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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider a general class of delayed nonautonomous logistic Lotka-Volterra type multispecies cooperative system with harvesting terms on time scales. The model invovles the intraspecific cooperative terms defined by functions which depend on population densities. An existence theorem of at least $2^{n}$ periodic solutions is established by using the coincidence degree theory. An example is given to illustrate the effectiveness of our result.
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## 1 Introduction

In the past decades, the applications of functional differential equations in ecosystem have developed rapidly. Various mathematical models with delays have been proposed in study of population dynamics (for example, see[1-15]). Owing to its theoretical and practical significance, Lotka-Volterra system have been studied extensively [16-33].

It is well known that the focus in theoretical models of population and community dynamics must be not only on how populations dependent on their own population densities or the population densities of other organisms, but also on how population change in response to the physical environment. To consider periodic environment factors (e.g, seasonal effects of weather, food supplies, mating habits, etc), it is reasonable to study Lotka-Volterra systems with periodic coefficients.

A very basic and important problem in the study of a population growth model with a periodic environment is the global existence and stability of a positive periodic solution, which plays a similar role as a globally stable equilibrium does in an autonomous model. Recently, there have been many nice works on the existence problem of positive periodic solutions of Lotka-Volterra systems with periodic coefficients [9-14, 16-23]. Since the exploitation of biological resources and the harvest of population species are commonly practiced in fishery, forestry and wildlife management, the study of population dynamics with harvesting is an important subject in mathematical bioeconomics, which is related to the optimal management
of renewable resources (see [34-36]). This motivates us to consider the following nonautonomous delay $n$ species cooperative system with harvesting terms:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_{i}(t) & =x_{i}(t) \\
& \left(a_{i}(t)-\frac{f_{i}\left(t, x_{i}\left(t-\tau_{i i}(t)\right)\right)}{K_{i}} x_{i}\left(t-\tau_{i i}(t)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{i j}(t) x_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}(t)\right)\right)-h_{i}(t) \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{i}(t)(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ stands for the $i$ th species population density. $a_{i}(t)>0, f_{i}\left(t, x_{i}\right)>0, c_{i j}(t)>$ 0 and $h_{i}(t)>0$ are the natural reproduction rate, the intraspecific competition, the interspecific cooperation and the harvesting term, respectively, $K_{i}>0$ is the carrying capacity which is a constant, $\tau_{i j}(t) \geq 0$ is the time-lag in the process of cooperation between $i$ th and $j$ th species, $a_{i}(t), c_{i j}(t), \tau_{i j}(t)$ and $h_{i}(t)(i, j=$ $1,2, \ldots, n)$ are all continuous $\omega$-periodic functions and $f_{i}(t, x) \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and $f_{i}(t, x)=f_{i}(t+\omega, x)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

If the estimates of the population size and all coefficients in (1) are made at equally spaced time intervals, then we can incorporate this aspect in (1) and obtain the following discrete analogue of system (1):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{x_{i}(k+1)}{x_{i}(k)}= \\
& \exp \left\{a_{i}(k)-\frac{f_{i}\left(k, x_{i}\left(k-\tau_{i i}(k)\right)\right)}{K_{i}} x_{i}\left(k-\tau_{i i}(k)\right)\right.  \tag{2}\\
& \left.+\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{i j}(k) x_{j}\left(k-\tau_{i j}(k)\right)-\frac{h_{i}(k)}{x_{i}(k)}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where for $i, j=1,2, \ldots, n, a_{i}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}, c_{i j}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{+}, \tau_{i j}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{+}, h_{i}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}, f_{i}: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{+}$are all $\omega$-periodic, that is, $a_{i}(k+\omega)=a_{i}(k)$, $c_{i j}(k+\omega)=c_{i j}(k), \tau_{i j}(k+\omega)=\tau_{i j}(k), h_{i}(k+\omega)=$ $h_{i}(k), f_{i}(k+\omega, x)=f_{i}(k, x)$, for any $\mathbb{Z}$ (the set of all integers), $\omega$ is a fixed positive integer. However, dynamics in each equally spaced time interval may vary continuously. So, it may be more realistic to assume that the population dynamics involves the hybrid discrete-continuous processes. For example, Gamarra and Solé pointed out that such hybrid processes appear in the population dynamics of certain species that feature nonoverlapping generations: the change in population from one generation to the next is discrete and so is modeled by a difference equation, while within-generation dynamics vary continuously (due to mortality rates, resource consumption, predation, interaction, etc.) and thus are described by a differential equation [37]. The theory of calculus on time scales (see [38-39] and references cited therein) was initiated by Hilger in his Ph.D. thesis in 1988 [40] in order to unify continuous and discrete analysis, and it has become an effective approach to the study of mathematical models involving the hybrid discretecontinuous processes. This motivates us to unify systems (1) and (2) to the system on time scales $\mathbb{T}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{i}^{\Delta}(t) & =a_{i}(t)-\frac{f_{i}\left(t, e^{u_{i}\left(t-\tau_{i i}(t)\right)}\right)}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}\left(t-\tau_{i i}(t)\right)} \\
& +\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{i j}(t) e^{u_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}(t)\right)}-h_{i}(t) e^{-u_{i}(t)}, \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i}(t)>0, c_{i j}(t)>0, \tau_{i j}(t) \geq 0$ and $h_{i}(t)>0(i, j=1,2, \ldots, n)$ are all rd-continuous $\omega$-periodic functions and $f_{i}(t, x) \in C_{r d}\left(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$ and $f_{i}(t, u)=f_{i}(t+\omega, u)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

In (3), let $x_{i}(t)=e^{u_{i}(t)}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. If $\mathbb{T}=$ $\mathbb{R}$ (the set of all real numbers), then (3) reduces to (1). If $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{Z}$ (the set of all integers), then (3) reduces to (2).

