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Abstract: Telecommunication industry requires high capacity networks with high data rates which are achieved through the usage of Multiple-Input-Multiple-Output (MIMO) communication with Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (OFDM) system over wireless communications without requiring highly complex transmit/receive systems. Still, the communication channel suffers from noise, interference or distortion due to hardware design limitations, and channel environment. To combat these challenges, achieve enhanced system performance and improve the spectrum efficiency of the communication system various error control techniques are utilized to enable the receive side to detect any possible errors in the received signal and at the same time correct it and thus; for a certain transmitted signal power the system would have lower Bit Error Rate (BER). The proposed research focuses on Redundant Residue Number System (RRNS) coding as a Forward Error Correction (FEC) scheme that improves the performance of MIMO-OFDM based wireless communications in comparison with current utilized methods as Low-Density Parity Check (LDPC) coders at transmitter side or equalizers at receiver side. The system Bit Error Rate (BER) performance is measured over different simulated channel conditions using MATLAB tool, including the effect of Additive White Gaussian Noise (AWGN), signal amplitude reduction and multipath delay spreading. Simulation results had shown that RRNS coding scheme provides an enhancement in system performance over conventional error detection and correction coding schemes by utilizing the distinct features of Residue Number System (RNS).
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1 Introduction
Multiple-Input-Multiple-output (MIMO) wireless technology with Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplexing (MIMO-OFDM) scheme provide an attractive wireless communication solution for future wireless networks through providing high-data-rate wireless access at high quality of service (QoS) taking into accounts that the spectrum is a rare resource element and presence of difficult propagation conditions due to existence of fading and interference from other users. [1]

MIMO wireless technology from its side provides enhanced spectrum efficiency through spatial multiplexing gain, and improved communication link reliability due to transmit system diversity gain [2]. At the same time OFDM distribute data over multiple numbers of closely spaced orthogonal carriers providing higher spectral efficiency by spacing the channels closer together without fearing from harmful effect of inter-carrier interference as carriers are orthogonal to each other.

As, wireless digital networks are prone to bit errors during transmission, error detection and correction techniques are implemented to reduce bit-error effects and ensure receiver eventually is able to retrieve the correct packet of information. A proposal for error detection and correction coding scheme using RRNS, where it had showed an enhanced system performance over conventional error correction schemes, for MIMO-OFDM based wireless communication system.

The paper starts with a background on FEC techniques as seen in section 2, then in section 3 the Residue Number System and redundancy features and applications are given, followed in section 4 by illustration for RRNS error detection and correction implementation. In section 5, the overall system architecture is provided, and in section 6 methods of system evaluation are given. In section 7 simulation results are provided to analyse the system performance and finally the conclusion is given in section 8.
2. Forward Error Correction Techniques

Forward Error Correction (FEC) are methods which are used to enhance the channel capacity through adding redundant data to the message in such a way that it can be recovered at the receiving side even if there are a number of errors introduced during the transmission process. This redundant data allows the receiver to detect and correct errors and don’t require to retransmit the message again and don’t need any handshaking process between Transmit/Receive systems. [3]

The FEC scheme provides great advantage in noisy channels where a large number of retransmissions would be required before a packet is received free of errors. It is also used in cases where no feedback exists between the receiver and the transmitter.

The encoded message could be systematic coded if portion of the output is directly resembling the input or non-systematic coded if the output is a modified form of the original information through shuffling original message symbols across several code using an inter-leaver to improve the performance of FEC codes, and thus the errors would have a more uniform distribution form. [4]

The coding technique used in FEC schemes could be categorized to Block Error correction codes, and Convolutional Error correction codes. The first ones are as Hamming, BCH, Reed-Solomon, and turbo codes, while the second ones are as Viterbi, and Low Density Parity Check Code. [5, 6]

3. Residue Number System Review

The RNS provide a representation of large integers through set of smaller ones, such that arithmetic computation performed in an efficient matter.

The Residue numbers has unique features, as it is a carry-free arithmetic, which implies its ability to perform the operations related to the individual residue digits of different moduli independently. As well as the residue representations carry no weight-information and hence an error in any digit-position in a given representation does not affect other digit-positions [7].

