Analysis of PI Controllers with Anti-Windup Techniques on Level Systems
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Abstract: The actuator element saturation for a long period of time can force high overshoot peaks in the physical system, in most cases, due to the integral action. Taking this effect into consideration, this work aims to present a case study considering this situation applied on a SMAR didactic level system, where an Anti-Windup Technique (AWT) with 5 distinct classic Proportional-Integral (PI) controllers were implemented. To validate its effectiveness, the results were always presented with the comparison of the use with and without AWT controlled responses. Also, the tests were carried out through implementations in MATLAB software, where the results showed a high necessity to use AWTs in these kind of systems. As for the controller’s tuning method efficiency, the integral of squared error index proved that the Cohen-Coon and Ziegler-Nichols controllers showed better results.
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1 Introduction

Currently, industrial processes use tanks coupled for several desired functions, such as transport and storage of liquids, which makes level systems to be highly prominent in the engineering industries and an important part of current production processes. Also, they are often operated in the petrochemical, pulp and paper and water and affluent treatment industries [1].

However, the liquid level control becomes an interesting problem in the industry, as they need the tanks to be coupled to each other interacting between them, also requiring a regulatory control of flow in and out. An important purpose for this control is to keep the level as close as possible to the Set Point (SP). Taking Proportional-Integral (PI) controller into account, which is still often used in industry, the controller must contain the integral action, enabling null stationary errors. About the proportional action, the system immediately react to the error, giving a fast reaction to disturbances or variations in the SP [2].

When a full-action controller is used, the system error continues to be integrated and the integral term tends to become large, causing high overshoots in the controlled responses, which is critical when the actuator is saturated for a long period of time. Thus, to make the controller returning to the operating in the linear region, it is necessary to minimize this integral part, which is the main characteristic of the Anti-Windup Technique (AWT). This, in turn, uses the actuator saturation to create a difference between its input and output signals, being subsequently feedback into the integral loop. Without saturation, this technique has no effect on the system, resulting in operation within the linear region [1].

A brief survey highlights some interesting works: authors [3] show the use of a PI controller tested on a stepper motor together with AWTs, being developed to achieve a faster response to speed with better SP tracking. After the controller application, its results were compared with a conventional PI controller and it was concluded that the AWT was strictly necessary to increase the control loop efficiency.

The study done in [4] presents a comparison regarding the speed response of a BLDC (Brushless Di-
rect Current Motors) motor using the conventional PI controller with and without AWT and the fuzzy controller. These controllers were applied for different load and speed conditions, and finally, after comparing their results, it was possible to verify that the conventional PI controller showed a slower response, while the PI controller with AWT demonstrated the opposite situation, more suitable for the BLDC motor activation during its variations, considering the conditions tested by the authors.

As a last example of application, work [5] reported the development of an AWT for proportional resonance controllers in the current control circuit of a voltage source converter with a filter. By controlling the feedback signal distribution for each integrator input, it is possible to effectively adjust the phase change caused by these signals, corresponding to the SP signal. The performance evaluation of the proposed scheme was obtained by simulation comparing it with the existing techniques for the respective converter connected to the grid. Finally, it was demonstrated that the proposed scheme produced a lower power factor and lower current distortion. More related works about similar level control systems are found in [6, 7, 8, 9].

This work aims to apply an AWT advised to be the most efficient for the level system presented in [1], also to make efficiency comparisons with and without the technique, among 5 types of classical PI controllers: Ziegler-Nichols; Cohen-Coon; Haalman; Chien, Hrones and Reswick to 0 % and 20 % of overshoot. For this, it was necessary to perform the system identification, approaching it for First Order Plus Dead Time (FOPDT) system, using the 3 parameters methods of Bröödá [10], Smith [11] and Vitečková [12].

