

# Classical theorems for a Gould type integral

ALINA GAVRILUȚ  
Faculty of Mathematics  
University "Al.I. Cuza" Iași  
Romania  
gavrilut@uaic.ro

ANCA CROITORU  
Faculty of Mathematics  
University "Al.I. Cuza" Iași,  
Romania  
croitoru@uaic.ro

*Abstract:* In this paper, we continue the study of the Gould type integral introduced in [30] which generalizes the results of [12, 13, 17, 28] and [29]. We obtain various classical properties, such as a mean type theorem, a Lebesgue (Fatou respectively) type theorem, Hölder and Minkowski inequalities etc. Other results concerning measurability, semi-convexity, diffusion and atoms are also established.

*Key-Words:* (multi)(sub)measure, semi-convex, Darboux property, diffused, atom, totally-measurable, Gould integral, Lebesgue theorem, Fatou lemma.

## 1 Introduction

In [20] G. G. Gould introduced an integral for bounded real functions with respect to finitely additive set functions taking values in a Banach space, integral which is more general than the Lebesgue one.

In the last years, the non-additive case and the set-valued case received a special attention because of their applications in mathematical economics, decision theory, artificial intelligence, statistics or theory of games.

A. Precupanu and A. Croitoru generalized Gould's results [20], studying in [28] a Gould type integral for multimeasures with values in  $\mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$ , the family of all compact convex nonempty subsets of a real Banach space  $X$ . Also, Gould type integrals with respect to a (multi)submeasure were studied in [12]–[19]. In [30], A. Precupanu, A. Gavriluț and A. Croitoru introduced and studied a Gould type integral for bounded real functions with respect to a set multifunction of finite variation with values in  $\mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$ , the family of all bounded closed nonempty subsets of a real Banach space  $X$ .

On the other hand, notions as atoms, pseudo-atoms, Darboux property, non-atomicity (with different nonequivalent variants - see, for instance, [8, 9]), (finitely) purely atomicity, semi-convexity, diffusion were intensively studied in recent years, due to their applications in many classical measure theory problems, physics and convex analysis (see [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26]).

That is why, in this paper, we study these notions for the Gould type integral introduced in [30]. We prove that the Lebesgue theorem, Hölder and Minkowski inequalities, Fatou lemma have here a cor-

respondent and our integral preserves properties like semi-convexity or diffusion. Results regarding measurability are also established.

## 2 Basic notions

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real normed space,  $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$  the family of all nonvoid subsets of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_b(X)$  the family of all nonvoid bounded subsets of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$  the family of all nonvoid closed subsets of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$  the family of all nonvoid closed bounded subsets of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{bfc}(X)$  the family of all nonvoid closed bounded convex subsets of  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$  the family of all nonvoid compact convex subsets of  $X$  and  $h$  the Hausdorff pseudometric on  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$ , which becomes a metric on  $\mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$ .

It is known that  $h(M, N) = \max\{e(M, N), e(N, M)\}$ , where  $e(M, N) = \sup_{x \in M} d(x, N)$ , for every  $M, N \in \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  is the excess of  $M$  over  $N$  and  $d(x, N)$  is the distance from  $x$  to  $N$  with respect to the distance induced by the norm of  $X$ .

We denote  $|M| = h(M, \{0\}) = \sup_{x \in M} \|x\|$ , for every  $M \in \mathcal{P}_0(X)$ , where  $0$  is the origin of  $X$ .

For every  $M, N \in \mathcal{P}_0(X)$  and every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $M + N = \{x + y | x \in M, y \in N\}$  and  $\alpha M = \{\alpha x | x \in M\}$ . We denote by  $\overline{M}$  the closure of  $M$  with respect to the topology induced by the norm of  $X$ .

On  $\mathcal{P}_0(X)$  we consider the Minkowski addition "  $\overset{\bullet}{+}$  " [18], defined by:

$$M \overset{\bullet}{+} N = \overline{M + N}, \text{ for every } M, N \in \mathcal{P}_0(X).$$

Let  $T$  be an abstract nonvoid set,  $\mathcal{P}(T)$  the family of all subsets of  $T$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  a ring of subsets of  $T$ .

By  $i = \overline{1, n}$  we mean  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of all naturals and  $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . We also denote  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty)$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_+ = [0, +\infty]$ .

Some properties of  $h$  are presented in the following proposition (see Hu and Papageorgiu [22], Petruşel and Moţ [27]).

**Proposition 1** Let  $A, B, C, D, A_n, B_n \in \mathcal{P}_0(X)$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then:

- I)  $(\alpha + \beta)A = \alpha A + \beta A$ , for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and convex  $A$ .
- II)  $(A \dot{+} B) \dot{+} C = A \dot{+} (B \dot{+} C)$ .
- III)  $(A \dot{+} B) \dot{+} (C \dot{+} D) = (A \dot{+} C) \dot{+} (B \dot{+} D)$ .
- IV)  $h(A, B) = h(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$ .
- V)  $e(A, B) = 0$  if and only if  $A \subseteq \overline{B}$ .
- VI)  $h(A, B) = 0$  if and only if  $\overline{A} = \overline{B}$ .
- VII)  $h(\alpha A, \alpha B) = |\alpha| h(A, B)$ , for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- VIII)  $h(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i, \sum_{i=1}^n B_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n h(A_i, B_i)$ .
- IX)  $h(\alpha A, \beta A) \leq |\alpha - \beta| \cdot |A|$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- X)  $h(\alpha A + \beta B, \gamma A + \delta B) \leq |\alpha - \gamma| \cdot |A| + |\beta - \delta| \cdot |B|$ , for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- XI)  $h(A+C, B+C) = h(A, B)$ , for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}_{bfc}(X)$  and  $C \in \mathcal{P}_b(X)$ .
- XII) If  $A, A_n \in \mathcal{P}_b(X)$  and  $\alpha, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , are so that  $h(A_n, A) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ , then  $h(\alpha_n A_n, \alpha A) \rightarrow 0$ .

We now recall some classical notions:

**Definition 2** A set function  $m : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ , with  $m(\emptyset) = 0$ , is said to be:

- I) monotone if  $m(A) \leq m(B)$ , for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ , with  $A \subseteq B$ .
- II) superadditive if  $m(\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i) \geq \sum_{i \in I} m(A_i)$ , for every sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $(A_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ .
- III) subadditive if  $m(A \cup B) \leq m(A) + m(B)$ , for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ , with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .
- IV) a submeasure (in Drewnowski's sense [7]) if  $m$  is monotone and subadditive.

**Example 3** I) If  $\nu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a finitely additive set function, then  $m : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  by  $m(A) = \frac{\nu(A)}{1+\nu(A)}$  is a submeasure.

II) ([8,9]) Let  $m_n : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a submeasure for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the set function  $m : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$  defined by  $m(A) = \sup_n m_n(A)$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , is a submeasure, too.

**Remark 4** Suppose  $m : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a submeasure of finite variation. If  $\overline{m}$  denotes the variation of  $m$  on  $\mathcal{P}(T)$ , then:

- I)  $\overline{m}$  is finitely additive on  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- II) The following statements are equivalent:
  - i)  $m$  is  $o$ -continuous;
  - ii)  $m$  is  $\sigma$ -subadditive;
  - iii)  $\overline{m}$  is  $\sigma$ -additive on  $\mathcal{C}$ ;
  - iv)  $\overline{m}$  is  $o$ -continuous on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 5** For a set multifunction  $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(X)$ , with  $\mu(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ , we consider:

- I) the extended real valued set function  $|\mu|$  defined by  $|\mu|(A) = |\mu(A)|$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ .
  - II) the variation  $\overline{\mu}$  of  $\mu$  defined by  $\overline{\mu}(A) = \sup\{\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(A_i)|\}$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{P}(T)$ , where the supremum is extended over all finite families of pairwise disjoint sets  $\{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1, n}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ , with  $A_i \subseteq A$ , for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .
- $\mu$  is said to be of finite variation on  $\mathcal{C}$  if  $\overline{\mu}(A) < \infty$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 6** Let  $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(X)$  be a set multifunction, with  $\mu(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ .  $\mu$  is said to be

- I) monotone if  $\mu(A) \subseteq \mu(B)$ , for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ , with  $A \subseteq B$ .
- II) a multimeasure if  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ , for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ , with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ .
- III) a multisubmeasure if  $\mu$  is monotone and  $\mu(A \cup B) \subseteq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ , for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ , with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  (or, equivalently, for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ ).
- IV)  $h$ - $\sigma$ -subadditive if  $|\mu(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\mu(A_n)|$ , for every sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- V) null-additive if  $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A)$ , for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\mu(B) = \{0\}$ .
- VI) null-null-additive if  $\mu(A \cup B) = \{0\}$ , for every  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\mu(A) = \mu(B) = \{0\}$ .
- VII) order-continuous (shortly,  $o$ -continuous) if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\mu(A_n), \mu(A)) = 0$ , for every decreasing sequence of sets  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = \emptyset$  (denoted by  $A_n \searrow \emptyset$ ).
- VIII) increasing convergent if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\mu(A_n), \mu(A)) = 0$ , for every increasing sequence of sets  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{C}$ .

quence of sets  $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = A \in \mathcal{C}$  (denoted by  $A_n \nearrow A$ ).

