 2n + 5$. It follows that n = 2, 3 and order considerations rule out this case.

2. $S \cong D_n(q)$ with $n \ge 4$.

Therefore we have that $\frac{1}{(4,q^{n}-1)}q^{n(n-1)}(q^{n}-1)\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}(q^{2i}-1) \mid (p+4)!$. Since the Sylow *p*-subgroup of *G* is of order *p*, $p \nmid q$ as otherwise, q = p and thus n = 1, a contradiction. It follows that $p \mid q^{n} - 1$ or $p \mid q^{2t} - 1$ for some integer $1 \leq t \leq n-1$. If $p \mid q^{2} - 1$, then by Remark 16, $n \mid p-1$ and so $n+5 \leq p+4$. By Lemma 9, $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \leq \frac{n+3}{2}$ and hence, n = 1, a contradiction. If $p \mid q^{2n-2} - 1$, then similarly, $2n-2 \leq p-1$ and so $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \leq \frac{2n+3}{2}$. But the equation has no solution in N since $n \geq 4$.

3.
$$S \cong^2 A_n(q)$$
 with $n \ge 2$.

In this situation, $\frac{1}{(n+1,q+1)}q^{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(q^{i+1}-(-1)^{i+1}) \mid (p+4)!$. Since the Sylow *p*-subgroup of *G* is of order *p* and $n \geq 2$, we obtain that $p \mid q^{t+1} - (-1)^{t+1}$ for some integer $1 \leq t \leq n$. Let *n* be odd. Then $p \mid q^{n+1} + 1$. If *q* is odd, then $2 \parallel q^{n+1} + 1$. If $p = \frac{q^{n+1}+1}{2}$, then by Lemma 15, we have a contradiction. Hence $2n + 2 \leq p - 1$. By Lemma 9, $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \leq \frac{2(n+1)+5}{2}$ and so n = 3. Order consideration and Lemma 13 imply that it is impossible. Hence *q* is even. Similarly we can rule out.

Let *n* be even. Then $p \mid q^{n+1}-1$. If *q* is odd, then by Lemma 12, $n+1 \mid p-1$ and hence, by Lemma 9, $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \leq \frac{n+6}{2}$. So n = 2, order consideration rules out. So *q* is even. Similarly we have $n+4 \leq p+2$ and $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \leq \frac{n+6}{2}$. Therefore n = 2. Order consideration and Lemma 13 rule out this case.

4. $S \cong E_8(q)$.

Let t = 14. If q is odd, then by Lemma 11, there is a prime r > p, a contradiction. Hence $p \mid q^{30} - 1$ and by Remark 16, $30 + 5 \le p + 4$. It follows from Lemmas 12 and 9, that $2^{14} \cdot (q - 1)_2^8 \le 2^{35-1}$ and so q = 3, 5, order consideration rules out. If q is even, then similarly we have that $q^{120} \mid 2^{35-1}$, a contradiction. Similarly, we can exclude that $H/K \cong E_6(q), E_7(q)$ and $F_4(q)$.

5. $S \cong G_2(q)$.

Then we have $q^6(q^6-1)(q^2-1) \mid (p+4)!$. It follows that $p \mid q^6-1$ or $p \mid q^2-1$. If $p \mid q^2-1$, then $p \mid q^6-1$. Hence we only consider $p \mid q^6-1$ and $6 \mid p-1$. If q is odd, then by Lemma 9, $6 \leq \frac{6+5}{2}$, a contradiction. Hence q is even. Similarly, we have $6 \leq \frac{6+4}{2}$, a contradiction.

6. $S \cong^2 E_6(q)$.

It is easy to see that $\frac{1}{(3,q+1)}q^{36}(q^{12}-1)(q^9+1)(q^8-1)(q^6-1)(q^5+1)(q^2-1) \mid p!$. It follows that $p \mid q^t - 1$ with t = 12, 8, or $p \mid q^k + 1$ with k = 9, 5.