To the best of our knowledge, no work has been done for the existence of periodic solutions of system (3) yet. Our main purpose of this paper is to establish the existence of at least $2^{n}$ positive periodic solutions for system (3) by using Mawhin's continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory [41]. For the work concerning the multiple existence of periodic solutions of periodic population models which was done using coincidence degree theory, we refer to [42-52].

The remain of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some notations and basic theorem or lemmas on time scales are given. In Section 3, the main results of the existence of multiple periodic so-
lutions of system (3) is obtained. In Section 4, one example is given to illustrate the effectiveness of our results. Finally, some brief conclusions are presented in Section 5.

## 2 Preliminaries on Time Scales

In this section, we briefly recall some basic definitions and lemmas on time scales which are used in what follows. For more details, one can see [38-40].

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a nonempty closed subset (time scale) of $\mathbb{R}$. The forward and backward jump operators $\sigma, \rho$ : $\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ and the graininess $\mu: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$are defined, respectively, by $\sigma(t)=\inf \{s \in \mathbb{T}: s>t\}, \rho(t)=$ $\sup \{s \in \mathbb{T}: s<t\}$ and $\mu(t)=\sigma(t)-t$.

A point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is called left-dense if $t>\inf \mathbb{T}$ and $\rho(t)=t$, left-scattered if $\rho(t)<t$, right-dense if $t<\sup \mathbb{T}$ and $\sigma(t)=t$, and right-scattered if $\sigma(t)>$ $t$. If $\mathbb{T}$ has a left-scattered maximum $m$, then $\mathbb{T}^{k}=$ $\mathbb{T} \backslash\{m\}$; otherwise $\mathbb{T}^{k}=\mathbb{T}$. If $\mathbb{T}$ has a right-scattered minimum $m$, then $\mathbb{T}_{k}=\mathbb{T} \backslash\{m\}$; otherwise $\mathbb{T}^{k}=\mathbb{T}$.

Let $\omega>0$. Throughout this paper, the time scale T is assumed to be $\omega$-periodic, that is, $t \in \mathbb{T}$ implies $t+\omega \in \mathbb{T}$. In particular, the time scale $\mathbb{T}$ under consideration is unbounded above and below.

Definition 1. A function $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called regulated provided its right-side limits exist (finite) at all right-side points in $\mathbb{T}$ and its left-side limits exist (finite) at all left-side points in $\mathbb{T}$.

Definition 2. A function $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called $r d$ continuous provided it is continuous at right-dense point in $\mathbb{T}$ and its left-side limits exist (finite) at leftdense points in $\mathbb{T}$. The set of $r d$-continuous functions $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be denoted by $C_{r d}=C_{r d}(\mathbb{T})=$ $C_{r d}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 3. Assume $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{T}^{k}$. Then we define $f^{\Delta}(t)$ to be to be the number (if it exists) with the property that given any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $a$ neighborhood $U$ of $t$ (i.e., $U=(t-\delta, t+\delta) \cap \mathbb{T}$ for some $\delta>0$ ) such that

$$
\left|[f(\sigma(t))-f(s)]-f^{\Delta}(t)[\sigma(t)-s]\right|<\varepsilon|\sigma(t)-s|
$$

for all $s \in U$. we call $f^{\Delta}(t)$ the delta (or Hilger) derivative of $f$ at $t$. The set of functions $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ that are differentiable and whose derivative is $r d$-continuous is denoted by $C_{r d}^{1}=C_{r d}^{1}(\mathbb{T})=$ $C_{r d}^{1}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.

If $f$ is continuous, then $f$ is $r d$-continuous. If $f$ is $r d$-continuous, the $f$ is regulated. If $f$ is delta differentiable at $t$, then $f$ is continuous at $t$.