**Remark 7** If  $\mu$  is  $\mathcal{P}_f(X)$ -valued, then in Definition 6-II), III) it usually appears the Minkowski addition instead of the classical addition because the sum of two closed sets is not, generally, a closed set.

**Remark 8** . I)  $\bar{\mu}$  is monotone and superadditive on  $\mathcal{P}(T)$ . Also (see [12]), if  $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  is a multi(sub)measure, then  $\bar{\mu}$  is finitely additive on  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $|\mu|$  is a submeasure.

II) Every monotone multimeasure is, particularly, a multisubmeasure. Also, any multisubmeasure is null-additive. Any null-additive set multifunction is null-null-additive. The converses are not valid.

III) Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  be a multisubmeasure of finite variation. The following statements are equivalent:

- i)  $\mu$  is  $h$ - $\sigma$ -subadditive;
- ii)  $\mu$  is order-continuous;
- iii)  $\bar{\mu}$  is  $\sigma$ -additive on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

### 3 Semi-convexity, Darboux property, diffusion and atoms of set multifunctions

We present some properties regarding semi-convexity, Darboux property, diffusion and atoms for set multifunctions. These properties will be discussed in section 5 in relation with the Gould type set-valued integral.

The following notions are classical in measure theory, but they are extended to the set valued case (see for instance [2, 3, 4, 15, 16]).

**Definition 9** Let  $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(X)$  be a set multifunction, with  $\mu(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ .

I) We say that  $\mu$

i) is semi-convex if for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\mu(A) \not\supseteq \{0\}$ , there is a set  $B \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $B \subseteq A$  and  $\mu(B) = \frac{1}{2} \mu(A)$ .

ii) has the Darboux property if for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\mu(A) \not\supseteq \{0\}$  and every  $p \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a set  $B \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $B \subseteq A$  and  $\mu(B) = p \mu(A)$ .

iii) is diffused if for every  $t \in T$ , with  $\{t\} \in \mathcal{C}$ , we have  $\mu(\{t\}) = \{0\}$ .

II) A set  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  is said to be an atom of  $\mu$  if  $\mu(A) \not\supseteq \{0\}$  and for every  $B \in \mathcal{C}$ , with  $B \subseteq A$ , we have  $\mu(B) = \{0\}$  or  $\mu(A \setminus B) = \{0\}$ .

III) We say that  $\mu$  is

i) finitely purely atomic if there is a finite disjoint family  $(A_i)_{i=1, \dots, n} \subset \mathcal{C}$  of atoms of  $\mu$  so that  $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ .

ii) purely atomic if there is at most a countable number of atoms  $(A_n)_n \subset \mathcal{C}$  of  $\mu$  so that  $\mu(T \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = \{0\}$  (evidently, here  $\mathcal{C}$  must be a  $\sigma$ -algebra).

iii) non-atomic if it has no atoms.

IV) We say that  $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(\mathbb{R})$  is induced by a set function  $m : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , with  $m(\emptyset) = 0$ , if  $\mu(A) = [0, m(A)]$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Remark 10** I) The Lebesgue measure  $\mu$  is diffused. Also, the set functions  $m_1, m_2 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  defined for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  by  $m_1(A) = \sqrt{\mu(A)}$  and  $m_2(A) = \frac{\mu(A)}{1+\mu(A)}$  are diffused submeasures. The same are the multisubmeasures induced by them.

II) If  $\mu_1, \mu_2 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(X)$  are diffused multimeasures, then the same is the multimeasure  $\mu_1 + \mu_2$  defined by  $(\mu_1 + \mu_2)(A) = \mu_1(A) + \mu_2(A)$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ .

III) Let  $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(X)$  be a set multifunction, with  $\mu(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- a)  $\mu$  is diffused;
- b)  $|\mu|$  is diffused;
- c)  $\bar{\mu}$  is diffused on  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The following result is obviously true.

**Proposition 11** If the set multifunction  $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(X)$ , with  $\mu(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ , has the Darboux property, then it is semi-convex.

Under some assumptions, the converse of Proposition 11 is also valid, as shown below:

**Theorem 12** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ring and  $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{bfc}(X)$  a monotone increasing convergent multimeasure. Then  $\mu$  has the Darboux property if and only if  $\mu$  is semi-convex.

**Proof.** The "only if" part results from Proposition 11.

The "if" part. Every  $p \in (0, 1)$  has an expansion  $p = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{2^n}$ , where  $a_n \in \{0, 1\}$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Let  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , so that  $\mu(A) \not\supseteq \{0\}$  and let  $p \in (0, 1)$ .

By the semi-convexity of  $\mu$ , there is  $B_1 \in \mathcal{C}$  so that  $B_1 \subseteq A$  and  $\mu(B_1) = \frac{a_1}{2} \mu(A)$ .

Analogously, there is  $B_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  so that  $B_2 \subseteq A \setminus B_1$  and  $\mu(B_2) = \frac{a_2}{2^2} \mu(A)$  and so on. Consider  $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (\bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k) \in \mathcal{C}$ . We have

$\mu(B) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(B_k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k}{2^k} \mu(A)$  (with respect to  $h$ ). By Proposition 1-I and XII, it follows  $\mu(B) = p\mu(A)$ , as claimed.  $\square$

**Remark 13** I) If  $\mu$  is monotone, then  $\mu$  is non-atomic if and only if for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ , with  $\mu(A) \not\supseteq \{0\}$ , there exists  $B \in \mathcal{C}$ , with  $B \subseteq A$ ,  $\mu(B) \not\supseteq \{0\}$  and  $\mu(A \setminus B) \not\supseteq \{0\}$ .

II) Let  $\nu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a set function, with  $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$  and  $\mu$  the set multifunction induced by  $\nu$ . Then  $\mu$  has the Darboux property if and only if  $\nu$  has it.

III) [15] Suppose  $T$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space,  $\mathcal{B}$  is the Borel  $\delta$ -ring generated by the compact subsets of  $T$  and  $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  is a multisubmeasure. Then  $\mu$  is non-atomic if and only if it is diffused.

### 4 $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurability

In this section we present some properties of  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable functions. In the sequel,  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra of subsets of  $T$ ,  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  is a set multifunction so that  $\mu(\emptyset) = \{0\}$  and  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  an arbitrary function.

**Definition 14** I) A partition of a set  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is a finite family  $P = \{A_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$  of pairwise disjoint sets of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = A$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the class of all partitions of  $T$  and if  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  is fixed, by  $\mathcal{P}_A$ , the class of all partitions of  $A$ .

II) For a set multifunction  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_0(X)$ , we consider the extended real valued set function  $\tilde{\mu}$  defined by  $\tilde{\mu}(A) = \inf\{\bar{\mu}(B); A \subseteq B, B \in \mathcal{A}\}$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{P}(T)$ .

**Remark 15** I)  $\tilde{\mu}(A) = \bar{\mu}(A)$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}$  is monotone and if  $\bar{\mu}$  is subadditive, then  $\tilde{\mu}$  is also subadditive.

II) Suppose  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  is a multisubmeasure of finite variation. Then:

i)  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a submeasure.

ii) If, moreover,  $\mu$  is  $h$ - $\sigma$ -subadditive, then  $\tilde{\mu}$  is  $\sigma$ -subadditive.

**Definition 16** I)  $f$  is said to be  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $(T, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a partition  $P_\varepsilon = \{A_i\}_{i=0, \dots, n}$  of  $T$  such that:

$$(*) \begin{cases} a) \tilde{\mu}(A_0) < \varepsilon \text{ and} \\ b) \sup_{t, s \in A_i} |f(t) - f(s)| = \text{osc}(f, A_i) < \varepsilon, \\ \text{for every } i = \overline{1, n}. \end{cases}$$

II)  $f$  is said to be  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $B \in \mathcal{A}$  if the restriction  $f|_B$  of  $f$  to  $B$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $(B, \mathcal{A}_B, \mu_B)$ , where  $\mathcal{A}_B = \{A \cap B; A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  and  $\mu_B = \mu|_{\mathcal{A}_B}$ .

