The Modified Möbius Function.

PETER G. BROWN University of New South Wales School of Mathematics and Statistics Sydney AUSTRALIA peter@unsw.edu.au

Abstract: The Möbius function $\mu(n)$ arises naturally in Number Theory when one inverts the classical Riemann Zeta function.

In my paper *Modifying Möbius* [1], I modified the classical Möbius function and produced a number of interesting results such as

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{\Omega(n)}}{n^2}\right|^2 = \frac{\pi^4}{105},$$

where $\Omega(n)$ counts, with multiplicity, the number of prime factors of n, and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+i)^{\omega(n)}}{n^2} \bigg|^2 = \frac{35}{12},$$

where $\omega(n)$ counts the number of distinct prime factors of n.

In this paper, I present some further arithmetic and analytic results based on these ideas.

Key-Words: Möbius function, arithmetic functions, Riemann-Zeta function.

1 Introduction

Write, once and for all, $n = \prod_{j}^{\prime} p_{j}^{\alpha_{j}}$ as the canonical

prime factorisation of a positive integer n > 1. For a given n, this defines the numbers r, α_j and primes p_j . The classical Möbius function, $\mu(n)$, is defined

by

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad n = 1\\ 0 & \text{if} \quad n \text{ has a square factor (except 1)}\\ (-1)^r & \text{if} \quad n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r \end{cases}$$

The modified Möbius function, $\mu_1(n)$, is defined exactly as above, except that the number -1 is replaced by *i*. That is:

$$\mu_1(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad n = 1\\ 0 & \text{if} \quad n \text{ has a square factor (except 1)}\\ i^r & \text{if} \quad n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that $\mu_1(n)$ is multiplicative, but not completely multiplicative.

As usual, for n > 1, we write $\omega(n)$ for the num-

ber r defined above, $\Omega(n)$ for $\sum_{j=1}^{r} \alpha_j$ and set $\omega(1) = \Omega(1) = 0$.

We define the arithmetic functions:

• u(n) = 1 for all n, • $I(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Also, as usual we define the *Dirichlet Product*, f * g of two arithmetic functions, f, g by

$$(f * g)(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)g\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) = \sum_{d|n} f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)g(d).$$

The function g is called the *Dirichlet Inverse* of f if f * g = I.

Since $\mu_1(n)^2 = \mu(n)$, it immediately follows from the well-known result for μ that

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu_1^2(d) = 0.$$

Note also that $\mu(n)\mu_1(n) = \overline{\mu_1(n)}$.

2 Arithmetic Properties of μ_1 .

Theorem 1 For n > 1,

$$\sum_{d|n} \mu_1(d) = (1+i)^{\omega(n)}.$$

Proof: See [1], p. 245.

The function $h(n) = (1+i)^{\omega(n)}$ will arise in several places further in this paper. The Dirichlet inverses of μ_1 and of h are easy to compute.

Lemma 1 a. The Dirichlet inverse for μ_1 is given by

$$\mu_1^{-1}(n) = (-i)^{\Omega(n)};$$

b. With h(n) defined above, the Dirichlet inverse, $h^{-1}(n)$ is given by

$$h^{-1}(n) = (1-i)^{\omega(n)}(-i)^{\Omega(n)} = \overline{h(n)}\mu_1^{-1}(n).$$

Proof: a. Since μ_1 and its supposed inverse are multiplicative, it suffices to show that $\sum_{d|n} \mu_1\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) (-i)^{\Omega(d)}$

is zero when $n = p^{\alpha}$, for p a prime and $\alpha > 0$ and 1 when n = 1. This is an easy exercise.

b. Since h and its supposed inverse are multiplicative, it suffices to show that

 $\sum_{d|n} h\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) (1-i)^{\omega(d)} (-i)^{\Omega(d)} \text{ is zero when } n = p^{\alpha},$

for p a prime and $\alpha > 0$ and 1 when n = 1. This is an easy exercise. \Box

2.1 Analogs of the Arithmetic Functions:

The arithmetic functions,

$$\tau(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1, \qquad \sigma(n) = \sum_{d|n} d, \qquad \phi(n) = \sum_{(d,n)=1} 1$$

are all intimately connected with the Möbius function. Hence, we would expect the modified Möbius function to produce a new suite of analogous arithmetic functions.

