Dynamic Self controllable Surfing for Differential on-chip wave-pipelined serial interconnect
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Abstract: - In the literature, surfing technique has been proposed for differential wave-pipelined serial interconnects with uniform repeaters (UR) and non-uniform repeaters (NUR) to increase the data transfer rate. In this paper, a new surfing circuit called ‘Dynamic self controllable inverter pair’ is proposed for differential wave-pipelined serial interconnects with UR and NUR to increase the data transfer rate further. The method of logical effort is used for the design of surfing circuits both UR and NUR. To evaluate the efficiency of these techniques, 40 mm metal 4 interconnects using the proposed surfing techniques are implemented along with transmitter, receiver and delay locked loop(DLL) in UMC 180nm technology and their performances are studied through post layout simulations. From this study, it is observed that the proposed surfing technique using UR and NUR achieve 3.53 times and 3.76 times higher data transfer rates respectively compared to the single ended scheme. The proposed scheme using UR and NUR has 1.18 times and 1.25 times higher data transfer rates respectively compared to differential scheme.
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1 Introduction
As the CMOS technology scales down, transistor sizes get reduced and this in turn increases the speed of the logic blocks [1]. The interconnects between the transistors, referred to as local interconnects, become shorter as technology scales down. However, interconnects used for routing signals between logic blocks, known as global interconnects, do not scale in length from one technology to another [2] and they limit the maximum data rate for on-chip communication. In order to achieve high data transfer rates in circuits using deep submicron technologies, the delay through the global interconnects needs to be reduced. For this purpose techniques such as repeater insertion [3], wire sizing [4], low swing signaling [5] and pulsed wave interconnects [9&10] have been proposed in literature. However, even with these techniques, the time required to transmit data across chip may be several clock periods or handshake cycles.

An overview of the techniques used for interconnects in SoC design is presented next. Delay of interconnect is reported to be proportional to the square of length in [1]. Repeater insertion technique proposed in [3] makes the delay of RC interconnect line to be a linear function of length. The expressions for obtaining the optimum number of repeaters and their size for minimizing the delay of RC interconnects have been reported in [3]. For interconnect modeled as an RC network, width is considered as a design parameter and it is shown that the repeater insertion outperforms the wire sizing [4]. It is reported in [6] that the delay can be decreased by maximizing the line inductance particularly in low resistance materials with fast signal transitions. In [7], it is shown that the delay of on-chip interconnect obtained using RLC model is less compared to that using RC line model. However, the repeater insertion technique results in larger area, higher complexity in placement and routing and higher power dissipation. To overcome this, the repeater-less interconnect is proposed in [8]. It uses phase shift keying signaling scheme. This maximizes the inductive behavior and achieves almost near speed of light latency in silicon dioxide with high data rate on-chip interconnects.

The pulsed wave interconnect is proposed for global interconnects in SoC applications in [9&10], where sharp current pulses are used to maximize the inductive behaviour. The pulsed current-mode signaling scheme is proposed in [10] for near speed of light on-chip communication. This uses output multiplexing scheme at the transmitter. As it requires a driver for each input to be multiplexed, it results in more area and power dissipation. To
overcome this, the input multiplexed voltage mode transmitter using pseudo NMOS logic is proposed in [11] and it requires only one driver for all the inputs. However, this architecture uses ratioed logic and sensing logic zero level at higher frequencies becomes difficult.

For single ended serial interconnect, an alternate technique known as wave-pipelining is applied to conventional repeater insertion technique to replace the global clocks with local clocks in [12]. Wave-pipelining enables multiple data waves to propagate through uniformly buffered global interconnect allowing to transmit data at a higher rate. However, the data sent through wave-pipelined interconnects are not reliable. To overcome this problem, surfing technique is proposed in [13] for single ended interconnect. In this technique, a control signal denoted as 'req' is transmitted in a separate line along with each buffered wave-pipelined interconnect segment. This generates the surfing signal 'fast' that controls the propagation delay of each segment. When 'fast' is true, the delay of the buffer in the particular interconnect segment becomes lesser than the normal value. The circuit used in [13] to generate signal 'fast' from 'req' needs a setup timing constraint of about one fourth of the clock period. The reliability of data transmitted is ensured by the 'req' signal but reliability of the transmission of 'req' is not ensured.

In [14], a differential wave-pipelined serial interconnect is proposed to overcome the constraints in single ended scheme. In this technique, the complementary signal path is used to surf the true signal path and vice versa. A separate line is not required to propagate the control signal. It eliminates the setup time constraint and the data reliability is ensured both for true and complement signals. The surfing scheme proposed in [14] is capable of surfing only one signal path (either true or complementary) at a time i.e. when the complementary signal is delayed with respect to the true signal, the surfing signals generated speeds up only the complementary signal path and vice versa.

