Study on Shunt State of Track Circuit Based on Transient Current
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Abstract: Track circuit is considered to be one of the means of train detection, its shunt state often misjudged due to the shunt malfunction. Aim at the influence of shunt malfunction on the shunt state of track circuit, a detection method for the shunt state of track circuit according to the transient current was proposed. And the time response of track circuit was obtained by the precise time-integration method. The truncation error is efficiently decreased by interlacing the voltage and current nodes along the x-axis. Taking the boundary conditions of track circuit in adjusting and shunting state, changes of the receiving end current was simulated. The results show that the precise time-integration is unconditional stability, which is an effective method for analysis of track circuit and the transient current when a train entering or clearing a track section, which can be regard as an important information for the shunt state of track circuit.
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1 Introduction

In railway signaling systems, the shunt state of track circuit is a track section occupied by train, which is detected frequently according to the electrical shunting detection principle [1]. When a train enters a track section, it creates a short-circuit by the shunt resistance that consists of the material resistance of the wheels and the contact resistance between wheel and rail, thus conducting the current from one rail to the other instead of flowing through the relay at the receiving end of the section (Fig.1). This shunts the relay sufficiently to cause it to release and indicate a train’s occupancy. However, in some sections, the shunt resistance goes too high due to rails become rusty or dirty, which making the signal amplitude at receiving end is greater than the drop value of the relay, and the signal fails to indicate the presence of the train in the section and gives false information advising approaching trains that the section is clear. This situation is called a shunt malfunction, which is the main cause of a fail to detect a train in a track section and can lead to serious adverse effect on railway transport safety and efficiency. And according to the statistical data released by Chinese railway department, there were about 28 thousand shunt malfunction happening in the Chinese railways [6]. The majority of this fault was caused by excessive shunt resistance[19]. So it’s necessary to study on shunt state of track circuit.

In order to against this phenomenon, although there has been numerous attempts to prevent shunting malfunction, such as use of the rail surface derusting machine or supersonic arc spraying equipment[2],[3], which requires periodically inspected and shorten rails utility longevity. In some of them[4],[5], train presence is detected by combining with the axle counter train detection technology or poor shunting early warning system, etc. These methods require the additional equipment, high cost; furthermore, the axle counter is vulnerable to the interference of external factors (the track state, weather, and other environmental conditions). Some other solutions use impulse track circuit, which needs to replace the equipment of track circuit, a large amount of construction [6],[7]. Summing up, all these methods, without a total eradication could be obtained, still needs to rely on artificial administration. As shunt malfunction have potential...
repercussion on signalling and traffic control, therefore, it is necessary to research on the detection method of shunt state of track circuit.

Based on the essence change of track circuit state is the abrupt change of characteristic impedance [8]. In other words, this is the process of the transient signal within track circuit. To realize reliable detection of the track circuit’s shunt state, a transient response analysis to the receiving end current signal is proposed in this paper. In order to analyze transient current of track circuit when a train enters a track section, a transient model of track circuit is established based on uniform transmission line theory. Up to now, the common approach for transient analysis of lossy transmission line is using numerical solution method, Such as Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), Numerical Inverse Laplace Transform (NILT) or Finite Difference Time Domain (FDTD), [9-12], etc. However, for coupled lines of track circuit, using FFT or NILT, which may be need a large number of frequency date points to ensure the accuracy of simulation results. And FDTD must ensure the stability of the algorithm. Ref.[13],[14] shows that the precise time-integration method is an efficient and accurate approach for the transient analysis of lossy transmission line, which not only improves the solution accuracy, but also the stability property of the integration algorithm. So, this method for analysis the current response of the shunt state of track circuit is proposed in this paper.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 1 gives an overall background and introduces the problem. Section 2 gives a description of track circuit transient model. Section 3 gives the calculation method for the transient current of track circuit based on the precise time-integration. Section 4 gives the simulation analysis. Finally, Section 5 gives the conclusion.

6 Track Circuit Transmission Line Model

In accordance with the characteristics of track circuit, when you analyze the transient response of the track circuit, the rail line is equivalent to uniform distributed parameter circuit, which composed of three wires includes two rails and the ground. The rail has a longitudinal impedance and mutual inductance, the ground as a conductor which has a large cross-section area and the impedance is zero.