The RNS is defined through selecting v positive pair-wise relative primes mi (i = 1, 2, 3 ... v) referred to as moduli, such that any integer N, describing a message, is represented by the sequence (r1, r2 ...rv) in the range 0<N<Mi in a unique matter, where:

\[ r_i = N \mod m_i; \quad (1) \]

Where; ri least positive remainder when N is divided by modulus mi

\[ M_i = \prod m_i; \text{ symbols' dynamic range.} \quad (2) \]

Then; to be able to recover symbols, two approaches are available; either through the Chinese Reminder Theorem (CRT) which is a parallel implementation scheme or Mixed Radix Conversion (MRC) algorithm that is an inherently sequential approach. In the coming subsection a description of both methods are provided.

3.1 Chinese Remainder Theorem Method

The method relies on a mathematical idea that was given in the 4th century AD in China [8 – 10]. For any given v-tuple (r1, r2...rv) such that 0≤ri<mi; there exists one and only one integer N such that 0 ≤ N < Mi and ri = N (mod mi) that allow us to recover the message. The numerical value of N can be computed according to the equation:

\[ N = \sum r_i T_i M_i \text{ (mod M)}; \quad \text{for i: } 1 \text{ to } n \quad (3) \]

Where:

\[ i = 1 \text{ to } v; \quad M_i = M/m_i; \quad M \text{ is dynamic range} \]

\[ T_i = M_i^{-1}; \quad \text{inverse value of } M_i; \quad (4) \]

Where:

\[ |M, x M_i^{-1}|_{mi} = 1 \]

3.2 Mixed Radix Conversion Method

For a given set of pair-wise relatively prime moduli \( \{m_1, ..., m_n\} \) and a residue state \( \{r_1, r_2, ..., r_v\} \) of a number X, that number can be uniquely represented in mixed-radix form as seen in next [11]:

\[ X = \{z_1, z_2, ..., z_n\} \quad (5) \]

And;

\[ X=z_1 + z_2 m_1 + z_3 m_2 m_1 + ... + z_n m_{n-1} m_{n-2} ... m_1; \quad (6) \]

So, all what is required is to obtain the value of zi to determine X. Where each value of z is represented as function of the moduli and residue representations as seen in table (1);

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>zi</td>
<td>( \frac{r_i}{n-1} \mod n_2 (r_2 \cdot z_i) \cdot n_2 \mod n_3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z3</td>
<td>( \frac{(r_2 \cdot z_i + z_3)}{n_3 \mod n_3} \cdot n_3 \mod n_4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z4</td>
<td>( \frac{(r_3 \cdot z_i + z_4)}{n_4 \mod n_4} \cdot n_4 \mod n_5 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z5</td>
<td>( \frac{(r_4 \cdot z_i + z_5)}{n_5 \mod n_5} \cdot n_5 \mod n_6 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As seen in above table the MRC is considered a sequential process, where obtaining zi requires generating zi+1 first.
4. Proposed Error Detection and Correction Algorithm

Error detection and correction scheme in this paper is proposed using set of RNS moduli as information symbols and additional RNS moduli as redundancy symbols, which is addressed as Redundant Residue Number System (RRNS).

In this scheme each redundant moduli is selected to be greater than any of the other chosen moduli set and don’t play any role in determining the system dynamic range. So, an RRNS is obtained by appending an additional \((u - v)\) number of moduli \(m_{v+1}; m_{v+2}; \ldots; m_u\), where \(m_{v+j} \geq \max\{m_1; m_2; \ldots; m_v\}\) is referred to as a redundant modulus, to the previously introduced RNS, in order to form an RRNS of \(u\) positive, pairwise relative prime moduli. \([12, 13]\)

Now an integer \(N\) in the range \([0;M]\) is represented as a \(u\)-tuple residue sequence, \((r_1; r_2; \ldots; r_u)\) with respect to the \(u\) moduli. The properties of the RNS indicated in section 3 and specially the property of independence of digits allow recovering the integer \(N\) by any \(v\) out of \(u\) residue digits using their related moduli, and thus enable the redundant residue number to be used for self-checking, error-detection and correction in digital processors as seen in Fig (1). Furthermore, the RRNS approach is the only one that is capable of using the same arithmetic module for generating both the original information part and the parity part of a RRNS code word \([7]\).