In [15], for differential wave-pipelined interconnects, a new surfing technique which generates the surfing signals during the overlapping period of the true and complementary paths is proposed both for uniform repeater (UR) and non uniform repeater (NUR) insertion schemes. This surfs both the true and complement lines at the same time till they are exactly complement to each other. The control signals required for surfing the true and complementary paths are generated using separate control circuits. Thus it provides a higher data rate through the differential serial interconnect as compared to [14].

In [13, 14 & 15], additional control circuitry is used in order to generate the control signals for surfing the true and complementary data paths. The controllable inverter pair along with its control circuitry is used at the end of each wave pipe-lined segment. But nevertheless, the control circuit uses pseudo NMOS based circuit which has higher static power dissipation.

Therefore in order to avoid the complexity of additional control circuit, a self controllable surfing circuit (no additional control circuit) named Dynamic self controllable inverter pair is proposed in this paper, which supports higher data transfer rates than that of [14 &15]. The proposed surfing circuit requires only half the area and allows higher amount of jitter compared to that proposed in [14 &15].

As in [15], in this paper also, the proposed surfing scheme is implemented for differential wave-pipelined interconnects with non-uniformly buffered interconnect segments where the length of the interconnect segment and the size of the buffer driving the segment increases progressively. For the purpose of comparison, differential wave pipelined serial interconnect using the proposed surfing scheme with uniform and non-uniform repeater insertion along with transceivers are designed and compared with the already existing schemes.

The method of logical effort is proposed in [16] in order to design a CMOS circuit such that it operates at a particular frequency consuming the least area and power. In this paper, the proposed circuits are designed using the method of logical effort.

The paper is organized as follows: Section II, III describe the design of differential wave-pipelined serial interconnect with surfing using UR and NUR respectively. In section IV, the details of the transceiver design for serial interconnect with UR and NUR are presented. Section V provides the post layout simulation results and the observations. The concluding remarks are given in Section VI.

2 Design of differential wave-pipelined serial interconnect with surfing and UR

The schematic diagram of surfing circuit proposed for the wave-pipelined differential serial interconnect with UR is shown in Fig.1. It has true and complementary data wires connected between transmitter and receiver. These two interconnects...
wires are divided into ‘n’ equal segments and uniform size buffers are inserted between each segment along with its surfing circuitry for surfing. The data transmission will be robust if both true and complementary data are received by the receiver simultaneously.

The data transmission will be robust if both true and complementary data are received by the receiver simultaneously.

A modified pair of buffers called the “Dynamic Self Controllable Inverter pair” is proposed in this paper to ensure surfing along both true and complementary data paths at the same time. The controllable inverter pair can vary the delay of the buffers when the surfing signals are activated, so that transmission rate can be made faster or slower i.e. the delay of the data lines can be varied whenever required. The schematic diagram of a segment used for surfing is shown in Fig. 2.

In the proposed scheme, each surfing segment of the wave-pipelined serial interconnect contains only a controllable inverter pair. The true out (TOUT) of the wave pipe-lined segment is used as surfing signal for the complement data path of the corresponding segment. In the same way, the complement out (COUT) of the same segment is used as surfing signal for the true data path. This surfing mechanism repeats for all the uniformly divided wave-pipelined segments. The timing constraints proposed in [13] for surfing the single ended interconnect is extended for the differential interconnect and the surfing signals are produced in accordance with the timing constraint given by (1).

\[
\delta_{\text{fast, max}}^{\text{True}} \leq \delta_{\text{slow, min}}^{\text{Comp}} \leq \delta_{\text{fast, max}}^{\text{Comp}} \leq \delta_{\text{slow, min}}^{\text{True}} \quad (1)
\]

Where \(\delta_{\text{fast, max}}^{\text{True}}\) and \(\delta_{\text{fast, max}}^{\text{Comp}}\) denote the maximum delay of the true and complementary data paths when ‘fast’ is asserted respectively.

\(\delta_{\text{slow, min}}^{\text{Comp}}\) and \(\delta_{\text{slow, min}}^{\text{True}}\) denote the minimum delay of the true and complementary data paths when ‘slow’ (complement of true) is asserted respectively.

These constraints ensure that events in the True and Complementary data paths propagate together at the same speed. It is to be noted that in the proposed scheme, the surfing signals are produced only when there is reliability issue in the transmission path, whereas in [13], the fast pulses are produced irrespective of the situation.