2.1.1 Analogs of u and τ .

Since $u = \mu^{-1}$, we define $u_1 = \mu_1^{-1} = (-i)^{\Omega}$ and note that $|u_1(n)| = u(n)$.

Also, since $\tau = u * u$, we define $\tau_1 = \mu_1^{-1} * \mu_1^{-1}$, then, since τ_1 is multiplicative, and

$$\tau_1(p^{\alpha}) = \sum_{d|p^{\alpha}} \mu_1^{-1}(d) \mu_1^{-1}\left(\frac{p^{\alpha}}{d}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{d|p^{\alpha}} (-i)^{\Omega(d) + \Omega(\frac{p^{\alpha}}{d})} = (\alpha + 1)(-i)^{\alpha},$$

we have

_

$$\tau_1(n) = \prod_j (\alpha_j + 1)(-i)^{\alpha_j} = (-i)^{\Omega(n)} \tau(n).$$

Thus $|\tau_1(n)| = \tau(n)$.

In consequence of our definition, we have $\tau_1 * \mu_1 = (-i)^{\Omega} = u_1$.

2.1.2 Analogs of N and σ .

We replace the (completely multiplicative) function N, defined by N(n) = n, by $N_1(n) = (-i)^{\Omega(n)}n$. Since $\sigma = N * u$, we define $\sigma_1 = N_1 * u_1$. Since σ_1 is multiplicative, and

$$\sigma_1(p^{\alpha}) = \sum_{d|p^{\alpha}} N_1(d) \mu_1^{-1} \left(\frac{p^{\alpha}}{d}\right) = \sum_{d|p^{\alpha}} d(-i)^{\Omega(d) + \Omega(\frac{p^{\alpha}}{d})}$$
$$= (-i)^{\alpha} (1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^{\alpha}) = (-i)^{\alpha} \left(\frac{p^{\alpha+1} - 1}{p - 1}\right),$$

we have

$$\sigma_1(n) = \prod_j (-i)^{\alpha_j} \left(\frac{p_j^{\alpha_j+1} - 1}{p_j - 1} \right) = (-i)^{\Omega(n)} \sigma(n).$$

Note again that $|\sigma_1(n)| = \sigma(n)$.

In consequence of our definition, we have $\sigma_1 * \mu_1 = N_1$.

2.1.3 Analog of ϕ .

Since $\phi = N * \mu$, we define $\phi_1 = N_1 * \mu_1$. Since ϕ_1 is multiplicative, and

$$\phi_1(p^{\alpha}) = \sum_{d|p^{\alpha}} N_1(d)\mu_1\left(\frac{p^{\alpha}}{d}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{d|p^{\alpha}} \mu_1(1) N_1(p^{\alpha}) + \mu_1(p) N_1(p^{\alpha-1})$$
$$= (-i)^{\alpha} p^{\alpha} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right),$$

we have

$$\phi_1(n) = n \prod_j (-i)^{\alpha_j} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_j} \right)$$
$$= (-i)^{\Omega(n)} \phi(n).$$

Note again that $|\phi_1(n)| = \phi(n)$.

2.1.4 Analog of λ .

The classical Liouville function λ is defined by

$$\lambda(n) = (-1)^{\Omega(n)}.$$

It is not immediately clear what the *correct* analogue should be. In order to obtain results analogous to the classical results, it seemed best to define:

$$\lambda_1(n) = i^r \qquad \text{where } n = M^2(p_1 p_2 \dots p_r).$$

When n is square, we take $\lambda(n) = 1$. It is immediately clear that λ_1 is multiplicative.

Theorem 2 For $n \ge 1$,

$$\lambda_1(n) = \sum_{d^2|n} \mu_1\left(\frac{n}{d^2}\right).$$

Proof: It suffices to prove that these expressions agree at prime powers.

$$\sum_{d^2|n} \mu_1\left(\frac{n}{d^2}\right) = \mu_1(p^{\alpha}) + \mu_1(p^{\alpha-2}) + \dots$$

This is $\mu_1(1) = 1 = \lambda_1(p^{\alpha})$ in the case when α is even and $\mu_1(p) = i = \lambda_1(p^{\alpha})$ in the case when α is odd.