Lemma 4. Let $f$ be regulated, then there exists a function $F$ which is delta differentiable with region of differentiation $D$ such that

$$
F^{\Delta}(t)=f(t) \text { for all } t \in D .
$$

Definition 5. Assume $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a regulated function. Any function $F$ as in Lemma 2.1 is called a $\Delta$ antiderivative of $f$. We define the indefinite integral of a regulated function $f$ by

$$
\int f(t) \Delta t=F(t)+C
$$

Where $C$ is an arbitrary constant and $F$ is a $\Delta$ antiderivative of $f$. We define the Cauchy integral by

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(s) \Delta s=F(b)-F(a) \text { for all } a, b \in \mathbb{T}
$$

A function $F: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called an antiderivative of $f: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ provided

$$
F^{\Delta}(t)=f(t) \text { for all } t \in \mathbb{T}^{k} .
$$

Lemma 6. If $a, b \in \mathbb{T}, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f, g \in C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, then
(i) $\int_{a}^{b}[\alpha f(t)+\beta g(t)] \Delta t=\alpha \int_{a}^{b} f(t) \Delta t+$ $\beta \int_{a}^{b} g(t) \Delta t ;$
(ii) if $f(t) \geq 0$ for all $a \leq t<b$, then $\int_{a}^{b} f(t) \Delta t \geq$ 0 ;
(iii) if $|f(t)| \leq g(t)$ on $[a, b):=\{t \in \mathbb{T}: a \leq t<b\}$, then $\left|\int_{a}^{b} f(t) \Delta t\right| \leq \int_{a}^{b} g(t) \Delta t$.
Lemma 7. [53] Assume that $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is a function on $J$ such that
(i) $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is uniformly bounded on $J$,
(ii) $\left\{f_{n}^{\Delta}\right\}_{n \in N}$ is uniformly bounded on $J$.

Then there is a subsequence of $\left\{f_{n}\right\}_{n \in N}$ which converges uniformly on $J$.

## 3 Existence of at least $2^{n}$ periodic solutions

In this section, by using Mawhin's continuation theorem, we shall show the existence of multiple periodic solutions of (3). To do so, we need to make some preparations.

Let $X$ and $Z$ be real normed vector spaces. Let $L: \operatorname{Dom} L \subset X \rightarrow Z$ be a linear mapping and $N: X \times[0,1] \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous mapping.

The mapping $L$ will be called a Fredholm mapping of index zero if $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} L=$ codim $\operatorname{Im} L<\infty$ and $\operatorname{Im} L$ is closed in $Z$. If $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero, then there exists continuous projectors $P: X \rightarrow X$ and $Q: Z \rightarrow Z$ such that $\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{Ker} L$ and Ker $Q=\operatorname{Im} L=\operatorname{Im}(I-Q)$, and $X=\operatorname{Ker} L \bigoplus \operatorname{Ker} P, Z=\operatorname{Im} L \bigoplus \operatorname{Im} Q$. It follows that $\left.L\right|_{\text {Dom } L \cap \text { Ker } P}:(I-P) X \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} L$ is invertible and its inverse is denoted by $K_{P}$. If $\Omega$ is a bounded open subset of $X$, the mapping $N$ is called $L$-compact on $\bar{\Omega} \times[0,1]$, if $Q N(\bar{\Omega} \times[0,1])$ is bounded and $K_{P}(I-Q) N: \bar{\Omega} \times[0,1] \rightarrow X$ is compact. Because $\operatorname{Im} Q$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ker} L$, there exists an isomorphism $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$.

The Mawhin's continuous theorem [41, p.40] is given as follows:

Lemma 8. [41] Let $L$ be a Fredholm mapping of index zero and let $N$ be L-compact on $\bar{\Omega} \times[0,1]$. Assume
(a) for each $\lambda \in(0,1)$, every solution $x$ of $L x=$ $\lambda N(x, \lambda)$ is such that $x \notin \partial \Omega \cap \operatorname{Dom} L$;
(b) $Q N(x, 0) x \neq 0$ for each $x \in \partial \Omega \cap$ Ker $L$;
(c) $\operatorname{deg}(J Q N(x, 0), \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0) \neq 0$.

Then $L x=N(x, 1)$ has at least one solution in $\bar{\Omega} \cap$ Dom $L$.

For the sake of convenience, we denote by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\kappa=\min \{[0,+\infty) \cap \mathbb{T}\}, \quad I_{\omega}=[\kappa, \kappa+\omega] \cap \mathbb{T}, \\
g^{M}=\sup _{t \in I_{\omega}} g(t), g^{l}=\inf _{t \in I_{\omega}} g(t), \\
\bar{g}=\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{I_{\omega}} g(s) \Delta s=\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} g(s) \Delta s,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $g \in C_{r d}(\mathbb{T})$ is an $\omega$-periodic real function, that is, $g(t+\omega)=g(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
l_{i}^{ \pm}=\frac{a_{i}^{l} K_{i} \pm \sqrt{\left(a_{i}^{l} K_{i}\right)^{2}-4 b_{i}^{M} h_{i}^{M} K_{i}}}{2 b_{i}^{M}} \\
L_{i}^{ \pm} \\
= \pm \frac{\sqrt{\left(K_{i}\left(a_{i}^{M}+\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{i j}^{M} K_{j}\right)\right)^{2}-4 b_{i}^{l} h_{i}^{l} K_{i}}}{2 b_{i}^{l}} \\
+\frac{K_{i}\left(a_{i}^{M}+\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{i j}^{M} K_{j}\right)}{2 b_{i}^{l}}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n .
\end{gathered}
$$