### 2.1 Dynamic Self Controllable Inverter Pair

The circuit diagram of the “Dynamic Self Controllable inverter pair” is given in Fig. 3. The controllable inverter pair circuit proposed in this paper has symmetrical structure both for true and complement signal paths but it is not so in [14]. This enables the surfing of both true and complement paths at the same time.

In Fig. 3 CIN, COUT, TIN and TOUT denote the complementary input, complementary output, true input and true outputs respectively. One pair of inverters is used for true line (TIN) and another pair for the complementary line (CIN). In [15], the delay of the inverter pairs is controlled by the normal surfing signals F1 and F2 and their complement signals F1C and F2C, whereas, in this paper, it is controlled by outputs TOUT and COUT directly without any control circuitry. These two inverters must always be used as a pair because the control signals for surfing these pairs are generated from respective outputs of the pair.
2.2 Operation of surfing circuit

The surfing scheme proposed in the paper, employs True and Complementary data paths. The Dynamic Self Controlled Inverter Pair is inserted at the end of each wave pipelined segment as shown in Fig. 1. The operation of the surfing mechanism is as follows:

The True and Complementary signals of each segment are surfed by the outputs from the opposite data paths respectively (true is surfed by COUT and complement is surfed by TOUT). There are no separate control signals generated for surfing the true and complement data paths as proposed in [15]. The additional buffers made up of M3 & M4, M7 & M8 constitute the surfing buffers. The surfing buffer of the true data path (M7 & M8) are controlled by the COUT signal, in the same way the surfing buffer of the complement data path (M3 & M4) are controlled by TOUT signal. As both the signal paths are balanced with respect to each other the signals can surf each other thereby providing reliable true and complementary signals.

Assume at the transmitting end, the transmitter’s true and complementary serial data has finite delay (minimum of one gate delay). This finite delay between them is used for surfing the True and Complementary data signals along their path. As the delay between the complementary signals is present, this would allow the signal which is leading, to surf the signal which is lagging behind by providing the additional drive through the additional buffers

Assume at the transmitting end, the transmitter’s true and complementary serial data has finite delay (minimum of one gate delay). This finite delay between them is used for surfing the True and Complementary data signals along their path. As the delay between the complementary signals is present, this would allow the signal which is leading, to surf the signal which is lagging behind by providing the additional drive through the additional buffers

2.3 CMOS Circuit design using Method of logical effort

The method of logical effort is proposed in [16] in order to design a CMOS circuit such that it operates at a particular frequency consuming the least area and power. We assume that the maximum data transfer rate to be achieved using surfing is 4.7 Gb/s. For achieving this data rate, the sizing of transistors in the surfing circuit is carried out using the method of logical effort. The design equations used for this purpose are given next.

The absolute delay (D) of a circuit consisting of N stages is given by [16]

\[ D = (N F^{1/N} + P) \tau \]  

where,

- F - path effort
- P - parasitic delay of the path (sum of parasitic delay of each stage)
- \( \tau \) - technology constant (12ps for 180nm)

\[ F^{1/N} = \hat{\Phi} = \hat{\Phi} = g_s h_s \]  

where,

- \( \hat{\Phi} \) - stage effort
- \( g_s \) - stage logical effort
- \( h_s \) - stage electrical effort

The path effort of the circuit is

\[ F = GBH \]  

where,

- G - the product of logical effort of each stage
- B - path branching effort
- H - electrical effort along a path(The ratio of path output capacitance to that of path input capacitance)

2.4 Design of self Controllable Inverter Pair

The block diagram for sizing the transistors of the self controllable inverter pair is given in Fig. 5. The scale factors required for the design are given in Figs. 3. The scale factors are assigned to the transistors such that the low to high and the high to low transitions will have almost the same delay as
that of a unit inverter in 180 nm technology [16].

The design procedure to size the surfing transistors is as follows:

Let us assume that the self controllable inverter pairs drive the metal 4 interconnect segments of length 2 mm. It corresponds to driving a capacitive load of 108 fF. For a data transfer rate of 4.7 Gb/s, the delay from input to output must be 212.7 ps (D). The parasitic delay at the output node P = 2 and the number of stages N = 1. Using D, N, P and $\tau$ in (2), the stage effort $\hat{f}$ is obtained as 15.73. Using (3), the capacitance $C_{TIN}$ and $C_{CIN}$ can be obtained as $C_{TIN} = C_{CIN} = 6.86 \text{ fF}$. The widths of each transistor can be obtained from these input capacitance values using Cadence data sheet [17]. The surfing transistors have the size one fifth that of the corresponding wave pipe-line buffers.