One can easily observe that if  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $T$ , then  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 17** We say that a property  $(P)$  holds  $\mu$ -almost everywhere (shortly,  $\mu$ -ae) if there is  $A \in \mathcal{P}(T)$ , with  $\tilde{\mu}(A) = 0$ , so that the property  $(P)$  is valid on  $T \setminus A$ .

**Definition 18** Let  $f_n : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a real function for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . One says that the sequence  $(f_n)$

I) converges in submeasure to  $f$  (denoted by  $f_n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} f$ ) if for every  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mu}(B_n(\delta)) = 0$ , where

$$B_n(\delta) = \{t \in T; |f_n(t) - f(t)| \geq \delta\}.$$

II) converges almost everywhere to  $f$  (denoted by

$f_n \xrightarrow{a.e.} f$ ) if there is  $A \in \mathcal{P}(T)$  so that  $\tilde{\mu}(A) = 0$  and  $(f_n)$  pointwise converges to  $f$  on  $T \setminus A$ .

III) (Li [23, 24]) is almost uniformly convergent on  $T$  (with respect to  $\tilde{\mu}$ ), denoted by  $f_n \xrightarrow{au} f$ , if there exists  $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathcal{A}$ , with  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mu}(A_k) = 0$ , such that  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  on  $T \setminus A_k$  uniformly for any fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

From now on,  $\mu$  is supposed to be of finite variation.

**Theorem 19** Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  be a multisubmeasure.

I) ([11]) If  $f, g : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are bounded  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable functions, then:

i)  $f + g$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable;

ii)  $\lambda f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable, for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ;

iii)  $f^2$  and  $fg$  are  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable;

iv)  $|f|^p$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable, for every  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ ;

v) If  $\inf_{t \in T} f(t) > 0$ , then  $\frac{1}{f}$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable.

II) Suppose  $f, g : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are bounded functions. If  $|f|^p$  and  $|g|^p$  are  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable for an arbitrary  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ , then  $|f + g|^p$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable.

III) ([13]) If for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_n : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable and  $(f_n)$  is convergent in submeasure to a bounded function  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable.

**Remark 20** If  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lipschitz, then  $\varphi \circ f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable.

**Proposition 21** Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  be a (multi)(sub)measure,  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a bounded function and  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Then  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $A \cup B$  if and only if it is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $A$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $B$ .

**Proof.** The *if part* is straightforward. For the *only if part*, by the  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurability of  $f$  on  $A$  and  $B$ , there are  $P_\varepsilon^A = \{A_i\}_{i=0, \overline{n}} \in \mathcal{P}_A$  and  $P_\varepsilon^B = \{B_j\}_{j=0, \overline{q}} \in \mathcal{P}_B$  satisfying the condition (\*). Since  $\bar{\mu}$  is additive on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $P_\varepsilon^{A \cup B} = \{A_0 \cup B_0, A_1, \dots, A_n, B_1, \dots, B_q\} \in \mathcal{P}_{A \cup B}$  also satisfies condition (\*), so  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $A \cup B$ .  $\square$

**Remark 22** I) In the above proposition,  $A$  and  $B$  need not to be disjoint. Indeed, if we take arbitrary  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , since  $A \cup B = (A \setminus B) \cup B$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurability is hereditary, the statement follows.

II) Under the assumptions of the above proposition, if  $\{A_i\}_{i=1, \overline{p}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ , then  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $\bigcup_{i=1}^p A_i$  if and only if the same is  $f$  on every  $A_i, i = \overline{1, p}$ .

**Proposition 23** If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  is an  $o$ -continuous (multi)(sub)measure,  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded function and  $(A_n)_n \subset \mathcal{A}$  are pairwise disjoint, then  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on every  $A_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$  if and only if the same is  $f$  on  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n$ .

**Proof.** The *only if part* immediately follows. The *if part*: Since  $\mu$  is an  $o$ -continuous (multi)(sub)measure of finite variation, then  $\bar{\mu}$  is additive on  $\mathcal{A}$ , so  $\bar{\mu}$  is also  $o$ -continuous on  $\mathcal{A}$ . We observe that  $A \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k \searrow \emptyset$ , so for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $\bar{\mu}(A \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_0} A_k) < \varepsilon$ .

Since for every  $l = \overline{1, n_0}$ ,  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $A_l$ , let  $\{B_j^1\}_{j=0, \overline{p_1}}, \{B_j^2\}_{j=0, \overline{p_2}}, \dots, \{B_j^{p_{n_0}}\}_{j=0, \overline{p_{n_0}}}$  be the corresponding partitions satisfying (\*).

The partition  $P_\varepsilon^A = \{(A \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{n_0} A_k), \{B_j^1\}_{j=1, \overline{p_1}}, \{B_j^2\}_{j=1, \overline{p_2}}, \dots, \{B_j^{p_{n_0}}\}_{j=1, \overline{p_{n_0}}}\} \in \mathcal{P}_A$  satisfies (\*), so  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 24** Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is an  $o$ -continuous submeasure of finite variation

and  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  is a sequence of uniformly bounded  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable functions  $f_n : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $g$  defined for every  $t \in T$  by  $g(t) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} f_n(t)$ , is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable.

**Proof.** One can easily check that for every  $t, s \in T$ , the following inequality holds:

$$(1) \quad |g(t) - g(s)| \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} |f_n(t) - f_n(s)|.$$

Since for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $f_n$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable, then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a partition  $P_\varepsilon^n = \{A_j^n\}_{j=0, \overline{p_n}} \in \mathcal{P}$  so that  $\bar{\mu}(A_0^n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}$  and

$$(2) \quad \sup_{t, s \in A_j^n} |f_n(t) - f_n(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}}, \text{ for every } j = \overline{1, p_n}.$$

Let  $A_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty A_0^n \in \mathcal{A}$ . Because  $\mu$  is an  $o$ -continuous submeasure of finite variation, then, by Remark 4-II,  $\bar{\mu}$  is  $\sigma$ -additive on  $\mathcal{A}$ , so,

$$\bar{\mu}(A_0) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty \bar{\mu}(A_0^n) < \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n+1}} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} cA_0 &= \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty cA_0^n = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty (A_1^n \cup A_2^n \cup \dots \cup A_{p_n}^n) = \\ &= (A_1^1 \cup A_2^1 \cup \dots \cup A_{p_1}^1) \cap (A_1^2 \cup A_2^2 \cup \dots \cup A_{p_2}^2) \cap \dots \\ &= \bigcup_{(i_n) \in \prod_{n=1}^\infty I_n} (A_{i_1}^1 \cap A_{i_2}^2 \cap \dots \cap A_{i_n}^n \cap \dots), \end{aligned}$$

where  $I_n = \{1, 2, \dots, p_n\}$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Denote the last reunion by  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n$ . Now let  $C_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k$  and  $D_n = cA_0 \setminus C_n$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . We observe that  $B_n \cap B_m = \emptyset$  whenever  $n \neq m$ ,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty C_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty B_n = cA_0$  and  $D_n \searrow \emptyset$ .

Since  $\bar{\mu}$  is  $o$ -continuous, there is  $n_0(\varepsilon) = n_0 \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $\bar{\mu}(cA_0 \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} B_i)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Because  $\bar{\mu}(A_0) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , we get  $\bar{\mu}(c(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} B_i)) < \varepsilon$ .

From (1) and (2), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t, s \in B_i} |g(t) - g(s)| &\leq \sup_{t, s \in B_i} \{ \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} |f_n(t) - f_n(s)| \} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \\ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n_0\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we now consider the partition  $P_\varepsilon = \{c(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} B_i), B_1, \dots, B_{n_0}\}$ , we obtain that  $g$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable.  $\square$

**Corollary 25** Under the assumptions of Theorem 24, the function  $h$  defined for every  $t \in T$  by  $h(t) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} f_n(t)$ , is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable. Moreover, supposing there exists  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(t) = f(t)$ , for every  $t \in T$ , then  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable.

**Theorem 26** Suppose  $(T, \rho)$  is a compact metric space,  $\mathcal{B}$  is the Borel  $\delta$ -ring generated by the compact subsets of  $T$ ,  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous on  $T$  and  $\mu : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  is a finitely purely atomic multisubmeasure. Then  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $T$ .