By analogy with the classical case, we have

Theorem 3 For $n \ge 1$,

$$(\lambda_1 * u_1)(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is a square} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Since the left-hand side is a multiplicative function, it again suffices to check the prime powers.

$$(\lambda_1 * u_1)(p^{\alpha}) = \sum_{d \mid p^{\alpha}} \lambda_1(d) u_1(\frac{p^{\alpha}}{d})$$

$$= \sum_{d^2|p^{\alpha}} \lambda_1(d^2) u_1(\frac{p^{\alpha}}{d^2}) + \sum_{\substack{d|p^{\alpha}\\d \text{ not square}}} \lambda_1(d) u_1(\frac{p^{\alpha}}{d}).$$

In the case that α is even, these sums become:

$$u_1(p^{\alpha}) + u_1(p^{\alpha-2}) + \dots$$
$$+ u_1(1) + \lambda_1(p)u_1(p^{\alpha-1}) + \lambda_1(p^3)u_1(p^{\alpha-3}) + \dots$$
$$+ \lambda_1(p^{\alpha-1})u_1(p)$$
$$= 1.$$

since, when evaluated, all the terms cancel except u(1) = 1. Similarly, the case α odd gives a sum of zero.

Theorem 4 For n > 1,

$$\sum_{d|n} \lambda_1(d) i^{\Omega(d)} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } n \text{ is a square} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: This is simply checked by again considering the sum at prime powers. \Box

2.2 Möbius Inversion Analog:

The analog of Möbius inversion is provided by:

Theorem 5 Suppose the arithmetic functions F and f are connected by

$$F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)(-i)^{\Omega(\frac{n}{d})},$$

then for n > 1,

$$f(n) = (F * \mu_1)(n)$$
, that is,

$$f(n) = \sum_{d|n} F(\frac{n}{d})\mu_1(d) = \sum_{d|n} F(d)\mu_1\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

Proof: Since $F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)(-i)^{\Omega(\frac{n}{d})}$, can be written as $F = f * u_1$, we have

$$F * \mu_1 = (f * u) * \mu_1 = f * (u * \mu_1) = f.$$

Translating back, the result follows.

2.3 Connections with the classical Arithmetic Functions.

There are many relations between these analogs and the classical functions. Most of these are just exercises, so I have only included a small number of the more important connections.

Theorem 6 Suppose the arithmetic functions F and *f* are connected by

$$F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d),$$

then for n > 1,

$$(F * \mu_1)(n) = (f * h)(n), \text{ that is,}$$
$$\sum_{d|n} F(\frac{n}{d})\mu_1(d) = \sum_{d|n} f(\frac{n}{d})h(d).$$

Proof: We can write Theorem 1 as $u * \mu_1(n) = (1+i)^{\omega(n)} = h(n).$ Also, $F(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)$, can be written as F = f * u

and so

$$F * \mu_1 = (f * u) * \mu_1 = f * (u * \mu_1) = f * h.$$

Translating back, the result follows.

Theorem 7 For n > 1,

$$\mu_1(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(\frac{n}{d})h(d) = (\mu * h)(n).$$

Proof:

Use ordinary Möbius inversion on the result of Theorem 1.

Theorem 8 For n > 1,

$$(\mu_1 * \tau)(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu_1(d)\tau(\frac{n}{d}) = \sum_{d|n} (1+i)^{\omega(d)}$$
$$= \sum_{d|n} h(d).$$

Proof: This follows from a direct application of Theorem 6 П

Theorem 9 For n > 1,

$$\sum_{d|n} \frac{\mu_1(d)}{d} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{n|d} \phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) (1+i)^{\omega(d)} = \frac{1}{n} (\phi * h)(n)$$
$$= \prod_k \left(1 + \frac{i}{p_k}\right).$$

Proof: From the well-known result, $\sum_{d|n} \phi(d) = n$, a direct application of Theorem 6 yields,

$$\sum_{d|n} \frac{n}{d} \mu_1(d) = \sum_{n|d} \phi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) (1+i)^{\omega(d)}.$$

The second equality can be obtained easily by evaluating the first sum at prime powers.