Based on uniform transmission line theory, the rail line model is considered as distributed model that is made of numerous tiny units ∆x, the equivalent model of the per-unit as shown in Fig.2. Here $R_i$ is the rail resistance, $Ω/km$; $L_i$ is the rail inductance, $H/km$; $M_0$ is the mutual inductance between the rails, $H/km$; $g_0$ is the leakage conductance between the rail with the ground, $(Ω⋅km)^{-1}$; $g_{12}$ is the leakage conductance between the rails, $(Ω⋅km)^{-1}$; $C_0$ is the leakage capacitance between the rail with the ground, $F/km$; $C_{12}$ is the leakage capacitance between the rails, $F/km$; $x$ is the distance to the terminal of rail line, km; $i_1(x,t), i_2(x,t)$ is the current in two rails, respectively, A; $u_1(x,t), u_2(x,t)$ is the voltage to ground in two rails, respectively, $V$.

For the model, under the Kirchhoff’s voltage and current Laws, the distributions of voltage and current on the rail line can be expressed as Eq.1 when $Δx → 0$.

$$-\frac{\partial u_1(x,t)}{\partial x} = R_i i_1(x,t) + L_i \frac{\partial i_1(x,t)}{\partial t} + M_0 \frac{\partial i_2(x,t)}{\partial t}$$ (1.1)

$$-\frac{\partial u_2(x,t)}{\partial x} = R_i i_2(x,t) + L_i \frac{\partial i_2(x,t)}{\partial t} + M_0 \frac{\partial i_1(x,t)}{\partial t}$$ (1.2)

$$-\frac{\partial i_1(x,t)}{\partial x} = g_0 u_1(x,t) + g_{12}[u_1(x,t) - u_2(x,t)] + C_0 \frac{\partial u_1(x,t)}{\partial t}$$

$$+ C_{12} \frac{\partial u_2(x,t) - u_1(x,t)}{\partial t}$$ (1.3)

$$-\frac{\partial i_2(x,t)}{\partial x} = g_0 u_2(x,t) + g_{12}[u_2(x,t) - u_1(x,t)] + C_0 \frac{\partial u_1(x,t)}{\partial t}$$

$$+ C_{12} \frac{\partial u_2(x,t) - u_1(x,t)}{\partial t}$$ (1.4)

The above partial differential equations can be described in matrix form as:

$$-\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}(x,t)}{\partial x} = \mathbf{R} i(x,t) + \mathbf{L} \frac{\partial i(x,t)}{\partial t}$$ (2.1)

$$-\frac{\partial \mathbf{i}(x,t)}{\partial x} = \mathbf{G} \mathbf{u}(x,t) + \mathbf{C} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}(x,t)}{\partial t} \text{ (0 ≤ x ≤ l)}$$ (2.2)
where \( l \) is the length of the rail line; \( u(x,t) = [u_1(x,t) \ u_2(x,t) \ \cdots \ u_M(x,t)]^T; \) \( h(x,t) = [i_1(x,t) \ i_2(x,t) \ \cdots \ i_M(x,t)]^T; \) \( u(x,t), \ i(x,t) \) is the voltage and current matrix along the rail line, respectively; \( R, \ L \) are the per-unit length matrix of resistance and inductance; \( G, \ C \) are the per-unit length matrix of conductance and capacitance.

\[
R = \begin{bmatrix} R_0 & 0 \\ 0 & R_0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{g_{00}} + \frac{1}{g_{11}} & -\frac{1}{g_{12}} \\ -\frac{1}{g_{12}} & \frac{1}{g_{00}} + \frac{1}{g_{11}} \end{bmatrix}; \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} L_0 & M_0 \\ M_0 & L_0 \end{bmatrix}; \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} C_0 + C_{12} & -C_{12} \\ -C_{12} & C_0 + C_{12} \end{bmatrix};
\]

It is clear that the change of voltage and current along the rail line at any time can be described by the solutions of the above transmission line equations. Therefore, to solve the transmission line equations is the basis for the transient analysis of track circuit.