Throughout this paper, we need the following assumptions:
$\left(H_{1}\right) f_{i}(t, x) \in C_{r d}\left(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$is bounded and

$$
\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \min _{t \in I_{\omega}} f_{i}(t, x)=b_{i}^{l}
$$

and

$$
\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \max _{t \in I_{\omega}} f_{i}(t, x)=b_{i}^{M}
$$

for all $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
$\left(H_{2}\right) \quad a_{i}^{l}>2 \sqrt{\frac{b_{i}^{M} h_{i}^{M}}{K_{i}}}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
Lemma 9. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold, then we have the following inequalities:

$$
L_{i}^{-}<l_{i}^{-}<l_{i}^{+}<L_{i}^{+}, i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Proof: In fact,

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{i}^{-}<\frac{2 h_{i}^{M}}{a_{i}^{l} K_{i} \pm \sqrt{\left(a_{i}^{l} K_{i}\right)^{2}-4 b_{i}^{M} h_{i}^{M} K_{i}}}=l_{i}^{-}<l_{i}^{+} \\
L_{i}^{+}>\frac{a_{i}^{l} K_{i}+\sqrt{\left(a_{i}^{l} K_{i}\right)^{2}-4 b_{i}^{M} h_{i}^{M} K_{i}}}{2 b_{i}^{M}}=l_{i}^{+} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem 10. Assume that $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold. Then system (3) has at least $2^{n}$ positive $\omega$-periodic solutions.

Proof: In order to apply Lemma 8 to (3), we first define

$$
\begin{aligned}
X=Z= & \left\{u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)^{T} \in C_{r d}\left(\mathbb{T}, R^{n}\right):\right. \\
& \left.u_{i}(t+\omega)=u_{i}(t)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\|u\|=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max _{t \in I_{\omega}}\left|u_{i}(t)\right|, \quad u \in X \text { or } Z
$$

Equipped with the above norm $\|\cdot\|, X$ and $Z$ are Banach spaces. Let for all $u \in X$

$$
N(u, \lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
N_{1}(u, \lambda) \\
\vdots \\
N_{i}(u, \lambda) \\
\vdots \\
N_{n}(u, \lambda)
\end{array}\right)_{n \times 1}, \quad L u=\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{1}^{\Delta} \\
\vdots \\
u_{i}^{\Delta} \\
\vdots \\
u_{n}^{\Delta}
\end{array}\right)_{n \times 1}
$$

$$
P u=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} u_{1}(t) \Delta t \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} u_{i}(t) \Delta t \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} u_{n}(t) \Delta t
\end{array}\right)_{n \times 1}, u \in X
$$

$$
Q z=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} z_{1}(t) \Delta t \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} z_{i}(t) \Delta t \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} z_{n}(t) \Delta t
\end{array}\right)_{n \times 1}, z \in Z
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{i}(u, \lambda)= & a_{i}(t)-\frac{f_{i}\left(t, e^{u_{i}\left(t-\tau_{i i}(t)\right)}\right)}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}\left(t-\tau_{i i}(t)\right)} \\
& +\lambda \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{i j}(t) e^{u_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}(t)\right)} \\
& -h_{i}(t) e^{-u_{i}(t)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it follows that $\operatorname{Ker} L=\left\{u \in X:\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.\ldots, u_{n}\right)^{T}=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \forall t \in \mathbb{T}\right\}=$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \operatorname{Im} L=\left\{z \in Z: \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} z(t) \Delta t=0\right\}$ is closed in $Z$, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} L=n=\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} L$, and $P, Q$ are continuous projectors such that
$\operatorname{Im} P=\operatorname{Ker} L, \operatorname{Ker} Q=\operatorname{Im} L=\operatorname{Im}(I-Q)$.

Hence, $L$ is a Fredholm mapping of index zero. Furthermore, the generalized inverse (to $L$ ) $K_{P}$ : $\operatorname{Im} L \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} P \bigcap \operatorname{Dom} L$ is given by

$$
K_{P}(z)=\int_{\kappa}^{t} z(s) \Delta s-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\theta} z(s) \Delta s \Delta \theta
$$

Then

$$
Q N(u, \lambda)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} F_{1}(s, \lambda) \Delta s \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} F_{i}(s, \lambda) \Delta s \\
\vdots \\
\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} F_{n}(s, \lambda) \Delta s
\end{array}\right)_{n \times 1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{p}(I-Q) N(u, \lambda) \\
=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\int_{\kappa}^{t} F_{1}(s, \lambda) \Delta s-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\theta} F_{1}(s, \lambda) \Delta s \Delta \theta \\
+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\omega}\right) \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} F_{1}(s, \lambda) \Delta s \\
\vdots \\
\int_{\kappa}^{t} F_{i}(s, \lambda) \Delta s-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\theta} F_{i}(s, \lambda) \Delta s \Delta \theta \\
+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\omega}\right) \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} F_{i}(s, \lambda) \Delta s \\
\vdots \\
\int_{\kappa}^{t} F_{n}(s, \lambda) \Delta s-\frac{1}{\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} \int_{\kappa}^{\theta} F_{n}(s, \lambda) \Delta s \Delta \theta \\
+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{t}{\omega}\right) \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} F_{n}(s, \lambda) \Delta s
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{i}(s, \lambda) \\
= & a_{i}(s)-\frac{f_{i}\left(s, u_{i}\left(s, e^{u_{i}\left(s-\tau_{i i}(s)\right)}\right)\right)}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}\left(s-\tau_{i i}(s)\right)} \\
& +\lambda \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{i j}(s) e^{u_{j}\left(s-\tau_{i j}(s)\right)}-h_{i}(s) e^{-u_{i}(s)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $Q N$ and $K_{P}(I-Q) N$ are continuous. By Lemma 7, it is not difficult to show that $K_{P}(I-Q) N(\bar{\Omega})$ is compact for any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$. Moreover, $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ is clearly bounded. Thus, $N$ is $L$-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$ with any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X$.