**Proof.** According to Remark 22, it is sufficient to establish the  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurability of  $f$  on an arbitrary, fixed atom  $A_0$  of  $\mu$ . Since  $\mu$  is a multisubmeasure, by [15], there is a unique  $a_0 \in A_0$  so that  $\mu(A_0 \setminus \{a_0\}) = \{0\}$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $f$  is continuous in  $a_0$ , there is  $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$  so that for every  $t \in A_0$ , with  $\rho(t, a_0) < \delta_\varepsilon$ , we have  $|f(t) - f(a_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ .

Let  $B_\varepsilon = \{t \in A_0; \rho(t, a_0) < \delta_\varepsilon\} = A_0 \cap B(a_0, \delta_\varepsilon)$ , where  $B(a_0, \delta_\varepsilon)$  is the open ball of center  $a_0$  and radius  $\delta_\varepsilon$ . It results  $B_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{B}$  and since  $A_0$  is an atom, we have  $\mu(B_\varepsilon) = \{0\}$  or  $\mu(A_0 \setminus B_\varepsilon) = \{0\}$ .

If  $\mu(B_\varepsilon) = \{0\}$ , then since  $a_0 \in B_\varepsilon$ , we get  $\mu(\{a_0\}) = \{0\}$ . But  $\mu(A_0 \setminus \{a_0\}) = \{0\}$ , so  $\mu(A_0) = \{0\}$ , a contradiction. So, we have  $\mu(A_0 \setminus B_\varepsilon) = \{0\}$ . Now, one can easily observe that the partition  $P_{A_0} = \{A_0 \setminus B_\varepsilon, B_\varepsilon\}$  assures the  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurability of  $f$ .  $\square$

## 5 Semi-convexity, diffusion, atoms and purely atomicity for a Gould type set-valued integral

In this section, we establish results concerning semi-convexity, diffusion, atoms and purely atomicity for the Gould type set-valued integral introduced and studied in [30].

In what follows, without any special assumptions, we suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra of subsets of  $T$ ,  $X$  is a Banach space,  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  is a set multifunction of finite variation, with  $\mu(\emptyset) = \{0\}$  and  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a bounded function. We now recall the following notions and results (see [12, 13, 28, 29]).

**Remark 27** If  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  is of finite variation, then  $\mu$  takes its values in  $\mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$ .

**Definition 28** I) Let  $P = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}}$  and  $P' = \{B_j\}_{j=\overline{1,m}}$  be two partitions of  $T$ .  $P'$  is said to be finer than  $P$ , denoted  $P \leq P'$  (or  $P' \geq P$ ) if for every  $j = \overline{1,m}$ , there exists  $i_j = \overline{1,n}$  so that  $B_j \subseteq A_{i_j}$ .

II) The common refinement of two partitions  $P = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}}$  and  $P' = \{B_j\}_{j=\overline{1,m}}$  is the partition  $P \wedge P' = \{A_i \cap B_j\}_{i=\overline{1,n}, j=\overline{1,m}}$ .

**Definition 29** ([30]) For every partition  $P = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}}$  of  $T$  and every  $t_i \in A_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1,n}$ , let  $\sigma_{f,\mu}(P)$  (or, if there is no doubt,  $\sigma_f(P), \sigma_\mu(P), \sigma(P)$ ) be:

$$\sigma(P) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)\mu(A_i)}{f(t_1)\mu(A_1) + \dots + f(t_n)\mu(A_n)}.$$

I)  $f$  is said to be  $\mu$ -integrable on  $(T, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  if the net  $(\sigma(P))_{P \in (\mathcal{P}, \leq)}$  is convergent in  $(\mathcal{P}_f(X), h)$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is ordered by the relation " $\leq$ " given in Definition 4.2.

If  $(\sigma(P))_{P \in (\mathcal{P}, \leq)}$  is convergent, then its limit is called the integral of  $f$  on  $T$  with respect to  $\mu$ , denoted by  $\int_T f d\mu$ .

II) For an arbitrary  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $f$  is said to be  $\mu$ -integrable on  $B$  if the restriction  $f|_B$  of  $f$  to  $B$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $(B, \mathcal{A}_B, \mu_B)$ .

**Remark 30** I)  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$  if and only if there exists a set  $I \in \mathcal{P}_{bf}(X)$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a partition  $P_\varepsilon$  of  $T$ , so that for every other partition of  $T$ ,  $P = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}}$ , with  $P \geq P_\varepsilon$  and every choice of points  $t_i \in A_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1,n}$ , we have  $h(\sigma(P), I) < \varepsilon$ .

II) If  $\mu$  is a multimeasure (multisubmeasure, submeasure, monotone set multifunction, respectively), we obtain the corresponding definitions of [28, 12, 17, 29], respectively).

III) If  $\mu$  is a multimeasure and  $f = 1$ , then  $\int_T f d\mu = \mu(T)$ .

IV) If  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$ , then  $\int_T f d\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$ .

V) Suppose  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is an arbitrary set function of finite variation with  $m(\emptyset) = 0$  and consider the set multifunction  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{R})$  defined by  $\mu(A) = \{m(A)\}$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then, by I),  $f$  is  $m$ -integrable on  $T$  if and only if there is  $I \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a partition  $P_\varepsilon$  of  $T$ , so that for every other partition of  $T$ ,  $P = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}}$ , with  $P \geq P_\varepsilon$  and every choice of points  $t_i \in A_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1,n}$ , we have  $|\sigma(P) - I| = |\sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i)m(A_i) - I| < \varepsilon$ .

Here,  $I = \int_T f dm$ .

Moreover, if  $m$  is finitely additive and  $f = 1$ , then  $\int_T f dm = m(T)$ .

VI) Our integral, if it exists, is unique and has the following properties: homogeneity and additivity with

respect to the function  $f$  and the set multifunction  $\mu$ , additivity with respect to the set, monotonicity with respect to the function  $f$ , to the set multifunction  $\mu$ , and to the set (see [28]–[30] for details. The assumption of monotonicity is not necessary in [29], as observed in [30]).

VII) Let  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a submeasure of finite variation. One can easily check that the set function  $m_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  by  $m_1(A) = \sin m(A)$  is also a submeasure of finite variation (since  $\overline{m_1}(A) \leq \overline{m}(A)$ , for every  $A \subseteq T$ ). Suppose  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is bounded. Since, according to [17],  $m$ -integrability of  $f$  is equivalent to its  $\tilde{m}$ -totally-measurability and because  $\frac{2}{\pi}t \leq \sin t \leq t$ , for every  $t \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ , then  $f$  is  $m$ -integrable if and only if  $f$  is  $m_1$ -integrable.

**Theorem 31 I)** Let  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $\mu$ -integrable function. Then

$$\left| \int_T f d\mu \right| \leq \sup_{t \in T} |f(t)| \cdot \overline{\mu}(T).$$

II) Let  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ , with  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . If  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $A$  and  $\mu$ -integrable on  $B$ , then  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $A \cup B$  and  $\int_{A \cup B} f d\mu = \int_A f d\mu + \int_B f d\mu$ .

III) Suppose  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$ . If  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$ , then  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on every  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

IV) If  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then the set multifunction  $M : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$ , defined by

$$(**) M(A) = \int_A f d\mu, \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A},$$

is a monotone multimeasure,  $M \ll \mu$  and  $M$  is strongly absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ .

V) If  $f, g : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are bounded functions so that  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$  and  $f = g$   $\mu$ -a.e, then  $g$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$  and  $\int_T f d\mu = \int_T g d\mu$ .

**Remark 32** By Theorem 31-I and Remark 10-III), we immediately get that if  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$  is diffused, then the same is  $M$  defined in (\*\*). Also, by Remark 30-I, if  $\inf_{t \in T} f(t) > 0$ , then the converse is also valid. So, in this case,  $\mu$  is diffused if and only if the same is  $M$ .