6 Time Response of Track Circuit

Track circuit is a lossy transmission line. The precise time-integration method enables one to overcome the difficulty of taking into account the open or short boundaries by traditional methods. In addition, the benefit of the time domain model accounts for arbitrary initial conditions[15],[16]. So this provides an efficient numerical approach to solve the transient response of track circuit.

3.1 Space Discretization of Transmission Line Equations

In this section one crucially needs to solve the transmission line equations of track circuit, as shown in Eq.(2). First, by implementing the discretization in the space coordinate(\( x \)) to Eq.(2). The classical discrete method where the samples of both voltages and currents are taken at the same points[13]. In addition, voltages and currents partial derivative respectively used forward difference and backward difference, which causes truncation error is:

\[
R[y] = \pm \frac{1}{2M} y'(\xi)
\] (3)

To reduce the truncation error, the voltage and current is sampled by interfacing the voltage and current nodes along x-axis in this paper (as shown in Fig.3).
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We divide the transmission line into 2M-1 sections each of length \( \Delta x = l/(2M-1) \), at the beginning and end points, the partial derivative of the voltage and current to \( x \) is approximated by the forward differential and backward difference respectively. While at each discrete point \( k = 1,2\ldots M-1 \), the centered difference scheme is used. So we can obtain the following equations:

\[
\frac{du_k(t)}{dt} = -C^{-1} \left( i_{k+1}(t) - i_{k-1}(t) \right) - C^{-1} G u_k(t) \quad (4.1)
\]
\[
\frac{di_k(t)}{dt} = -L^{-1} \left( u_{k+1}(t) - u_{k-1}(t) \right) - L^{-1} R i_k(t) \quad (4.2)
\]

where: \( k=1,2\ldots M-1 \), \( u_k(t) = u(k\Delta x,t) \); \( i_k(t) = i(k\Delta x,t) \).

\[
\frac{du_k(t)}{dt} = -C^{-1} \left( i_{k+1}(t) - i_{k-1}(t) \right) - C^{-1} G u_k(t) \quad (4.3)
\]
\[
\frac{di_k(t)}{dt} = -L^{-1} \left( u_{k+1}(t) - u_{k-1}(t) \right) - L^{-1} R i_k(t) \quad (4.4)
\]

For the same length of transmission line, this method effectively reduced the space step length to be compared with method in Ref.[7], and the centered difference causes truncation error is:

\[
R[y] = \frac{l^2}{6(2M-1)} \frac{\partial^2 y}{\partial x^2}(\xi)
\] (5)

which is significantly smaller than Eq.3.

3.2 Time Precise Integration for Solving the Transmission Line Equations

For Eq.(4) we can describe in matrix form as:

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = HX + F
\] (6)

where: \( X = [u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_M, i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{M-1}]^T; \)
\( F = [0, 0, 0, \ldots, C^{-1} i_M / \Delta x, L^{-1} u_M / \Delta x, 0, 0, \ldots, 0]^T; \)
\( H = \begin{bmatrix} R[y] & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \)

as shown in next page.

We can obtain the solution of Eq.(6) as:

\[
X(t) = \exp(H \cdot t) X(0) + \int_0^t \exp[H \cdot (t - \tau) F(\tau)] d\tau
\] (7)

where \( X(0) \) represent the state initial value. In order to satisfy the demands of the precise integration, the time be divided into a series of small time step: \( t_j = jh, \ j = 0,1,2,\ldots \), when \( t = t_j \), there is:

\[
X(t_j) = X' = \exp[H \cdot (j \cdot h)] X(0) + \int_0^{j \cdot h} \exp[H \cdot (j \cdot h - \tau) F(\tau)] d\tau
\] (8)