Let t = 12. If q is odd, then 2 | q - 1 and 2 | q + 1. It follows from Lemma 9, that $|S|_2 = 2^7 \cdot (q-1)_2^4 \cdot (q+1)_2^2$ and $\exp(|S|, 2) \ge 15$. On the other hand, $12 \le p - 1$ by Remark 16. By Lemma 9, $15 \le \exp(|S|, 2) \le 16$ and so q = 3.

Order consideration rules out. If q is even, then by Lemma 9, $12 \le p-1$ and hence $36 \le 12+4$, a contradiction. Similarly we can rule out "t = 8".

Let t = 9. If q is odd, then similarly we have that $\exp(|S|, 2) \ge 15$. On the other hand, $12 \le p-1$. Thus we also have q = 3 and so p = 703. Order consideration rule out. If q is even, $36 \le 12 + 4$, a contradiction. Similarly, we can rule "t = 5".

7.
$$S \cong^2 B_2(q)$$
 with $q = 2^{2m+1}$

It follows that $q^2(q^2+1)(q-1) \mid (p+4)!$. Thus $p \mid q^2+1$ or $p \mid q-1$. Let $p \mid q^2+1$. We can assume that $p = q^2+1$ and hence, m = 0. By [9, pp. xv], $S \cong 5:4$ is soluble, a contradiction. It is easy to rule out when $p \mid q-1$. Similarly $S \ncong^2 F_4(2^{2m+1})$.

8.
$$S \cong^2 G_2(q), q = 3^{2n+1}$$
 with $n \ge 1$.

We see that $q^3(q^3+1)(q-1) \mid (p+4)!$. It follows that $p \mid q^3 + 1$ or $p \mid q - 1$. If $p \mid q^3 + 1$, then we can assume that $p = \frac{q^3+1}{4}$ and so, $6n+3 \mid \frac{q^2+9}{2}$. It follows that n = 1 and p = 73. We can rule out this case by order consideration. If $p \mid q - 1$ and $r \mid q$, then there exists a Frobenius group of $r \cdot p$ with a Kernel of order r and a complement of order p respectively, and so there is an element of order $r \cdot p$, which contradicts the fact that $\deg(p) = 1$.

9. $S \cong^{3} D_{4}(q)$.

We have that $q^{12}(q^8 + q^4 + 1)(q^6 - 1)(q^2 - 1) | (p + 4)!$. In this case, since G has a Sylow psubgroup of order p, then $p | q^8 + q^4 + 1$, or $q | q^6 - 1$. If $p | q^8 + q^4 + 1$, then by Remark 16, 12 | p - 1. If q is odd, then 12 | 6, a contradiction. If $p | q^6 - 1$, then 6 | p - 1 and similarly, we also can rule out.

Similarly we can rule out this case " $p \mid q^2 - 1$ ".

10. $S \cong A_n(q)$ with $n \ge 1$.

It is easy to get that $\frac{1}{(n+1,q-1)}q^{n(n+1)/2}\prod_{i=1}^{n}(q^{i+1}-1) \mid (p+4)!.$ It follows that $p \mid \prod_{i=1}^{n}(q^{i+1}-1)$ and so $p \mid q^{t+1}-1$ for some integer t=n,n-1.Let t=n-1. Then $p \mid q^n-1$ and so $n \leq p-1.$ If q is odd, then by Lemma 12 $|S|_2 = (q-1)_2^n \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n}(i+1)_2$ and hence $\exp(|S|,2) \geq \frac{3n}{2}$. By Lemma 9, we conclude that $\frac{3n}{2} \leq n+4$ and $n \leq 6$. Order consideration can rule out this case. If q is even, then similarly, $\exp(|S|,2) \geq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ and hence, $\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \leq n+4$. Thus we get that $n \leq 3$, order consideration rules out. Let t = n. Then similarly we can rule out as "t = n - 1".