**Proposition 33** Let  $m_1, m_2 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be set functions of finite variation, so that  $m_1 \leq m_2$  and  $m_1(\emptyset) = m_2(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(\mathbb{R})$  the set multifunction defined by  $\mu(A) =$

$[m_1(A), m_2(A)]$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$  if and only if  $f$  is  $m_1$ -integrable on  $T$  and  $m_2$ -integrable on  $T$  and, in this case,

$$\int_T f d\mu = \left[ \int_T f dm_1, \int_T f dm_2 \right].$$

**Proof.**  $f$  is  $m_1$ -integrable on  $T$  and  $m_2$ -integrable on  $T$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a partition  $P_\varepsilon$  of  $T$  so that for every other partitions of  $T$ ,  $P' = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1, n}}$ ,  $P'' = \{B_j\}_{j=\overline{1, p}}$ , so that  $P' \geq P_\varepsilon$ ,  $P'' \geq P_\varepsilon$  and every  $t_i \in A_i, i = \overline{1, n}, s_j \in B_j, j = \overline{1, p}$ , we have

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i) m_k(A_i) - \sum_{j=1}^p f(s_j) m_k(B_j) \right| < \varepsilon, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & h\left(\sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i) \mu(A_i), \sum_{j=1}^p f(s_j) \mu(B_j)\right) = \\ & = h\left(\left[\sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i) m_1(A_i), \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i) m_2(A_i)\right], \right. \\ & \left. \left[\sum_{j=1}^p f(s_j) m_1(B_j), \sum_{j=1}^p f(s_j) m_2(B_j)\right]\right) \\ & = \max \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i) m_1(A_i) - \sum_{j=1}^p f(s_j) m_1(B_j) \right|, \right. \\ & \left. \left| \sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i) m_2(A_i) - \sum_{j=1}^p f(s_j) m_2(B_j) \right| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a partition  $P_\varepsilon$  of  $T$  so that for every other partitions of  $T$ ,  $P' = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1, n}}$ ,  $P'' = \{B_j\}_{j=\overline{1, p}}$ , so that  $P' \geq P_\varepsilon$ ,  $P'' \geq P_\varepsilon$  and every  $t_i \in A_i, i = \overline{1, n}, s_j \in B_j, j = \overline{1, p}$ , we have

$$h\left(\sum_{i=1}^n f(t_i) \mu(A_i), \sum_{j=1}^p f(s_j) \mu(B_j)\right) < \varepsilon,$$

which means that  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$ .

Now, let us prove that  $\int_T f d\mu = \left[ \int_T f dm_1, \int_T f dm_2 \right]$ .

Since  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$ ,  $m_1$ -integrable on  $T$  and  $m_2$ -integrable on  $T$ , it results that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a partition  $\{C_k\}_{k=\overline{1, l}}$  of  $T$  so that for every

$s_k \in C_k, k = \overline{1, l}$ , we have

$$h\left(\int_T f d\mu, \sum_{k=1}^l f(s_k)\mu(C_k)\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ and}$$

$$\left| \int_T f dm_i - \sum_{k=1}^l f(s_k)m_i(C_k) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, i = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$h\left(\int_T f d\mu, \left[\int_T f dm_1, \int_T f dm_2\right]\right) \leq$$

$$\leq h\left(\int_T f d\mu, \sum_{k=1}^l f(s_k)\mu(C_k)\right)$$

$$+ h\left(\sum_{k=1}^l f(s_k)\mu(C_k), \left[\int_T f dm_1, \int_T f dm_2\right]\right) =$$

$$= h\left(\int_T f d\mu, \sum_{k=1}^l f(s_k)\mu(C_k)\right)$$

$$+ \max \left\{ \left| \int_T f dm_1 - \sum_{k=1}^l f(s_k)m_1(C_k) \right|, \right.$$

$$\left. \left| \int_T f dm_2 - \sum_{k=1}^l f(s_k)m_2(C_k) \right| \right\} < \varepsilon,$$

for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and this implies  $\int_T f d\mu = [\int_T f dm_1, \int_T f dm_2]$ .  $\square$

Taking  $m_1 = 0$  in Proposition 33, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 34** *Let  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a set function of finite variation with  $m(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\mu : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(\mathbb{R})$  the set multifunction defined by  $\mu(A) = [0, m(A)]$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$  if and only if  $f$  is  $m$ -integrable on  $T$  and, in this case,*

$$\int_T f d\mu = [0, \int_T f dm].$$

**Theorem 35** *Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$  be a semi-convex multimeasure and  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable bounded function on  $T$ . Then  $M$  defined in (\*\*) is also semi-convex.*

**Proof.** The following statements, even they are established for  $T$ , remain valid for any arbitrary set  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Also, according to [28],  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$  and on every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Consider arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $M = \max\{\bar{\mu}(T), \sup_{t \in T} |f(t)|\}$ .

By the  $\mu$ -integrability of  $f$  on  $T$ , there is a partition  $\{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1, n}}$  of  $T$  such that for every  $s_i \in A_i$ ,

$i = \overline{1, n}$ , we have  $h(\int_T f d\mu, \sum_{i=1}^n f(s_i)\mu(A_i)) < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}$ ,

so  $h(\frac{1}{2} \int_T f d\mu, \sum_{i=1}^n f(s_i)\frac{1}{2}\mu(A_i)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ .

Because  $\mu$  is semi-convex, for every  $i = \overline{1, n}$ , there is  $B_i \subset A_i$  so that  $B_i \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\mu(B_i) = \frac{1}{2}\mu(A_i)$ , which implies  $h(\frac{1}{2} \int_T f d\mu, \sum_{i=1}^n f(s_i)\mu(B_i)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ .

Since  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i$ , there exists a partition  $\tilde{P}_\varepsilon^B = \{D_k\}_{k=\overline{1, s}} \in \mathcal{P}_B$  so that for every partition  $P \in \mathcal{P}_B$ , with  $P \geq \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^B$ , we have  $h(\int_B f d\mu, \sigma(P)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ .

On the other hand, because  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $B$ , there is a partition  $\tilde{P}_\varepsilon^B = \{E_l\}_{l=\overline{0, m}} \in \mathcal{P}_B$  such that  $\bar{\mu}(E_0) < \frac{\varepsilon}{12M}$  and  $\sup_{t, s \in E_l} |f(t) - f(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{6M}$ , for every  $l = \overline{1, m}$ .

Consider  $\{D_k \cap E_l\}_{k=\overline{1, s}, l=\overline{0, m}} \in \mathcal{P}_B$  and denote it by  $\{C_j\}_{j=\overline{1, q}}$ . For instance,  $C_1 = D_1 \cap E_0, C_2 = D_2 \cap E_0, \dots, C_s = D_s \cap E_0, C_{s+1} = D_1 \cap E_1$  etc. We observe that

$$\bar{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^s C_j\right) = \bar{\mu}(E_0) < \frac{\varepsilon}{12M} \text{ and}$$

$$\sup_{t_j, s_j \in C_j} |f(t_j) - f(s_j)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{6M}, \text{ for every } j = \overline{s+1, q}.$$

Let  $P_\varepsilon^B = \{B_i \cap C_j\}_{i=\overline{1, n}, j=\overline{1, q}} \in \mathcal{P}_B$ . Since  $P_\varepsilon^B \geq \tilde{P}_\varepsilon^B$ , then  $h(\int_B f d\mu, \sigma(P_\varepsilon^B)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ .

Now, we have:

$$h\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_T f d\mu, \int_B f d\mu\right) \leq h\left(\frac{1}{2} \int_T f d\mu, \sum_{i=1}^n f(s_i)\mu(B_i)\right)$$

$$+ h\left(\int_B f d\mu, \sigma(P_\varepsilon^B)\right) +$$

$$+ h\left(\sigma(P_\varepsilon^B), \sum_{i=1}^n f(s_i)\mu(B_i)\right) < \frac{2\varepsilon}{3}$$

$$+ h\left(\sigma(P_\varepsilon^B), \sum_{i=1}^n f(s_i)\mu(B_i)\right).$$

It only remains to prove that for every  $\theta_{ij} \in B_i \cap C_j, i = \overline{1, n}, j = \overline{1, q}$ ,

$$h\left(\sigma(P_\varepsilon^B), \sum_{i=1}^n f(s_i)\mu(B_i)\right)$$

$$= h\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^q f(\theta_{ij})\mu(B_i \cap C_j), \sum_{i=1}^n f(s_i)\mu(B_i)\right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$



Indeed, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & h\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^q f(\theta_{ij})\mu(B_i \cap C_j), \sum_{i=1}^n f(s_i)\mu(B_i)\right) = \\
 & = h\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^q f(\theta_{ij})\mu(B_i \cap C_j), \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^q f(s_i)\mu(B_i \cap C_j)\right) \leq \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^q |f(s_i) - f(\theta_{ij})| \cdot |\mu(B_i \cap C_j)| = \\
 & = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^s |f(s_i) - f(\theta_{ij})| \cdot |\mu(B_i \cap C_j)| + \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=s+1}^q |f(s_i) - f(\theta_{ij})| \cdot |\mu(B_i \cap C_j)| \leq \\
 & \leq 2M \sum_{j=1}^s \bar{\mu}(C_j) + \sum_{j=s+1}^q |f(s_i) - f(\theta_{ij})| \cdot \bar{\mu}(C_j) < \\
 & < 2M\bar{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^s C_j\right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{6M}\bar{\mu}\left(\bigcup_{j=s+1}^q C_j\right) \\
 & < 2M\frac{\varepsilon}{12M} + \frac{\varepsilon}{6M}M = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $h(\frac{1}{2} \int_T f d\mu, \int_B f d\mu) < \varepsilon$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so  $\frac{1}{2} \int_T f d\mu = \int_B f d\mu$ . Therefore,  $M$  is semi-convex.  $\square$

**Theorem 36** Suppose  $\mu : A \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  is monotone, null-additive and finitely purely atomic. If  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $T$ , then  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$ .