This work is divided as follows: Section 2 presents the didactic industrial plant used, as well as its physical structure and functioning explained. Also, in this section, the identification methods applied to the plant are also presented. Right after in Section 3, the classic control techniques used are presented: Cohen Coon, Ziegler Nichols, Haalman and CHR (Chien, Hrones and Reswick) with 0 and 20 % of overshoot. Section 4 shows the controller topology with AWT. Subsequently, Section 5 presents the experimental results. Finally, Section 6 shows the conclusions and some future works.

2 System of Study

2.1 Didactic Plant

All experimental tests were performed based on the didactic plant model PD3 (SMAR), shown in Fig. 1.

In the level system shown in Fig. 1 is possible to see how measurements are performed by an LD-302 differential transmitter, based on hydrostatic principles. Thus, a steady state system in open or closed loop uses the Mass Preservation Law, where the liquid level stability causes a direct relationship between the pneumatic control valve opening and the outlet flow, simulating or consuming and the disturbances present in the industrial field [13].

From the displayed plant, it is possible to work with three important variables of control systems in industrial processes: flow, temperature and level (used in this study).

The control system studied here consists of a reservoir (where the water is stored), an electric pump (conducts the fluid to a control tank), a pneumatic valve (the actuator which controls the flow varying between 0 % and 100 %), a control tank (where this liquid is controlled), and also the level sensor. The Fig. 2 presents a simplified schematic diagram of the aforementioned components.

From the clearly illustrated figure above, the pump is responsible for transporting the fluid from the storage tank to the control tank, passing through the pneumatic valve. Also, at the control tank outlet, there is a manual valve that simulates the liquid consumption, returning it to the storage tank and consequently ending the cycle.

In addition, Fig. 3 presents a wider illustration of level tank system communication from the computer to the plant, which consequently communicates to its instruments.
The Matlab software runs at the computer, which communicates to the level plant through the OPC (OLE for Process Control) protocol via LC700 PLC (Programmable Logic Controller), coupled at the plant. The PLC, in turn, communicates to the plant instruments via HART (Highway Addressable Remote Transducer)/4-20mA protocol. It is important to highlight that the controlled variable in the Matlab environment is an after processing signal variable, run before reach the computer.

2.2 System Identification Methods

Firstly, the open loop process identification was carried out, which considers a direct relationship between the system flow in and out.

After the data gathering, 3 identification methods were used: Bröida, Smith and Vitečková. Figure 4 shows the respective curves obtained. Also, through the Integral of the Square Error (ISE) index, Table 1 is presented.

Comparing the results obtained in Table 1, it is noted that the smallest error presented was from Smith’s identification method. Therefore, the entire development of the work based on the transfer function shown in (2).

\[
G(s) = \frac{K}{\tau s + 1} e^{-Ls} \quad (1)
\]

\[
= \frac{90.31}{133.9s + 1} e^{-3.5s} \quad (2)
\]

where \(K\) is the static gain, \(\tau\) is the time constant and, \(L\) is the transport delay.

3 Tuning of Classic Controllers

The control theory is increasingly linked to the computing area, which implies a frequent evolution in control systems for its expansion in the most diverse sectors of the industry. However, despite this growth, many projects are still operating with PID controllers due to their robustness and easy implementation [14]. This, in turn, presents the selection of tuning parameters as the main problem.

The tuning of a controller consists of selecting appropriate values that reach the conditions which will be submitted, which vary according to the type of controller desired and directly depend on the previously
defined specifications, such as time constants, stabilization time and overshoots.
In some cases where the actuator remains saturated for a long period, the integral term generates undesired overshoots, which can make it unreliable in the industry field.
At this work, it is presented one AWT applied to 5 different types of controllers: Ziegler-Nichols [15], Cohen-Coon [16], Haalman [17] e CHR with 0% e 20% of overshoot [18].

4 Controller Topology with the Anti-Windup Technique

The AWT used in this work was selected as being the most effective topology according to the research carried out in work [1], illustrated in Fig. 5.