This completes the proof of the lemma. \Box

Lemma 24.
$$G \cong A_{p+4}$$

Proof: By Lemma 23,

$$A_{p+4} \le \overline{G} \le \operatorname{Aut}(A_{p+4}) \cong S_{p+4}.$$

If $\overline{G} \cong S_{p+4}$, then there exists an element \overline{x} of \overline{G} with

$$\overline{x}^{\overline{G}} = \frac{(p+4)!}{4p}$$

which contradicts Lemma 19.

So $\overline{G} \cong A_{p+4}$. Then we define the normal series $1 \leq K \leq G$ into the chief ones. We prove that K = 1. By Lemma 22, $\pi(K) \subseteq \{2,3\}$.

If K is a 2-group. In this case, let $|\overline{x}| = p$. Then

$$\frac{(p+4)!}{6p} \mid |\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}|.$$

By Lemma 19,

$$|x^G| = |\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}| = \frac{(p+4)!}{6p}.$$

Then x centralizes K and hence $K \leq C_G(x)$. It follows that there is an element y of order $2 \cdot p$ having $|y^G| = \frac{(p+4)!}{2 \cdot p}$. It follows from Lemma 5 that, $|x^G| \mid |y^G|$, a contradiction.

If K is a 3-group. Then similarly as the case "K be a 2-group", we have that $|x^G| = |\overline{x}^{\overline{G}}|$ is maximal in N(G) and $C_G(x)$ is abelian. So by Lemma 1.12 of [11], $K \leq Z(G) = 1$.

Therefore K = 1 and $G \cong A_{p+4}$. This completes the proof of the Lemma

The main theorem is proved.

4 Some applications

Y. Chen and G. Chen in [8] proved that the group A_{10} can be characterized by its order and two special conjugacy classes sizes. Then obviously, we also have the following result.

Corollary 25. Let G be a finite group with trivial center. Assume that $N(G) = N(A_{p+4})$ and $|G| = |A_{p+4}|$. Then $G \cong A_{p+4}$.

We know that the alternating groups A_n with n = 10, 16, 22, 26, are characterized by N(G). Then by [6, 7, 14, 20, 30] and our main theorem, we have the following.

Shi gave the following conjecture.

Conjecture [25] Let G be a group and H a finite simple group. Then $G \cong H$ if and only if (a) $\omega(G) = \omega(H)$ and (b) |G| = |H|.

Then we have the following corollary.

Corollary 27. Let G is a group and $p \ge 5$ is a prime. Then $G \cong A_n$ where n = p, p + 1, p + 2, p + 3, p + 4if and only if $\omega(G) = \omega(A_n)$ and $|G| = |A_n|$.

Acknowledgements: The paper is supported by the Department of Education of Sichuan Province (Grant No: 12ZB291) and by the Opening Project of Sichuan Province University Key Laboratory of Bridge Nondestruction Detecting and Engineering Computing (Grant No: 2013QYJ02) and by the Scientific Research Project of Sichuan University of Science and Engineering (Grant No: 2014RC02). The authors are very grateful for the helpful suggestions of the referee.

References:

- N. Ahanjideh, On Thompson's conjecture for some finite simple groups, J. Algebra, 344, 2011, pp.205-228.
- [2] N. Ahanjideh, On the Thompson's conjecture on conjugacy classes sizes, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 23(1), 2013, pp.37-68.
- [3] N. Ahanjideh, Thompson's conjecture for some simple groups with connected prime graph, *Alg. Log.*, 51(6), 2013, pp.451-478.
- [4] N. Ahanjideh and M. Ahanjideh, On the validity of Thompson's conjecture for finite simple groups, *Comm. Algebra*, 41(11), 2013, pp.4116-4145.
- [5] S. H. Alavi and A. Daneshkhah, A new characterization of alternating and symmetric groups, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, 17(1-2), 2005, pp.245-258.
- [6] G. Chen, On Thompson's conjecture, J. Algebra, 185(1), 1996, pp.184-193.
- [7] G. Chen, Further reflections on Thompson's conjecture, J. Algebra, 218(1), 1999, pp.276-285.
- [8] Y. Chen and G. Chen, Recognition of A₁₀ and L₄(4) by two special conjugacy class sizes, *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, (29), 2012, pp.387-394.