**Proof.** According to Theorem 31-II, it will be sufficient to prove that  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on every atom  $A$  of  $\mu$ . First, we observe that, if  $A$  is an atom of  $\mu$  and if  $\{A_i\}_{i=\overline{1,n}} \in \mathcal{P}_A$ , then, there exists only one set, for instance, without any loss of generality,  $A_1$ , so that  $\mu(A_1) \not\supseteq \{0\}$  and  $\mu(A_2) = \dots = \mu(A_n) = \{0\}$ .

Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  be an atom of  $\mu$ .

Since  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $A$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a partition  $P_\varepsilon = \{A_i\}_{i=\overline{0,n}}$  of  $A$  such that:

$$(*) \begin{cases} i) \tilde{\mu}(A_0) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} \text{ (where } M = \sup_{t \in T} |f(t)| \text{) and} \\ ii) \sup_{t,s \in A_i} |f(t) - f(s)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{\bar{\mu}(T)}, \text{ for every } i = \overline{1,n}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\{B_j\}_{j=\overline{1,k}}, \{C_p\}_{p=\overline{1,s}} \in \mathcal{P}_A$  be two arbitrary partitions which are finer than  $P_\varepsilon$  and consider  $s_j \in B_j, j = \overline{1,k}, \theta_p \in C_p, p = \overline{1,s}$ .

We prove that

$$h\left(\sum_{j=1}^k f(s_j)\mu(B_j), \sum_{p=1}^s f(\theta_p)\mu(C_p)\right) < \varepsilon.$$

We have two cases:

I.  $\mu(A_0) \not\supseteq \{0\}$ . Then  $\mu(A_1) = \dots = \mu(A_n) = \{0\}$ .

Suppose, without any loss of generality that  $\mu(B_1) \not\supseteq \{0\}, \mu(C_1) \not\supseteq \{0\}$  and  $\mu(B_2) = \dots = \mu(B_k) = \{0\}, \mu(C_2) = \dots = \mu(C_s) = \{0\}$ . Then  $B_1 \subset A_0$  and  $C_1 \subset A_0$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & h\left(\sum_{j=1}^k f(s_j)\mu(B_j), \sum_{p=1}^s f(\theta_p)\mu(C_p)\right) \\
 & = h(f(s_1)\mu(B_1), f(\theta_1)\mu(C_1)) \leq \\
 & \leq |f(s_1)|\mu(B_1) + |f(\theta_1)|\mu(C_1) \leq \\
 & \leq 2M\bar{\mu}(A_0) < \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

II.  $\mu(A_0) = \{0\}$ . Then, without any loss of generality,  $\mu(A_1) \not\supseteq \{0\}$  and  $\mu(A_i) = \{0\}$ , for every  $i = \overline{2,n}$ . Suppose that  $\mu(B_1) \not\supseteq \{0\}, \mu(C_1) \not\supseteq \{0\}$  and  $\mu(B_2) = \dots = \mu(B_k) = \{0\}, \mu(C_2) = \dots = \mu(C_s) = \{0\}$ . Then  $B_1 \subset A_1$  and  $C_1 \subset A_1$ , and, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & h\left(\sum_{j=1}^k f(s_j)\mu(B_j), \sum_{p=1}^s f(\theta_p)\mu(C_p)\right) \\
 & = h(f(s_1)\mu(B_1), f(\theta_1)\mu(C_1)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A$  is an atom of  $\mu$  and  $\mu(B_1) \not\supseteq \{0\}$ , then  $\mu(A \setminus B_1) = \{0\}$ , so  $\mu(C_1 \setminus B_1) = \{0\}$ . By the null-additivity of  $\mu$ , we get  $\mu(C_1) = \mu(B_1)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & h\left(\sum_{j=1}^k f(s_j)\mu(B_j), \sum_{p=1}^s f(\theta_p)\mu(C_p)\right) \\
 & = h(f(s_1)\mu(B_1), f(\theta_1)\mu(C_1)) \\
 & = h(f(s_1)\mu(B_1), f(\theta_1)\mu(B_1)).
 \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & h\left(\sum_{j=1}^k f(s_j)\mu(B_j), \sum_{p=1}^s f(\theta_p)\mu(C_p)\right) \\
 & \leq |\mu(B_1)| |f(s_1) - f(\theta_1)| \leq \bar{\mu}(T) \frac{\varepsilon}{\bar{\mu}(T)} = \varepsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the net  $(\sigma(P))_{P \in \mathcal{P}_A}$  is a Cauchy one in the complete metric space  $(\mathcal{P}_{bf}(X), h)$ , hence  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $A$ .  $\square$

In [8, 9], submeasures of the following type are studied. Here, we investigate the relationship between their Gould integrals.

**Theorem 37** Let  $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be an uniformly bounded sequence of submeasures of finite variation,  $m_n : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  defined by  $m(A) = \sup_n m_n(A)$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Suppose  $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  is an atom of  $m$  and  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\tilde{m}$ -totally-measurable on  $T$ . Then  $\int_{A_0} f dm = \sup_n \int_{A_0} f dm_n$ .

**Proof.** By Example 3-II),  $m$  is a submeasure too. Since  $\tilde{m}_n(A) \leq \tilde{m}(A)$ , for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f$  is  $\tilde{m}_n$ -totally-measurable on  $T$ . According to [17],  $f$  is  $m$ -integrable and  $m_n$ -integrable on  $T$  and on every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . By [17],  $\int_{A_0} f dm_n \leq \int_{A_0} f dm$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $m(A_0) = \sup_n m_n(A_0)$ , we get that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $n_0(\varepsilon, A_0) = n_0$  so that  $m(A_0) < m_{n_0}(A_0) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2M}$ , where  $M = \sup_{t \in T} |f(t)|$ .

Because  $f$  is  $m$ -integrable and  $m_{n_0}$ -integrable on  $A_0$ , we have that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a common partition  $\{B_j\}_{j=1, \dots, k} \in \mathcal{P}_{A_0}$  so that for every  $t_j \in B_j$ ,  $|\int_{A_0} f dm - \sum_{j=1}^k f(t_j)m(B_j)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$  and  $|\int_{A_0} f dm_{n_0} - \sum_{j=1}^k f(t_j)m_{n_0}(B_j)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ .

Since  $\{B_j\}_{j=1, \dots, k} \in \mathcal{P}_{A_0}$ , we observe that there can exist only one set, for instance,  $B_1$ , so that  $m(B_1) > 0$  and  $m(B_j) = 0$ , for every  $j = 2, \dots, k$ . Then  $m_{n_0}(B_j) = 0$ , for every  $j = 2, \dots, k$ .

Consequently, because  $m(B_1) = m(A_0)$  and  $m_{n_0}(B_1) = m_{n_0}(A_0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_0} f dm &\leq \left| \int_{A_0} f dm - \sum_{j=1}^k f(t_j)m(B_j) \right| \\ &+ \left| \int_{A_0} f dm_{n_0} - \sum_{j=1}^k f(t_j)m_{n_0}(B_j) \right| \\ &+ |f(t_1)| \cdot |m(B_1) - m_{n_0}(B_1)| \\ &+ \int_{A_0} f dm_{n_0} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + M \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} + \int_{A_0} f dm_{n_0} = \\ &= \varepsilon + \int_{A_0} f dm_{n_0}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\int_{A_0} f dm = \sup_n \int_{A_0} f dm_n$ , as claimed.  $\square$

## 6 Classical results for the Gould type set-valued integral

In this section we obtain some classical theorems (such as Hölder inequality, Minkowski inequality,

mean convergence theorem, Lebesgue theorem, Fatou lemma) for the Gould type set-valued integral introduced in [30].