The AWT topology above has a saturation non-linearity present before the actuator signal in order to create a differential between the control signal and the system output. The device only works when there is saturation, that is, if there is no non-linearity in the actuator, the PI control normally occurs in the system.

The block diagram presented in Fig. 6 was built in Simulink, where “kp” and “ki” are, respectively, the proportional and integral controller gains used, and “ks” is the constant that multiplies the error from saturation, attributed with 1/√τ. This value was the same in all experiments, because it can be related to the system dynamics characteristics, not directly to the controller gains [19, 20].

5 Experimental Results

The experimental results are divided into 2 parts, differing only in the setpoint shapes: Section 5.1 shows the results with only 1 setpoint change using 5 controllers; Section 5.2 presents only the 2 best performance controllers of Section 5.2 but with 2 setpoints changes.

Also, the system transfer function presented in (2) is considered for all simulation tests.

5.1 Unique Setpoints

This section presents the experimental tests for the 5 controller tuning methods with only 1 SP change, at the beginning of the test (50% of amplitude). Then, Figs. 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 illustrate their respective performances. For comparison, they were plotted with and without the AWT presence.

From the curves obtained with and without AWTs, the ISE index between them and the input purely applied to the system was calculated (defined to be 50% of the tank level). The values found are presented in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PI Controllers</th>
<th>With AWT</th>
<th>Without AWT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ziegler-Nichols</td>
<td>1.6583 x 10⁶</td>
<td>4.0355 x 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen-Coon</td>
<td>1.6533 x 10⁶</td>
<td>2.8767 x 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haalman</td>
<td>2.4686 x 10⁶</td>
<td>1.9788 x 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHR 0%</td>
<td>1.7876 x 10⁶</td>
<td>1.8476 x 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHR 20%</td>
<td>1.6873 x 10⁶</td>
<td>1.9699 x 10⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2 Multiple Setpoints

In order to prove the effectiveness of the AWT technique concept, a variable SP was applied in the system, with 40% at the beginning of the test and 60% from 250 seconds, considering the two controllers with the best responses presented in Section 5.1.

Therefore, through a brief analysis made of Table 2, it is noticed that the lowest values are those obtained by the controllers of Ziegler-Nichols and Cohen-Coon. Thus, the results are illustrated in Figs. 12 and 13.

Analyzing these responses, the respective ISE indices are shown in Table 3.

6 Conclusions

Analyzing the results obtained in the Table 2, it can be concluded that the controllers of Ziegler-Nichols, Cohen-Coon, Chien, Hrones and Reswick with 0% and 20% overshoot showed less error with AWT when
compared to the system without it. With that, it is concluded the AWT topology used for these controllers became effective and useful.

On the other hand, the Haalman controller presented a greater error with the AWT, which can be considered inefficient for this application. However, it does not justify its use, since, although the error was greater with the AWT, there was no overshoot in the system, which can prolong the actuators lifespan. This behavior can also be seen in other controllers, differing only in the ISE index calculated in relation to the desired reference.

Regarding the settling time, it was observed that Ziegler-Nichols and Cohen-Coon controllers presented faster responses when compared to the others, thus being considered even more efficient. In the controllers of Haalman and Chien, Hrones and Reswick with 0% and 20% of overshoot, slower responses are noted, which makes them unfeasible depending on their possible application.

Starting from the analysis of the results from the two best controllers to the variable SP, it is possible to conclude through the Table 3, the Cohen-Coon controller proved to be the best one among those tested, presenting the lowest ISE index reported in Tables 2 and 3. Therefore, in view of the aforementioned facts, this controller became the most suitable presented here.

It is also necessary to highlight that it was difficult to maintain a similarity between the simulated and real responses, due to the sensitivity of the actuator present in the plant and another non-linearity intrinsic to the system.

### 6.1 Future Works

Having concluded the best controller for the AWT method in this work, it is also intended, for future applications, the implementation of others AWTs for more results analysis. It is also considered to apply a non-linear identification method for a better approximation, and consequently, other types of controller.
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