In order to use Lemma 8, we have to find at least $2^{n}$ appropriate open bounded subsets in $X$. Considering the operator equation $L u=\lambda N(u, \lambda), \lambda \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{i}^{\Delta}(t)= & \lambda\left(a_{i}(t)-\frac{f_{i}\left(t, e^{u_{i}\left(t-\tau_{i i}(t)\right)}\right)}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}\left(t-\tau_{i i}(t)\right)}\right. \\
& +\lambda \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{i j}(t) e^{u_{j}\left(t-\tau_{i j}(t)\right)} \\
& \left.-h_{i}(t) e^{-u_{i}(t)}\right) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i=1, \ldots, n$. Assume that $u \in X$ is an $\omega$ periodic solution of system (4) for some $\lambda \in(0,1)$. Since $u(t) \in X$, there exist $\xi_{i}, \eta_{i} \in I_{\omega}$, such that

$$
u_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\max _{t \in I_{\omega}} u_{i}(t), \quad u_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)=\min _{t \in I_{\omega}} u_{i}(t)
$$

According to the definition of $\Delta$-differential calculus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}^{\Delta}\left(\xi_{i}\right) \leq 0, \quad u_{i}^{\Delta}\left(\eta_{i}\right) \geq 0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4) and (5), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{i}^{l}< & a_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)+\lambda \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{i j}\left(\xi_{i}\right) e^{u_{j}\left(\xi_{i}-\tau_{i j}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)} \\
\leq & \frac{f_{i}\left(\xi_{i}, e^{u_{i}\left(\xi_{i}-\tau_{i i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)}\right)}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}\left(\xi_{i}-\tau_{i i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)} \\
& +h_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right) e^{-u_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)} \\
\leq & \frac{b_{i}^{M}}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)}+h_{i}^{M} e^{-u_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

namely

$$
b_{i}^{M} e^{2 u_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)}-a_{i}^{l} K_{i} e^{u_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)}+h_{i}^{M} K_{i}>0
$$

which imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)>\ln l_{i}^{+} \quad \text { or } \quad u_{i}\left(\xi_{i}\right)<\ln l_{i}^{-} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{b_{i}^{l}}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)}+h_{i}^{l} e^{-u_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)} \\
< & \frac{f_{i}\left(\eta_{i}, e^{u_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-\tau_{i i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right)}\right)}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}\left(\eta_{i}-\tau_{i i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right)} \\
& +h_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right) e^{-u_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)} \\
\leq & a_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)+\lambda \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{i j}\left(\eta_{i}\right) e^{u_{j}\left(\eta_{i}-\tau_{i j}\left(\eta_{i}\right)\right)} \\
\leq & a_{i}^{M}+\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{i j}^{M} K_{j},
\end{aligned}
$$

namely
$\frac{b_{i}^{l}}{K_{i}} e^{2 u_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)}-\left(a_{i}^{M}+\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{i j}^{M} K_{j}\right) e^{u_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)}+h_{i}^{l}>0$,
which imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln L_{i}^{-}<u_{i}\left(\eta_{i}\right)<\ln L_{i}^{+}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ and Lemma 9, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln L_{i}^{-}<\ln l_{i}^{-}<\ln l_{i}^{+}<\ln L_{i}^{+}, i=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (6), (7) and (8), we obtain, for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$,
$\ln L_{i}^{-}<u_{i}(t)<\ln l_{i}^{-}$or $\ln l_{i}^{+}<u_{i}(t)<\ln L_{i}^{+}$.
For convenience, we denote

$$
G_{i}=\left(\ln L_{i}^{-}, \ln l_{i}^{-}\right), H_{i}=\left(\ln l_{i}^{+}, \ln L_{i}^{+}\right)
$$

Clearly, $l_{i}^{ \pm}$and $L_{i}^{+}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$ are independent of $\lambda$. For each $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, we choose an interval between two intervals $G_{i}$ and $H_{i}$ and denote it as $\Delta_{i}$, then define the set

$$
\left\{u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)^{T} \in X: u_{i}(t) \in \Delta_{i}, t \in R\right\}
$$

Obviously, the number of the above sets is $2^{n}$. We denote these sets as $\Omega_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n} . \Omega_{k}, k=$ $1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}$ are bounded open subsets of $X, \Omega_{i} \cap \Omega_{j}=$ $\phi, i \neq j$. Thus $\Omega_{k}\left(k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}\right)$ satisfies the requirement ( $a$ ) in Lemma 8.