- [9] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups*, Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985. Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups, With computational assistance from J. G. Thackray.
- [10] P. Crescenzo, A Diophantine equation which arises in the theory of finite groups, *Advances in Math.*, 17(1), 1975, pp.25-29.
- [11] I. B. Gorshkov, Thompson's conjecture for simple groups with a connected prime graph, *Alg. Log.*, 51(2), 2012, pp.111-127.
- [12] M. A. Grechkoseeva, Recognition of finite simple linear groups over fields of characteristic 2 by the spectrum, *Algebra Logika*, 47(4), 2008, pp.405-427, 524.
- [13] G. James and A. Kerber, *The representation theory of the symmetric group*, volume 16 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1981. With a foreword by P. M. Cohn, With an introduction by Gilbert de B. Robinson.
- [14] A. Khosravi and B. Khosravi, A new characterization of some alternating and symmetric groups. II, *Houston J. Math.*, 30(4), 2004, pp.953-967.
- [15] P. Kleidman and M. Liebeck, *The subgroup* structure of the finite classical groups, volume 129 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [16] A. S. Kondratév, On prime graph components of finite simple groups, *Mat. Sb.*, 180(6), 1999, pp.787-797.
- [17] A. S. Kondratiev and V. D. Mazurov, Recognition of alternating groups of prime degree from the orders of their elements, *Siberian Math. J.*, 41(2), 2000, pp.294-302.
- [18] S. Liu, OD-characterization of some alternating groups. *Manuscript*.
- [19] S. Liu, A characterization of some groups by their orders and degree patterns, *WSEAS Trans. Math.*, 13, 2014, pp.586-594.
- [20] S. Liu and Y. Huang, On Thompson's conjecture for alternating group A₂₆, *Ita. J. Pure. Appl. Math.*, (32), 2014, pp.525-532.
- [21] Q. Kong, On an extension of Camina's theorem on conjugacy class sizes, WSEAS Trans. Math., 11, 2012, pp.898–907
- [22] Q. Kong, and P. Kang, Finite groups with three or four conjugacy class sizes of primary and biprimary elements, WSEAS Trans. Math., 13, 2014, pp.567-576.

- [23] S. Liu and Y. Yang, On Thompson's conjecture for alternating groups A_{p+3} . Sci. World J., 2014, 2014, Article ID 752598.
- [24] V. D. Mazurov and E. I. Khukhro, editors, *The Kourovka notebook*, Russian Academy of Sciences Siberian Division, Institute of Mathematics, Novosibirsk, seventeenth edition, 2010. Unsolved problems in group theory, Including archive of solved problems.
- [25] W. J. Shi, A new characterization of the sporadic simple groups, In *Group theory (Singapore,* 1987), de Gruyter, Berlin, 1989, pages 531–540.
- [26] A. V. Vasilév, On Thompson's conjecture, Sib. Elektron. Mat. Izv., 6, 2009, pp.457-464.
- [27] J. S. Williams, Prime graph components of finite groups, *J. Algebra*, 69(2), 1981, pp.487-513.
- [28] M. Xu, Thompson's conjecture for alternating group of degree 22, *Front. Math. China*, 8(5), 2013, pp.1227–123.
- [29] M. C. Xu and W. J. Shi, Thompson's conjecture for Lie type groups $E_7(q)$. Sci. China Math., 57(3), 2014, pp.499-514.
- [30] Y. Yang and S. Liu, A characterization of alternating group A_{27} by conjugate class sizes, *Manuscript*.
- [31] K. Zsigmondy, Zur Theorie der Potenzreste, Monatsh. Math. Phys., 3(1), 1892, pp.265-284.