Suppose \( h \) is sufficiently small, inhomogeneous \( F \) can be approximated as a constant within the time-interval \((t_j, t_{j+1})\), so we have:

\[
X(t_{j+1}) = X' + \int_0^{h} \exp[H \cdot (j \cdot h + F)] - H^{-1} F \cdot T \cdot [X' + H^{-1} F'] - H^{-1} F', \ j = 0,1,2,\ldots
\] (9)
For the matrix $T$ can be computed by the precise integration. Based on the identity

$$T = \exp(H \cdot (h)) = \exp[H \cdot (h / m)]$$

where: $m = 2^N$, $\Delta t = h / m$, $h$ is sufficiently small. Within the time $\Delta t = h / 2^n$, taking the four-order Taylor expansion, the $\exp(H \cdot \Delta t)$ can be approximated as:

$$\exp(H \cdot \Delta t) = I + Ta$$

where $I$ is all the eigenvalues of $H$. Apparently, as long as $\Re(\lambda) \leq 0$, the inequality (12) can be satisfied. Since the time-step $\Delta t$ is a positive real, for the inequality can be satisfied only if $\Re(\lambda) \leq 0$. That is to say, the time-step size $\Delta t$ does not exert an influence on the stability of the scheme, and the Eq.12 is determined only with the sign of $\Re(\lambda)$. So, the time precise integration method is unconditionally stable regardless of the time-step size.

### 6 Simulation Analysis

Due to the shunt state of track circuit is based on the adjusting state, for further analysis of the transient current when train enters the track section, the first thing is calculated the adjusting state.

#### 4.1 Analysis of the Adjusting State

The simple equivalent circuit of track circuit as shown in Fig.4, where in the beginning with the voltage source $U$, and $Z$ act as internal resistance; the terminal is connected with the load impedance $Z_L$, $i(t)$ is the received current; $R_L$ is the equivalent shunt resistance.

The different working states of track circuit correspond to different boundary conditions. When the track section is unoccupied, track circuit in the adjusting state.
At this point, K disconnects the contact 1, the beginning and terminal boundary conditions of track circuit are as follows:

beginning: \( u_b(t) = F_1[i_b(t), U_g(t)] = U_s(t) - i_b(t)Z_e \),
where: \( i_b(t) = i_{b0}(t) = -i_{b0}(t) \);

terminal: \( i(t) = i_u(t) = F_2[u_u(t)] = u_u(t) / Z_e \)
\[ = u_u(t) / Z_e = (u_{ul}(t) - u_{Ur}(t)) / Z_e \]

Consider the initial condition \( X(0)=0, \) the space and time step size are respectively: \( M=100; \ h=1 \times 10^{-3} \ s. \ N=20. \) and the main parameters of track circuit are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: the main parameters of track circuit

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Parameter value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rail type</td>
<td>P60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply voltage</td>
<td>30 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal resistance</td>
<td>0.1 ( \Omega )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>1.2 Km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The load</td>
<td>2.7 ( \Omega )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5 shows the current response at the receiving end of track circuit. To demonstrate the validity of the precise time-integration compared its simulation result with the finite difference time domain (FDTD).

4.2 Analysis of the Shunt State
From the above analysis, substituting the boundary and initial conditions of adjusting state into Eq.(9). We can get the steady state value of the voltage and current along the rail line, which can be used as the initial condition of the shunt state of track circuit, and further analysis the current response by combing with the boundary condition of shunt state.

When a train penetrates the track section, its wheels and axles act as a shunt resistance \( R_s \), in Fig.4, K connects with contact 1. This time, the beginning boundary condition of track circuit is the same as the adjusting state:

\[ u_b(t) = F_1[i_b(t), U_g(t)] = U_s(t) - i_b(t)Z_e \],
where: \( i_b(t) = i_{b0}(t) = -i_{b0}(t) \);

While in the terminal of track circuit, current flow to the receiver changed as:

\[ i(t) = i_{ul}(t) \times R_s / (R_s + Z_e) \]
\[ = F_2[u_u(t)] \times R_s / (R_s + Z_e) \]

As is often the case, the shunt resistance \( R_s \) is very small (0.04-0.15 \( \Omega \) [17], \( R_s << Z_e \), so compared with the adjusting state, the receiving end of current decreases obviously.

When the train is arriving at the beginning and will leave the section, the equivalent circuit of track circuit as shown in Fig.6. At this moment, the boundary conditions of track circuit in the beginning and terminal, respectively:

\[ u_b(t) = F_1[i_b(t), U_g(t)] = U_s(t) - i_b(t)Z_e \],
where: \( i_b(t) = i_{b0}(t) + i(t) = i_{b0}(t) + u_s(t) / R_s \);

\[ i(t) = i_{ul}(t) = F_2[u_u(t)] = u_u(t) / Z_e \]
\[ = u_u(t) / Z_e = (u_{ul}(t) - u_{ Ur}(t)) / Z_e \]

Fig. 6 shows the current response at the receiving end of track circuit. To demonstrate the validity of the precise time-integration compared its simulation result with the finite difference time domain (FDTD).