Now we show that (b) of Lemma 8 holds, i.e., we prove when $u \in \partial \Omega_{k} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L=\partial \Omega_{k} \cap$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}, Q N(u, 0) \neq(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}, k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}$. If it is not true, then when $u \in \partial \Omega_{k} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L=$ $\partial \Omega_{k} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}, k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}$, constant vector $u=$ $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)^{T}$ with $u \in \partial \Omega_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}$, satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} a_{i}(t) \Delta t-\int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} \frac{f_{i}\left(t, e^{u_{i}}\right)}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}} \Delta t \\
& -\int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega} h_{i}(t) e^{-u_{i}} \Delta t \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

By (10), we have

$$
a_{i}^{M}+\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{n} c_{i j}^{M} K_{j}>a_{i}^{M} \geq \frac{b_{i}^{l}}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}}+h_{i}^{l} e^{-u_{i}}
$$

and

$$
a_{i}^{l}<\frac{b_{i}^{M}}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}}+h_{i}^{M} e^{-u_{i}}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n .
$$

Similarly, we get
$\ln L_{i}^{-}<u_{i}<\ln l_{i}^{-} \quad$ or $\quad \ln l_{i}^{+}<u_{i}\left(t_{i}\right)<\ln L_{i}^{+}$.
(11) gives that $u$ belongs to one of $\Omega_{k} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}, k=$ $1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}$. This contradicts the fact that $u \in \partial \Omega_{k} \cap$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}, k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}$. Thus condition (b) in Lemma 8 is satisfied.

Finally, in order to show that $(c)$ in Lemma 8 holds, we only prove that for $u \in \partial \Omega_{k} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L=$ $\partial \Omega_{k} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}, k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}$, then it holds that $\operatorname{deg}\left\{J Q N(u, 0), \Omega_{k} \cap\right.$ Ker $\left.L,(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}\right\} \neq 0$. To this end, we define the mapping $\phi: \operatorname{Dom} L \times[0,1] \rightarrow$ $X$ by

$$
\phi(u, \mu)=\mu Q N(u, 0)+(1-\mu) G(u)
$$

here $\mu \in[0,1]$ is a parameter and $G(u)$ is defined by

$$
G(u)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega}\left(a_{1}(s)-\frac{b_{1}^{M}}{K_{1}} e^{u_{1}(s)}\right. \\
\left.-h_{1}(s) e^{u_{1}(s)}\right) \Delta s \\
\vdots \\
\int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega}\left(a_{n}(s)-\frac{b_{n}^{M}}{K_{n}} e^{u_{n}(s)}\right. \\
\left.-h_{n}(s) e^{u_{n}(s)}\right) \Delta s
\end{array}\right)_{n \times 1}
$$

We show that for $u \in \partial \Omega_{k} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L=\partial \Omega_{k} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}, k=$ $1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}, \mu \in[0,1]$, then it holds that $\phi(u, \mu) \neq$ $(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}$. Otherwise, parameter $\mu$ and constant vector $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfy $\phi(u, \mu)=$ $(0,0 \ldots, 0)^{T}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega}\left[\mu\left(a_{i}(s)-\frac{f_{i}\left(s, e^{u_{i}}\right)}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}}-h_{i}(s) e^{-u_{i}}\right)\right. \\
& +(1-\mu)\left(a_{i}(s)-\frac{b_{i}^{M}}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}}\right. \\
& \left.\left.-h_{i}(s) e^{-u_{i}}\right)\right] \Delta s \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. From (12), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega\left[a_{i}^{l}-\frac{b_{i}^{M}}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}}-h_{i}^{M} e^{-u_{i}}\right] \\
\leq & \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega}\left[a_{i}(s)-\frac{b_{i}^{M}}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}}-h_{i}(s) e^{-u_{i}}\right] \Delta s \\
= & -\mu \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega}\left[b_{i}^{M}-\frac{\left.f_{i}\left(s, e^{u_{i}}\right)\right)}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}}\right] \Delta s<0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega}\left[a_{i}(s)-\frac{b_{i}^{M}}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}}-h_{i}(s) e^{-u_{i}}\right. \\
& \left.+\mu\left(\frac{b_{i}^{M}}{K_{i}}-\frac{f_{i}\left(s, e^{u_{i}}\right)}{K_{i}}\right) e^{u_{i}}\right] \Delta s \\
< & \int_{\kappa}^{\kappa+\omega}\left[a_{i}(s)-\frac{f_{i}\left(s, e^{u_{i}}\right)}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}}-h_{i}(s) e^{-u_{i}}\right] \Delta s \\
< & \omega\left[a_{i}^{M}-\frac{b_{i}^{l}}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}}-h_{i}^{l} e^{-u_{i}}\right] \\
< & \omega\left[a_{i}^{M}+\sum_{j=1, i \neq j}^{n} c_{i j}^{M} K_{j}-\frac{b_{i}^{l}}{K_{i}} e^{u_{i}}-h_{i}^{l} e^{-u_{i}}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

which imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln L_{i}^{-}<u_{i}<\ln l_{i}^{-} \text {or } \ln l_{i}^{+}<u_{i}<\ln L_{i}^{+} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, n$. (13) gives that $u$ belongs to one of $\Omega_{k} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}, k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}$. This contradicts the fact that $u \in \partial \Omega_{k} \cap \mathbb{R}^{n}, k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}$. This proves $\phi(u, \mu) \neq(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}$ holds. Note that the system of algebraic equations:
$a_{i}\left(\bar{t}_{i}\right)-\frac{b_{i}^{M}}{K_{i}} e^{x_{i}}-h_{i}\left(\bar{t}_{i}\right) e^{-x_{i}}=0, i=1,2, \ldots, n$
has $2^{n}$ distinct solutions since $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ hold, $\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, \ldots, x_{n}^{*}\right)=\left(\ln \hat{x}_{1}, \ln \hat{x}_{2}, \ldots, \ln \hat{x}_{n}\right)$, where $x_{i}^{ \pm}=\frac{a_{i} K_{i}\left(\bar{t}_{i}\right) \pm \sqrt{\left(a_{i}\left(\bar{t}_{i}\right) K_{i}\right)^{2}-4 b_{i}^{M} h_{i}\left(\bar{t}_{i}\right)} K_{i}}{2 b_{i}^{M}}, \hat{x}_{i}=x_{i}^{-}$or $\hat{x}_{i}=x_{i}^{+}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. Similar to the proof of Lemma 9, it is easy to verify that
$\ln L_{i}^{-}<\ln x_{i}^{-}<\ln l_{i}^{-}<\ln l_{i}^{+}<\ln x_{i}^{+}<\ln L_{i}^{+}$.

Therefore, $\left(x_{1}^{*}, x_{2}^{*}, \ldots, x_{n}^{*}\right)$ uniquely belongs to the corresponding $\Omega_{k}$. Since $\operatorname{Ker} L=\operatorname{Im} Q$, we can take $J=I$. A direct computation gives, for $k=$ $1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}$,
$\operatorname{deg}\left\{J Q N(u, 0), \Omega_{k} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L,(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}\right\}$
$=\operatorname{deg}\left\{\phi(u, 1), \Omega_{k} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L,(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}\right\}$
$=\operatorname{deg}\left\{\phi(u, 0), \Omega_{k} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L,(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}\right\}$
$=\operatorname{sign}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(-\frac{b_{i}^{M}}{K_{i}} x_{i}^{*}+\frac{h_{i}\left(\bar{t}_{i}\right)}{x_{i}^{*}}\right)\right]$.
Since $a_{i}\left(\bar{t}_{i}\right)-\frac{b_{i}^{M}}{K_{i}} x_{i}^{*}-\frac{h_{i}\left(\bar{t}_{i}\right)}{x_{i}^{*}}=0, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}\left\{J Q N(u, 0), \Omega_{k} \cap \operatorname{Ker} L,(0,0, \ldots, 0)^{T}\right\} \\
= & \operatorname{sign}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}\left(\bar{t}_{i}\right) K_{i}-2 b_{i}^{M} x_{i}^{*}\right)\right]= \pm 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}$. So far, we have prove that $\Omega_{k}\left(k=1,2, \ldots, 2^{n}\right)$ satisfies all the assumptions in Lemma 8. Hence, system (3) has at least $2^{n}$ different $\omega$-periodic solutions. This completes the proof of Theorem 10.

## 4 An example

Consider the following two species delayed logistic cooperative system with harvesting terms on time scales:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
u_{1}^{\Delta}(t)= & a_{1}(t)-\frac{f_{1}\left(t, e^{u_{1}\left(t-\tau_{11}(t)\right)}\right)}{K_{1}}  \tag{14}\\
& \cdot e^{u_{1}\left(t-\tau_{11}(t)\right)}+c_{12}(t) e^{u_{2}\left(t-\tau_{12}(t)\right)} \\
& -h_{1}(t) e^{-u_{1}(t)} \\
u_{2}^{\Delta}(t)= & a_{2}(t)-\frac{f_{2}\left(t, e^{u_{2}\left(t-\tau_{22}(t)\right)}\right)}{K_{2}} \\
& \cdot e^{u_{2}\left(t-\tau_{22}(t)\right)}+c_{21}(t) e^{u_{1}\left(t-\tau_{21}(t)\right)} \\
& -h_{2}(t) e^{-u_{2}(t)}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\tau_{i j}(t) \geq 0(i, j=1,2)$ are 4-periodic functions and

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1}(t)=3+\sin (0.5 \pi t), \\
f_{1}(t, x)=\frac{4+\sin (0.5 \pi t)+\cos x}{10}, \\
h_{1}(t)=\frac{9+\cos (0.5 \pi t)}{20}, \\
a_{2}(t)=3+\cos (0.5 \pi t), \\
f_{2}(t, y)=\frac{4+\cos (0.5 \pi t)+\sin y}{10}, \\
h_{2}(t)=\frac{2+\cos (0.5 \pi t)}{5},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
c_{12}(t)=c_{21}(t)=1, K_{1}=K_{2}=1
$$