3 Analytic Theorems regarding μ_1 .

We now consider the partial sums related to μ_1 and try to obtain some preliminary asymptotics.

Theorem 10 For x > 1

$$\sum_{n \le x} \mu_1(n) \left[\frac{x}{n} \right] = \sum_{n \le x} (1+i)^{\omega(n)} = \sum_{n \le x} h(n).$$

Proof:

$$\sum_{n \le x} \mu_1(n) \left[\frac{x}{n} \right] = \sum_{n \le x} \mu_1(n) \sum_{j \le \frac{x}{n}} 1 = \sum_{n \le x} \sum_{d|n} \mu_1(d)$$
$$= \sum_{n \le x} (1+i)^{\omega(n)}$$

from Theorem 1.

(Note: The penultimate equality uses the socalled *sum-divisor identity*:

$$\operatorname{viz}\sum_{n\leq x}g(n)\sum_{j\leq \frac{x}{n}}f(nj)=\sum_{n\leq x}f(n)\sum_{d\mid n}g(d).)$$

The following result gives the Dirichlet series for h(n).

Corollary For x > 1,

$$\sum_{n \le x} \mu_1(n) \left[\frac{x}{n} \right]^2 = \frac{6x^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h(n)}{n^2} + O(x \log x).$$

Proof:

$$\sum_{n \le x} \mu_1(n) \left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^2 = \sum_{n \le x} \mu_1(n) \left(\frac{x}{n} + O(1)\right)^2$$
$$= \sum_{n \le x} \mu_1(n) \frac{x^2}{n^2} + O\left(\sum_{n \le x} \frac{x}{n}\right)$$
$$= x^2 \sum_{n \le x} \frac{\mu_1(n)}{n^2} + O\left(x \sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{n}\right)$$
$$= \frac{6x^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+i)^{\omega(n)}}{n^2} + O(x \log x)$$

using the previous theorem and standard asymptotic results. **Theorem 11** For x > 1,

$$\left|\sum_{n \le x} h(n)\right| = O(x \log x).$$

Proof: From Theorem 10,

$$\left|\sum_{n \le x} (1+i)^{\omega(n)}\right| = \left|\sum_{n \le x} \mu_1(n) \left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right| \le \sum_{n \le x} \left[\frac{x}{n}\right]$$
$$\le x \sum_{n \le x} \frac{1}{n} = O(x \log x).$$

Note: It is not clear that this is the best estimate. Despite this, numerical evidence suggests that the result of Theorem 11 has an implied constant about 0.2.

3.2 Series involving λ_1

Using Theorem 3 and the fact that $\sum_{n \le x} \sum_{d|n} f(d) = \sum_{n \le x} f(n) \lfloor \frac{x}{n} \rfloor$ for any arithmetic function f and for

x > 0, we can prove:

Theorem 12 For x > 4

$$\left|\sum_{n \le x} \frac{\lambda_1(n) i^{\Omega(n)}}{n}\right| \le \frac{3}{2}.$$

Proof: Applying the result above, we have

$$\sum_{n \le x} \lambda_1(n) i^{\Omega(n)} \lfloor \frac{x}{n} \rfloor = \sum_{n \le x} \sum_{d \mid n} \lambda_1(n) i^{\Omega(n)}.$$

By Theorem 3, the inner sum is zero whenever n is not a square and -1 when it is, so we can replace the double sum by $-\lceil \sqrt{x} \rceil$. Also, writing $\lfloor \frac{x}{n} \rfloor$ as $\frac{x}{n} - \left\{ \frac{x}{n} \right\}$ and re-arranging we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} x \sum_{n \le x} \frac{\lambda_1(n) i^{\Omega(n)}}{n} \end{vmatrix} = \left| \sum_{n \le x} \lambda_1(n) i^{\Omega(n)} \left\{ \frac{x}{n} \right\} - \lceil \sqrt{x} \rceil \right| \\ \le x + \lceil \sqrt{x} \rceil \le \frac{3}{2}x \end{vmatrix}$$

since for $x \ge 4, \sqrt{x} \le \frac{1}{2}x$. Dividing by x gives the result. \Box

4 The sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_1(n)}{n^s}$ and the analog of the Zeta Function.

Suppose s > 1.