It can be seen that the current signal quickly comes to stability with time passes, and the current value becomes larger with increased ballast resistance under other parameters maintained a fixed value. This is due to when ballast resistance increases; the leakage current is reduced during the process of signal transmission. It is also seen that the precise time-integration method is agreement with the direct solutions by the FDTD method.
contact 1 and track circuit to the adjusting state.

### 2.1 Normal Shunt Section

Based on the above conditions, Fig.7 indicates the current response of the receiver when a train is shunting on the rails at $t=20\text{ms}$, where $R_f=0.06\ \Omega$, $R_d=1.5\ \Omega\cdot\text{km}$. It can be seen that, at $t=20\text{ms}$, because of shunting effect of $R_f$, the amplitude of current decreases dramatically, (there will be a fall edge), which is a transient process of current signal.

![Fig.7 Current response of a train entering the track section](image)

Fig.7 Current response of a train entering the track section

Fig.8 shows when a train passing through the track section, current response of the receiver.

![Fig.8 Current response of a train leaving the track section](image)

Fig.8 Current response of a train leaving the track section

It’s also can be seen that, at $t=40\text{ms}$, the current signal at the receiving end of track circuit increases sharply, (there will be a rising edge), which is a transient signal.

From Fig.7 and Fig.8, it can be seen that, in the moment of a train entering or leaving the track section, there is a transient signal. As a result, this can be used to detection the shunt state of track circuit by identifying signal type of transient current (fall edge or rising edge).

### 2.2 Shunt Malfunction Section

In the practical application, due to the complex working environment, the detection of track circuit’s shunt state is easily effected by ballast resistance, supply voltage and shunt resistance [18]. The traditional method may be difficult to be used for train detection. For example, Table 2 shows the received current amplitude under different conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$R_f/(\Omega\cdot\text{km})$</th>
<th>$U_i/(V)$</th>
<th>$R_d/(\Omega)$</th>
<th>$i/(A)$ (Adjusting state)</th>
<th>$i/(A)$ (Shunt state)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.6439</td>
<td>0.0230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.0732</td>
<td>0.0383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.7612</td>
<td>0.0986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>4.6020</td>
<td>0.1644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.6439</td>
<td>0.2740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.6020</td>
<td>1.9583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be seen from Table 2 that the current amplitude increases with the increase of supply voltage, ballast resistance and shunt resistance. Especially in the shunt malfunction section, mainly due to the rust or dirt of rail (or wheel) surface that make the shunt resistance beyond the standard values[19]. In such a situation, if we comparing the variation of received current amplitude with a certain threshold, which may be difficult [20].

Fig.9 shows the change of current from the adjusting state to the shunt state occurs at the worst conditions of shunt model (in this case, consider the rail type is P60, the supply voltage is 50V, and with the largest ballast resistance $R_d =100\ \Omega\cdot\text{km}$ and $R_f=2\ \Omega$).

![Fig.9 Current response in the bad condition](image)

It can be seen that, in shunt malfunction section, the variation of current amplitude ($\Delta i$) is smaller than the variation of current amplitude in Fig 6. That may be cause a false judgment if the train detection by the traditional method. However, despite the variation of current amplitude ($\Delta i$) decreases, there still is a transient change process, at $t=18\text{ms}$. Therefore, the transient characteristics of current signal, which can be used for train detection, even in the shunt malfunction section.

### 5 Conclusion
Aiming at the shunt malfunction problem, a transient response analysis for the shunt state of track circuit based on the precise time-integration method is proposed in this paper. Combined with the different initial and boundary conditions of track circuit, by numerical simulating analysis the current response from the adjusting state to the shunt state. The theoretical and simulation results show that the precise time-integration algorithm is an effective method for the analysis of shunt state of track circuit, and when a train enters a track section, there is obviously transient current even in the worst conditions of shunt state. So this is important information for the shunt state of track circuit.
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