If $\mathbb{T}=\mathbb{R}$, then (14) reduces to the following system:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{u}_{1}(t)= & a_{1}(t)-\frac{f_{1}\left(t, e^{u_{1}\left(t-\tau_{11}(t)\right)}\right)}{K_{1}}  \tag{15}\\
& \cdot e^{u_{1}\left(t-\tau_{11}(t)\right)}+c_{12}(t) e^{u_{2}\left(t-\tau_{12}(t)\right)} \\
& -h_{1}(t) e^{-u_{1}(t)} \\
\dot{u}_{2}(t)= & a_{2}(t)-\frac{f_{2}\left(t, e^{u_{2}\left(t-\tau_{22}(t)\right)}\right)}{K_{2}} \\
& \cdot e^{u_{2}\left(t-\tau_{22}(t)\right)}+c_{21}(t) e^{u_{1}\left(t-\tau_{21}(t)\right)} \\
& -h_{2}(t) e^{-u_{2}(t)}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Let $x_{i}(t)=e^{u_{i}(t)}(i=1,2)$, then (15) can be changed into the following classical continuous system:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{\dot{x}_{1}(t)}{x_{1}(t)}= & a_{1}(t)-\frac{f_{1}\left(t, x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{11}(t)\right)\right)}{K_{1}} x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{11}(t)\right) \\
& +c_{12}(t) x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{12}(t)\right)-\frac{h_{1}(t)}{x_{1}(t)} \\
\frac{\dot{x}_{2}(t)}{x_{2}(t)}= & a_{2}(t)-\frac{f_{2}\left(t, x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{22}(t)\right)\right)}{K_{2}} x_{2}\left(t-\tau_{22}(t)\right) \\
& +c_{21}(t) x_{1}\left(t-\tau_{21}(t)\right)-\frac{h_{2}(t)}{x_{2}(t)}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

A direct computation gives that

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1}^{l}=2, a_{1}^{M}=4, b_{1}^{l}=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \min _{t \in I_{2 \pi}} f_{1}(t, x)=\frac{2}{10}, \\
b_{1}^{M}=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \max _{t \in I_{2 \pi}} f_{1}(t, x)=\frac{5}{10}, h_{1}^{M}=\frac{10}{20}, h_{1}^{l}=\frac{2}{5} \\
a_{2}^{l}=2, a_{2}^{M}=4, b_{2}^{l}=\inf _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \min _{t \in I_{2 \pi}} f_{2}(t, x)=\frac{2}{10}, \\
b_{2}^{M}=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}} \max _{t \in I_{2 \pi}} f_{2}(t, x)=\frac{6}{10}, h_{2}^{M}=\frac{3}{5}, h_{2}^{l}=\frac{1}{5} \\
a_{1}^{l}=2>1=2 \sqrt{b_{1}^{M} h_{1}^{M}}, l_{1}^{ \pm}=2 \pm \sqrt{3} \\
a_{2}^{l}=2>\frac{6}{5}=2 \sqrt{b_{2}^{M} h_{2}^{M}}, l_{2}^{ \pm}=\frac{5 \pm 4}{3} \\
L_{1}^{ \pm}=\frac{25 \pm \sqrt{617}}{2}, L_{2}^{ \pm}=\frac{25 \pm \sqrt{621}}{2}, \\
G_{1}=\left(\ln \frac{25-\sqrt{617}}{2}, \ln (2-\sqrt{3})\right) \\
\\
H_{1}=\left(\ln (2+\sqrt{3}), \ln \frac{25+\sqrt{617}}{2}\right) \\
\quad G_{2}=\left(\ln \frac{25-\sqrt{621}}{2}, \ln \frac{1}{3}\right) \\
H_{2}=\left(\ln 3, \ln \frac{25+\sqrt{621}}{2}\right), \\
\Omega_{1}=\left\{\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)^{T}: u_{1}(t) \in G_{1}, u_{2}(t) \in G_{2}\right\}, \\
\Omega_{2}=\left\{\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)^{T}: u_{1}(t) \in G_{1}, u_{2}(t) \in H_{2}\right\},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{3}=\left\{\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)^{T}: u_{1}(t) \in H_{1}, u_{2}(t) \in G_{2}\right\} \\
& \Omega_{4}=\left\{\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)^{T}: u_{1}(t) \in H_{1}, u_{2}(t) \in H_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, all conditions of Theorem 10 are satisfied. By Theorem 10, system (14) has at least four 4 -periodic solutions.

## 5 Conclusions

Population dynamics is an important subject in various fields of mathematical biology. One of the famous models for dynamics of population is the LotkaVolterra system. In this paper, we consider the LotkaVolterra cooperative system which is different from the other Lotka-Voltera system because it not only involves intraspecies competition but also touches on interspecific cooperation. Owing to the delay and the periodic environment factors (e.g, seasonal effects of weather, food supplies, mating habits, etc ), We investigated the existence of the multiple positive periodic solutions for the system (3). Some Sufficient criteria have been obtained. In addition, the system (3) unify the continuous system (1) and the discrete system (2). Our applying the techniques and methods are an effective approaches to the study of mathematical models involving the hybrid discrete-continuous processes.
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