We define the *modified Zeta function*, $\zeta_1(s)$, by:

$$\zeta_1(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_1^{-1}(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{\Omega(n)}}{n^s}, \text{ for } s > 1,$$

with Euler product
$$\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{ip^s}\right)^{-1}.$$

Also,
$$\frac{1}{\zeta_1(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_1(n)}{n^s}.$$

These series and products only converge absolutely for s > 1.

The following result is useful for numerical calculations

Lemma

For s > 1,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_1(n)}{n^s} = (1 - 2^s i) \sum_{n \equiv 2 \mod 4} \frac{\mu_1(n)}{n^s}.$$

Proof: We note that for k odd, $\mu_1(2k) = i\mu_1(k)$ and that by definition $\mu_1(4k) = 0$. Splitting the series according as n is even or odd, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_1(n)}{n^s} = \sum_{\substack{n=1\\neven}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_1(n)}{n^s} + \sum_{\substack{n=1\\nodd}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_1(n)}{n^s}$$
$$= \left\{ \frac{\mu_1(1)}{1^s} + \frac{\mu_1(3)}{3^s} + \frac{\mu_1(5)}{5^s} + \dots \right\}$$
$$+ \left\{ \frac{\mu_1(2)}{2^s} + \frac{\mu_1(4)}{4^s} + \frac{\mu_1(6)}{6^s} + \dots \right\}$$
$$= \frac{2^s}{i} \left\{ \frac{\mu_1(2)}{2^s} + \frac{\mu_1(6)}{6^s} + \frac{\mu_1(10)}{10^s} + \dots \right\}$$
$$+ \left\{ \frac{\mu_1(2)}{2^s} + \frac{\mu_1(6)}{6^s} + \frac{\mu_1(10)}{10^s} \dots \right\}$$
$$= (1 - 2^s i) \sum_{n \equiv 2 \bmod 4} \frac{\mu_1(n)}{n^s}.$$

Using exactly the same idea, given **any** prime p, we can split the sums into terms with $n \equiv 1, 2, 3, ..., p \mod p$ and deduce that for s > 1

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_1(n)}{n^s} = (1 - p^s i) \sum_{\substack{n \equiv 0 \mod p \\ n > 0}} \frac{\mu_1(n)}{n^s}.$$

4.1 Dirichlet Series for h(s).

Theorem 13 *For* s > 1,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h(n)}{n^s} = \zeta(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu_1(n)}{n^s}.$$

Proof: See [1], p. 247.

Theorem 13 can now be written as

$$\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h(n)}{n^s}\right|^2 = \frac{\zeta^2(s)\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(4s)},$$

for s > 1.

For s > 1, we can split the series into even and odd values of n and a simple re-arrangement leads to the identity

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h(n)}{n^s} = \left(\frac{2^s+i}{2^s-1}\right) \sum_{\substack{n \ge 1\\ n \text{ odd}}} \frac{h(n)}{n^s}$$

valid for s > 1.

More generally, if p is prime, we can write

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h(n)}{n^s} = \left(\frac{p^s+i}{p^s-1}\right) \sum_{\substack{n \ge 1\\ n \not\equiv 0 \mod p}} \frac{h(n)}{n^s},$$

valid for s > 1. From this we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h(n)}{n^s} = \left(\frac{p^s+1}{1+i}\right) \sum_{\substack{n \ge 1 \\ n \equiv 0 \mod p}} \frac{h(n)}{n^s},$$

valid for s > 1.