**Theorem 38 (Hölder Inequality)** Let  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a submeasure of finite variation and  $f, g : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   $m$ -integrable bounded functions on  $T$ . Then

$$\int_T |fg| dm \leq \left( \int_T |f|^p dm \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left( \int_T |g|^q dm \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

for every  $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ , with  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

**Proof.** Since (see [17]) for submeasures,  $m$ -integrability is equivalent to  $\tilde{m}$ -totally-measurability, then by Theorem 19-I and Theorem 2.17 [17],  $|f|, |g|, |fg|, |f|^p$  and  $|g|^q$  are also  $m$ -integrable, so, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a common partition  $P_\varepsilon = \{A_i\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$  such that for every  $t_i \in A_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_T |fg| dm - \sum_{i=1}^n |f(t_i)g(t_i)|m(A_i) \right| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \\ \left| \int_T |f|^p dm - \sum_{i=1}^n |f(t_i)|^p m(A_i) \right| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \text{ and} \\ \left| \int_T |g|^q dm - \sum_{i=1}^n |g(t_i)|^q m(A_i) \right| &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |f(t_i)g(t_i)|m(A_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ |f(t_i)| (m(A_i))^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot |g(t_i)| (m(A_i))^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \leq \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |f(t_i)|^p m(A_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |g(t_i)|^q m(A_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned}$$

we immediately have the conclusion.  $\square$

Using the above theorem, we obtain the Minkowski inequality, by a classical proof.

**Theorem 39 (Minkowski inequality)** Let  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a submeasure of finite variation and  $f, g : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   $m$ -integrable bounded functions on  $T$ . Then

$$\left( \int_T |f + g|^p dm \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left( \int_T |f|^p dm \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left( \int_T |g|^p dm \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

for every  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ .

If  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a submeasure of finite variation, we consider the space  $\mathcal{L}^p = \{f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}; f \text{ is bounded on } T \text{ and } |f|^p \text{ is } m\text{-integrable on } T\}$ .

**Remark 40** From Theorem 19-II, it results that if  $f, g \in \mathcal{L}^p$ , then  $f + g \in \mathcal{L}^p$ . So,  $\mathcal{L}^p$  is a linear  $s$ -space.

**Corollary 41** Let  $m : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a submeasure of finite variation and  $p \in [1, +\infty)$ . Then the function  $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{L}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , defined for every  $f \in \mathcal{L}^p$  by  $\|f\| = (\int_T |f|^p dm)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ , is a semi-norm.

**Definition 42** Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_f(X)$  be a set multifunction with  $\mu(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ . If for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f_n : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$ , then the sequence  $(f_n)$  is said to be mean convergent to  $f$  on  $T$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_T (f_n - f) d\mu = \{0\}$  (with respect to  $h$ ).

**Theorem 43 (Mean Convergence Theorem)** Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$  be a set multifunction of finite variation, with  $\mu(\emptyset) = \{0\}$  and  $f_n : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $(f_n)$  is an uniformly bounded sequence of  $\mu$ -integrable functions such that  $(f_n)$  is convergent in submeasure to a bounded function  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$  and on every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A (f_n - f) d\mu = \{0\}$$

(with respect to  $h$ )

**Proof.** Let  $M' = \bar{\mu}(T)$ ,  $M_1 = \sup_{t \in T} |f(t)|$ ,  $M_2 = \sup_{t \in T, n \in \mathbb{N}} |f_n(t)|$  and  $M = \max\{M_1, M_2\}$ .

Since  $f_n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} f$ , it results that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $\tilde{\mu}(B_n(\frac{\varepsilon}{6M})) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}$ , for every  $n \geq n_0$ .

Particularly,  $\tilde{\mu}(B_{n_0}(\frac{\varepsilon}{6M})) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}$ . By the definition of  $\tilde{\mu}$ , there is  $C_{n_0} \in \mathcal{A}$  so that  $B_{n_0}(\frac{\varepsilon}{6M}) \subseteq C_{n_0}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}(C_{n_0}) = \bar{\mu}(C_{n_0}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M}$ .

First, we prove that  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $C_{n_0}$ . Indeed, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a partition  $P_\varepsilon = \{C_{n_0}\} \in \mathcal{P}_{C_{n_0}}$  so that, for every other partition  $P = \{D_l\}_{l=1, p} \in \mathcal{P}_{C_{n_0}}$ , with  $P \geq P_\varepsilon$  and every  $t_l \in D_l$ ,  $l = \overline{1, p}$  and  $c \in C_{n_0}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & h \left( \sum_{l=1}^p f(t_l) \mu(D_l), f(c) \mu(C_{n_0}) \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{l=1}^p |f(t_l)| \cdot |\mu(D_l)| + \\ & + \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} \cdot M_1 < \bar{\mu}(C_{n_0}) \cdot M_1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} \cdot M_1 \\ & < 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} \cdot M_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider another partition  $P' = \{E_s\}_{s=1, q} \in \mathcal{P}_{C_{n_0}}$ , with  $P' \geq P_\varepsilon$  and  $r_s \in E_s$ ,  $s = \overline{1, q}$ , arbitrarily.

In a similar way we get  $h \left( \sum_{s=1}^q f(r_s) \mu(E_s), f(c) \mu(C_{n_0}) \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , whence,  $h \left( \sum_{l=1}^p f(t_l) \mu(D_l), \sum_{s=1}^q f(r_s) \mu(E_s) \right) < \varepsilon$ . Then  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $C_{n_0}$ .

Consequently, according to Theorem 31-II, in order to prove that  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$ , it is sufficient to establish the  $\mu$ -integrability of  $f$  on  $T \setminus C_{n_0}$ .

Since for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$   $f_n$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$ , then  $f_{n_0}$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T \setminus C_{n_0}$ . Consequently, there is a partition  $P_\varepsilon^{n_0} = \{A_i\}_{i=1, m_{n_0}} \in \mathcal{P}_{T \setminus C_{n_0}}$  so that, for every other partition  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{T \setminus C_{n_0}}$ , with  $P \geq P_\varepsilon^{n_0}$ , we have  $h(\sigma(P), \sigma(P_\varepsilon^{n_0})) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ .

Let  $P = \{D_j\}_{j=1, l} \in \mathcal{P}_{T \setminus C_{n_0}}$ , with  $P \geq P_\varepsilon^{n_0}$  be arbitrarily, but fixed. For every  $t_j \in D_j$ ,  $j = \overline{1, l}$  and every  $c_i \in A_i$ ,  $i = \overline{1, m_{n_0}}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & h \left( \sum_{j=1}^l f(t_j) \mu(D_j), \sum_{i=1}^{m_{n_0}} f(c_i) \mu(A_i) \right) \\ & \leq h \left( \sum_{j=1}^l f(t_j) \mu(D_j), \sum_{j=1}^l f_{n_0}(t_j) \mu(D_j) \right) + \\ & + h \left( \sum_{j=1}^l f_{n_0}(t_j) \mu(D_j), \sum_{i=1}^{m_{n_0}} f_{n_0}(c_i) \mu(A_i) \right) + \\ & + h \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m_{n_0}} f_{n_0}(c_i) \mu(A_i), \sum_{i=1}^{m_{n_0}} f(c_i) \mu(A_i) \right) \leq \\ & \leq \bar{\mu}(T \setminus C_{n_0}) \cdot \sup_{t \in C_{n_0}} |f(t) - f_{n_0}(t)| \\ & + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \bar{\mu}(T \setminus C_{n_0}) \cdot \sup_{t \in C_{n_0}} |f(t) - f_{n_0}(t)| < \\ & < M' \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{6M'} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + M' \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{6M'} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

A similar inequality for every other partition  $P' \in \mathcal{P}_{T \setminus C_{n_0}}$ , with  $P' \geq P_\varepsilon^{n_0}$ , may analogously be obtained. Then, by the triangular inequality,  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T \setminus C_{n_0}$  and, according to Theorem 4.5-II,  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$ .

Now, we prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_T (f_n - f) d\mu = \{0\}$  with respect to  $h$ . According to Theorem 31-III, there exist  $\int_A f d\mu$  and  $\int_A f_n d\mu$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

We shall use the same  $B_n(\frac{\varepsilon}{6M'})$ , with  $n \geq n_0$ , as before. By the definition of  $\tilde{\mu}$ , we get that for every  $n \geq n_0$ , there exists  $C_n \in \mathcal{A}$  so that  $B_n(\frac{\varepsilon}{6M'}) \subseteq C_n$  and  $\tilde{\mu}(C_n) = \bar{\mu}(C_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4M'}$ .