5. System Model

The communication system, as shown in Fig (2) is initialized with a binary data random source, which is converted to residue system and protected from errors by adding parity residue symbols using the RRNS encoding algorithm instead of the DVB-S2 LDPC encoder, then the packet is modulated, coded through the Space-Time Block Coding (STBC) encoder, passed to a Serial-To-Parallel (S/P) converter for parallel transmission and then passed through an IFFT block then to the transmission antenna.

6. Evaluation Methods

The performance of the RRNS-based MIMO-OFDM system is evaluated through the parameters defined and presented in this section.

6.1 Bit Error Rate (BER)

The probability of error for MPSK modulated transmission in AWGN is given by:

\[
P_{\text{ERR}} = \frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\min\left\{\frac{2k-1}{M}\right\}} Q\left(\sqrt{2\rho x \sin \left(\frac{(2k-1)\pi}{M}\right)}\right) \quad (7)
\]

\[
\gamma = \max\{\log_2 M, 2\} \quad (8)
\]

Where;

\(M\) is the constellation size,
\(\rho\) is the SNR per symbol
\(x\) is a chi-square distributed random variable
6.2 PAPR Evaluation Method
The performance is evaluated by measuring the Peak-to-Average Power Ratio (PAPR) of the signal, \( x(t) \) [17], as seen in equation (9);

\[
PAPR = 10 \log \left( \frac{\text{max}|x_k|^2}{E[|x_k|^2]} \right), \text{ in dB.} \quad (9)
\]

Where;
\( E = \) Expectation operator

6.3 Channel Capacity
Another way to characterize the performance of MIMO channel is the Shannon channel capacity metric. Shannon in [18] defined capacity as the maximum data rate a channel can support at an arbitrarily low error probability. The capacity of MIMO system is given in equation (10) as;

\[
C = \log_2 \left( \det(I_n + \frac{\rho}{N} H H^H) \right) \quad (10)
\]

Where;
\( I_n : n \times n \) identity matrix
\( N : \) The number of transmit antennas
\( H^H : \) Complex conjugate transpose of \( H \)

7. Simulation Results
The proposed system performance was investigated using MATLAB tool, which involves the transmission of data streams through a FEC coding scheme whose integrity depends on OFDM with 512-ary QAM and Cyclic Prefix (CP):1/8, over different fading channels.

The examination is focused on the utilization of either equalizers or coding schemes as an error correction techniques, and analyzing the system performance of such techniques with that using RNS with redundant moduli’s as an error detection and correction algorithm.

The MIMO-OFDM system performance is studied through measuring the BER and PAPR over AWGN, Rayleigh and Rician Lognormal (RLN) fading channel conditions.

7.1 Using Equalizers as Error Correction Scheme
The BER performance as seen in Figure (3), takes into account several types of equalizers for error correction is simulated, were we compare between linear equalizer object, a Decision Feedback Equalizer (DFE) object, Maximum Likelihood Sequence Estimation (MLSE) equalizer.

Fig.3. BER vs. Eb/No for different Equalizer techniques
From figure (3), we could see the enhanced performance of MLSE over DFE and linear equalizer, so next we will study the performance of MIMO-OFDM with RNS coding system when using or not an MLSE equalizer all over the RLN + AWGN channel, as seen in Fig (4).

Fig.4.a MIMO- RNS system with Equalizer
Fig.4.b MIMO- RNS system without Equalizer
From Figure (4), we could see that at SNR = 15, the BER for the communication system with error correction is \( 1 \times 10^{-3} \) while it reaches \( 5 \times 10^{-2} \) for the system without error correction.
7.2 BER performance with Current Coding Correction Schemes

The performance over AWGN channels for current error correction schemes that utilize coding approach seen in Fig.(5), shows Golay code (which is a Block Error correction type) provides the best error correction code compared to other codes.

![BER vs Eb/No with different error correction codes](image)

Fig.5. BER vs. Eb/N0 for current correction codes

The current generation of linear block codes uses LDPC coders which utilize high multiplexing capacity and are differentiated from Golay codes through the way they are decoded. So while binary Golay codes are decoded through algebraic methods, LDPC codes are iteratively decoded. Thus, in the next subsections analysis will be focused on LDPC coders.