Note: The Dirichlet series for $\frac{\zeta_1(2s)}{\zeta_1(s)}$ does not appear to be analogous to the classical $\frac{\zeta(2s)}{\zeta(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda(n)}{n^s}$.¹

Corollary

For
$$s > 1$$
,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-i)^{\omega(n)}(-i)^{\Omega(n)}}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta(4s)}{\zeta(2s)\zeta(s)}.$$

¹My Vacation Scholar, Mr. Trent Merbach, discovered and proved the following result: Writing each integer n uniquely in the form $n = N^2 M$, with M square-free, we have, for s > 1,

$$\frac{\zeta_1(2s)}{\zeta_1(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{i^{\Omega(M)}(-i)^{\Omega(N)}}{n^s}$$

Using the well-known formula for $\zeta(2t)$, t an integer, we also have

Corollary

$$|\zeta_1(t)|^2 = \frac{(-1)^t (2\pi)^{2t} (2t)!}{(4t)!} \frac{B_{4t}}{B_{2t}}$$

where t is an integer ≥ 1 , and B_t are the Bernoulli numbers.²

Corollary

П

For t an integer greater than 1, $\left|\frac{\zeta_1^2(t)}{\zeta_1(2t)}\right|^2$ is rational.

4.2 Dirichlet series for modified arithmetic functions.

Since $\sigma_1(n) = (-i)^{\Omega(n)} \sigma(n)$, we can use the standard Euler product method to find the Dirichlet series for $\sigma_1(n)$ in terms of the modified Zeta function as follows.

Theorem 14 For s > 2,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^s} = \zeta_1(s-1)\zeta_1(s).$$

Proof:

$$\begin{split} &\prod_{p} 1 + \frac{\sigma_{1}(p)}{p^{s}} + \frac{\sigma_{1}(p^{2})}{p^{2s}} + \dots \\ &= \prod_{p} 1 - i\frac{\sigma(p)}{p^{s}} - \frac{\sigma(p^{2})}{p^{2s}} + i\frac{\sigma(p^{2})}{p^{2s}} + \dots \\ &= \prod_{p} \frac{p-1}{p-1} - \frac{i}{p^{s}} \left(\frac{p^{2}-1}{p-1}\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{p^{2s}} \left(\frac{p^{3}-1}{p-1}\right) + \frac{i}{p^{3s}} \left(\frac{p^{4}-1}{p-1}\right) + \dots \\ &= \prod_{p} \frac{1}{p-1} \left[p - \frac{i}{p^{s-2}} - \frac{1}{p^{2s-3}} + \frac{i}{p^{3s-4}} + \dots\right] \\ &- \frac{1}{p-1} \left[1 - \frac{i}{p^{s}} - \frac{1}{p^{2s}} + \frac{i}{p^{3s}} + \dots\right] \\ &= \prod_{p} \frac{1}{p-1} \left[\frac{p}{1+ip^{1-s}} - \frac{1}{1+ip^{-s}}\right] \\ &= \prod_{p} \frac{1}{(1+ip^{1-s})(1+ip^{-s})} = \zeta_{1}(s-1)\zeta_{1}(s). \end{split}$$

A similar calculation gives

²There are several (inconsistent) definitions of these. Here they are defined by the power series $\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n t^n}{n!}$.

Theorem 15 For s > 2,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_1(n)}{n^s} = \frac{\zeta_1(s-1)}{\zeta_1(s)}.$$

Hence
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n^s} = \zeta_1^2(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_1(n)}{n^s}.$$

Theorem 16 *For* s > 1,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_1(n)}{n^s} = \zeta_1^2(s).$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1^2(s) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{\Omega(n)}}{n^2} \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^{\Omega(n)}}{n^2} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{d|n} (-i)^{\Omega(d)} \cdot (-i)^{\Omega(\frac{n}{d})} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \sum_{d|n} (-i)^{\Omega(n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} (-i)^{\Omega(n)} \tau(n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau_1(n)}{n^s}. \end{aligned}$$

References:

- [1] Brown, P.G., *Modifying Möbius*, The Australian Mathematical Society Gazette, Vol 37, No.4, 2010, pp. 244-249.
- [2] Jameson, G.J.O. *The Prime Number Theorem*, 2003.
- [3] McCarthy, P.J. Introduction to Arithmetical Functions, 1986.