Then, for every  $n \geq n_0$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_A (f_n - f) d\mu \right| = \left| \int_{A \setminus C_n} (f_n - f) d\mu \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{A \cap C_n} (f_n - f) d\mu \right| \leq \\ & \leq \sup_{t \in A \setminus C_n} |f_n(t) - f(t)| \cdot \bar{\mu}(A \setminus C_n) \\ & + \sup_{t \in A \cap C_n} |f_n(t) - f(t)| \cdot \bar{\mu}(A \cap C_n) < \\ & < \frac{\varepsilon}{6M'} \cdot M' \\ & + 2M \cdot \bar{\mu}(C_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 2M \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A (f_n - f) d\mu = \{0\}$  (with respect to  $h$ ), for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . □

**Theorem 44 (Lebesgue type Theorem)** Let  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{kc}(X)$  be a set multifunction of finite variation, with  $\mu(\emptyset) = \{0\}$  and  $f_n : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose  $(f_n)_n$  is an uniformly bounded sequence of  $\mu$ -integrable functions such that  $(f_n)_n$  is convergent in submeasure to a bounded function  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_n d\mu = \int_A f d\mu \text{ (with respect to } h \text{)}.$$

**Proof.** By the proof of Theorem 43, it results that  $f$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Using the same sets as before, we have for every  $n \geq n_0$  and every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & h\left(\int_A f_n d\mu, \int_A f d\mu\right) \\ & = h\left(\int_{A \setminus C_n} f_n d\mu + \int_{A \cap C_n} f_n d\mu, \int_{A \setminus C_n} f d\mu \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{A \cap C_n} f d\mu\right) \leq h\left(\int_{A \setminus C_n} f_n d\mu, \int_{A \setminus C_n} f d\mu\right) \\ & + h\left(\int_{A \cap C_n} f_n d\mu, \int_{A \cap C_n} f d\mu\right) \leq \\ & \leq \sup_{t \in A \setminus C_n} |f_n(t) - f(t)| \cdot \bar{\mu}(A \setminus C_n) \\ & + \sup_{t \in A \cap C_n} |f_n(t) - f(t)| \cdot \bar{\mu}(A \cap C_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{6M'} \cdot M' \\ & + 2M \cdot \bar{\mu}(C_n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + 2M \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4M} = \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows. □

**Theorem 45 (Fatou Lemma)** Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a submeasure of finite variation so that  $\tilde{\mu}$  is  $o$ -continuous and  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a

sequence of uniformly bounded,  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable functions  $f_n : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\int_T \liminf_n f_n d\mu \leq \liminf_n \int_T f_n d\mu.$$

**Proof.** For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider  $g_n$  defined for every  $t \in T$  by  $g_n(t) = \inf_{k \geq n} f_k(t)$ . Let also be  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_n(t)$ , for every  $t \in T$ . We observe that  $g_n \xrightarrow{ae} f$  and  $g_n \leq f_n$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

According to Theorem 24,  $(g_n)_n$  is also a sequence of uniformly bounded,  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable functions, so, by Corollary 25,  $f$  is  $\tilde{\mu}$ -totally-measurable on  $T$ .

By [17],  $f_n$  and  $f$  are  $\mu$ -integrable on  $T$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $g_n \xrightarrow{ae} f$  and  $\tilde{\mu}$  is an  $o$ -continuous submeasure on  $\mathcal{P}(T)$ , then, according to Li [23],  $g_n \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} f$ , so, by [13],

$$\int_T \liminf_n f_n d\mu = \int_T f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_T g_n d\mu.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_T \liminf_n f_n d\mu = \liminf_n \int_T g_n d\mu \\ & \leq \liminf_n \int_T f_n d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

*References:*

- [1] G. Apreutesei, N.E. Mastorakis, A. Croitoru, A. Gavriluț, On the translation of an almost linear topology, *WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics*, Vol.8, (2009), pp. 479–488.
- [2] U. Bandyopadhyay, On vector measures with the Darboux property, *Quart. J. Oxford Math.*, (1974), pp. 57-61.
- [3] I. Chițescu, - *Finitely purely atomic measures and  $L_p$ -spaces*, An. Univ. București Șt. Natur. 24 (1975), 23-29.
- [4] I. Chițescu, Finitely purely atomic measures: coincidence and rigidity properties, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, vol. 50, (2001), No. 3, pp. 455-476.
- [5] A. Croitoru, A. Gavriluț, N. E. Mastorakis, Convergence theorems for totally-measurable functions, *WSEAS Trans. Math.*, Vol.8, (2009), p. 614–623.

- [6] A. Croitoru, A. Gavriluț, N.E. Mastorakis, G. Gavriluț, On different types of non-additive set multifunctions, *WSEAS Trans. Math.*, Vol.8, (2009), pp. 246-257.
- [7] L. Drewnowski, Topological rings of sets, continuous set functions, Integration, I, II, III, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Math. Astron. Phys.*, Vol. 20, (1972), pp. 269-276, pp. 277-286.
- [8] L. Drewnowski, T. Luczak, On nonatomic submeasures on  $\mathbb{N}$ , *Arch. Math.*, vol. 91, (2008), pp. 76-85.
- [9] L. Drewnowski, T. Luczak, On nonatomic submeasures on  $\mathbb{N}$  II, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol.347, (2008), no 2, pp. 442-449.
- [10] N. J. Fernández, – Are diffused, Radon measures nonatomic?, Papers in honor Pablo Bobillo Guerrero (Spanish), 261-265, Univ. Granada, 1992.
- [11] R. J. Gardner, W. F. Pfeffer, Are diffused, regular, Radon measures  $\sigma$ -finite?, *J. London Math. Soc.*, vol.20, (1979), pp. 485-494.
- [12] A. Gavriluț, A Gould type integral with respect to a multisubmeasure, *Math. Slovaca*, vol.58, (2008), No. 1, pp. 1-20.
- [13] A. Gavriluț, On some properties of the Gould type integral with respect to a multisubmeasure, *An. Șt. Univ. Iași*, vol. 52, (2006), no 1, pp. 177-194.
- [14] A. Gavriluț, The general Gould type integral with respect to a multisubmeasure, *Math. Slovaca*, vol.60, (2010), No. 3, pp. 289-318.
- [15] A. Gavriluț, Non-atomicity and the Darboux property for fuzzy and non-fuzzy Borel/Baire multivalued set functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol.160, (2009), pp. 1308-1317.
- [16] A. Gavriluț, A. Croitoru, Non-atomicity for fuzzy and non-fuzzy multivalued set functions, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol.160, (2009), pp. 2106-2116.
- [17] A. Gavriluț, A. Petcu, A Gould type integral with respect to a submeasure, *An. Șt. Univ. Iași*, Tomul LIII, 2007, f. 2, pp. 351-368.
- [18] A. Gavriluț, A. Croitoru, N. E. Mastorakis, G. Gavriluț, Measurability and Gould integrability in finitely purely atomic multisubmeasure spaces, *WSEAS Trans. Math.*, Vol.8, (2009), pp. 435-444.
- [19] A. Gavriluț, A. Croitoru, N. E. Mastorakis, Diffusion and semi-convexity of fuzzy set multifunctions, *WSEAS Trans. Math.*, vol.9, (2010), pp. 561-570.
- [20] G. G. Gould, On integration of vector-valued measures, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, vol.15, (1965), pp. 193-225.
- [21] P. Holický, C. E. Weil, L. Zajíček, A note on the Darboux property of Fréchet derivatives, *Real Anal. Exchange*, vol.32, (2007), pp. 489-494.
- [22] S. Hu, N.S. Papageorgiou, *Handbook of Multivalued Analysis*, vol. I, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997.
- [23] J. Li, Order continuous of monotone set function and convergence of measurable functions sequence, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol.135, (2003), pp. 211-218.
- [24] J. Li, A note on the null-additivity of the fuzzy measure, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol.125, (2002), pp. 269-271.
- [25] N.E. Mastorakis, A. Gavriluț, A. Croitoru, G. Apreutesei, On Darboux property for fuzzy multimeasures, *Proceedings of the 10th WSEAS International Conference on Fuzzy Systems [F-S'09]*, Prague, Czech Republic, March 23-25, 2009, pp. 54-58.
- [26] E. Pap, – *Null-additive Set Functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 1995.
- [27] A. Petrușel, G. Moș, – *Multivalued Analysis and Mathematical Economics*, House of the Book of Science, Cluj Napoca, 2004.
- [28] A. Precupanu, A. Croitoru, A Gould type integral with respect to a multimeasure, I, *An. Șt. Univ. Iași*, vol.48, (2002), pp. 165-200.
- [29] A. Precupanu, A. Gavriluț, A. Croitoru, A fuzzy Gould type integral, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol.161, (2010), pp. 661-680.
- [30] A. Precupanu, A. Gavriluț, A. Croitoru, On a generalized Gould type set valued integral, submitted for publication.