7.3 Using Coding Techniques as Error correction scheme

Implementing an MIMO-OFDM communication system and comparing the system when converting the transmitted bits to residue coding (RNS-OFDM), and again when using LDPC algorithm as FEC Scheme (FEC-RNS-OFDM).

![BER vs Eb/No with different error correction codes](image)

Fig.6.a MIMO- RNS system with FEC coding (Rician Channel)

![BER vs Eb/No with different error correction codes](image)

Fig.6.a MIMO- RNS system with FEC coding (RLN Channel)

Where; we could see in Figure (6) the performance enhancement done going from OFDM to RNS-OFDM then implementing an FEC-LDPC scheme. Also, the effect over different channel (small and deep fading) has been analyzed.
7.4 Comparison between different Error correction techniques
After analyzing in previous subsections the utilization of equalizers and coding techniques as an error correction schemes; both techniques are compared over RLN + AWGN channel as seen in Fig (7).

![Fig.7. MIMO- RNS system with FEC schemes](image)

In Fig (7) above, it is shown that LDPC coding provide enhanced performance over MLSE equalizer as an error correction scheme especially for higher SNR.

7.5 BER Performance for RRNS as FEC scheme in MIMO-OFDM System.
The system performance is evaluated with respect to the proposed scheme that utilize RNS coding with redundant moduli’s for MIMO-OFDM system as an alternative error correction method. A redundant error correction using RNS is utilized where RNS moduli’s are \{3, 5, 7\}, and redundant set are \{11\}.

![Fig.8. MIMO-OFDM system performance](image)

From the above Fig (8), it is shown that error correction scheme with redundant RNS provide a comparable performance with that using LDPC scheme that is currently used in DVB-S2 systems.

7.6 BER for RNS vs. RRNS schemes in MIMO-OFDM System.
Using RNS moduli’s \{3, 5, 7, 11\}, and redundant set initially with moduli \{13\} then with redundant moduli’s \{13, 17\}, evaluating the system performance with and without redundant moduli’s as seen in Fig (9).

![Fig.9. RRNS vs. RNS Performance comparison](image)

From the above Fig (9), it is shown that for a SNR = 11db, an enhancement of the BER by 1 dB is seen when using single redundant moduli, and 3 dB when using two redundant moduli’s in-comparison to the system without redundant moduli’s.

7.7 PAPR performance for MIMO-OFDM System with RRNS as FEC
For a MIMO-OFDM system over an ITU LOS + AWGN fading model channel, the PAPR for the transmitted signal is as shown in Fig (10).

![Fig.10. PAPR measurement for MIMO-OFDM](image)

From the above Fig (10), it is shown that the system with RRNS has the minimum signal amplitude by about 20% compared with LDPC FEC scheme (FEC-RNS-OFDM) and better than the systems that don’t use FEC schemes.
7.8 Effect of Increasing RNS moduli on channel capacity

In Figure (11) a MIMO-OFDM system is analyzed over a RLN + AWGN channel for various RNS moduli sets.

![Fig.11. Channel Capacity for RNS moduli sets](image)

Where, we could see from Figure (11) that the increase of number of RNS moduli value leads to a decrease in channel capacity due to the increased amplitude representation, and thus it is better to select a low order moduli set to be able to enhance the system performance.

8. Conclusion

The utilization of RNS coding system with parallel distributed arithmetic and with no dependence between the different arithmetic blocks would simplify the overall design and reduces the complexity of the individual building blocks. The paper provides an error detection and correction scheme using RRNS. Where a four and then a six-length moduli set have been proposed; in the first time three out of the four were information moduli and one was a redundant moduli, and in the second the first four moduli set is the information moduli and the last two is the redundant moduli respectively. Thus, a one and again two redundant moduli’s were used for error detection and correction.

Through the performed simulations it was proven that this system provide less receiving system complexity and the straight forward error detection algorithm due to the absence of carry propagation between the arithmetic blocks, reduced dynamic power by about 20% due to the usage of small arithmetic units, and finally enhanced error detection and correction features, which improves as the redundant moduli increase taking benefit from the independent transmission feature; where an error in one sub-channel in RNS is not propagated into the other sub-channels and thus isolating the faulty residuals and as a consequence allow for fault tolerance and facilitate error detection and correction, but on the other hand this comes on the expense of reducing the available communication system channel capacity.
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