3 Design of differential wave-pipelined serial interconnect with surfing and NUR

The schematic diagram of surfing circuit proposed for the wave-pipelined differential serial interconnect with NUR is shown in Fig.6. Similar to UR technique, it has true and complementary data paths connected between transmitter and receiver. But they are not divided into 'n' equal segments and the size of buffers at the end of each segment are not chosen to be the same. The segment lengths, size of buffers have progressively increasing dimensions from transmitter to receiver.

The operation of the dynamic self controllable inverter pair used for NUR technique and the timing constraints required for surfing are the same as that for UR.

3.1 Estimation of segment length and size of buffer for NUR technique

The design procedure to estimate the interconnect length for each segment and the size of its corresponding buffer is as follows. The schematic diagram used for the design is shown in Fig. 7.

where

- $a$ - initial wire segment length
- $r$ - ratio between two successive wire segments
- $f$ - ratio between two successive buffers.
- $N$ - number of segments

The objective of the design is to find the values of 'a', 'r' and 'f' such that the propagation delay of the wire is minimum. To find the delay of the entire wire, each buffer followed by its wire segment is represented by its equivalent RC model. The RC equivalent model and its delay equation for a buffer with its wire segment are given in [18]. Using this model, the RC equivalent of the complete wave-pipelined interconnect segments with 'N' segments and 'N' buffers is shown in Fig. 8.

where,

- $R_b$ - resistance of the minimum size buffer ($\Omega$)
- $C_b$ - output capacitance of the minimum size buffer ($\text{fF}$)
- $R_w$ - resistance of the wire per unit length ($\Omega$)
- $C_w$ - capacitance of the wire per unit length ($\text{fF}$)
- $L$ - length of the wire segment (mm)

The propagation delay $T_p$ of the interconnect using the above model (Fig. 8) is given by

$$T_p = 0.69 \left[ R_b C_b + \frac{R_b}{f^1} a^1 C_b + \frac{R_b}{f^{N-1}} a^{N-1} C_b \right]$$

$$+ 0.69 \left[ R_w C_w + \frac{a^1 R_w}{f^1} + \frac{a^2 R_w}{f^2} + \frac{a^{N-1} R_w}{f^{N-1}} \right]$$

$$+ 0.38 \left[ \frac{R_w C_w}{L^2} + a^2 R_w + a^4 R_w + \ldots + a^{2(N-1)} R_w \right]$$

(5)
By simplifying (5), we get
\[ T_p = 0.69 R_b C_b N + 0.7 \frac{R_w C_w}{L} \left[ \frac{a (1-r)^N}{1-r} \right] \\
+ 0.69 \frac{R_w C_w}{L} \left[ \frac{a (1-r)^N}{1-r} \right] + 0.38 \frac{R_w C_w}{L^2} \left[ \frac{r^2 (1-r^{2N})}{1-(r^2)} \right] \]
\[ (6) \]

The relation between 'r' and 'f' is obtained using the method of logical effort. As per method of logical effort, to get the least delay, the stage effort \( f = F^{-1/N} \) of each stage must be equal. Computing the stage efforts of the individual stages using Fig. 8 and equating them we get
\[ \frac{a(C_w/L)}{C_b} = \frac{ar(C_w/L)}{fC_b} = \frac{a r^2(C_w/L)}{f^2 C_b} = \ldots \]
\[ (7) \]

From (7), it is found that the values of 'r' and 'f' must be equal. To determine the value of 'a' the procedure proposed in [18] is adopted in this paper and 'a' is found to be 0.05mm.

It is assumed that the total length of the serial interconnect is 40 mm. The value of N (the number of segments and the number of buffers required for a 40mm wire using NUR) is obtained using a trial and error method as follows:

N depends on the value of ‘r’. For r = 1, N =20 and it corresponds to UR. Hence ‘r’ is increased from 1 in steps of 0.5. For each value of ‘r’, the lengths of the individual interconnect segments are found for different values of ‘n’ and is given in Table 1. The minimum value of N for which the sum of the lengths (L_total) of the individual segments is equal to or greater than 40 mm is also given in Table 1. This N corresponds to the number of buffers and wire segments required for this particular value of ‘r’. In order to reduce the area and power dissipation, we may choose the value of ‘r’ such that L_total is closest to 40mm.

Table 1 suggests that there could be a value of ‘r’ between 2.5 and 3.0 for which the L_total obtained using the above procedure could be closest to 40 mm. For ‘r’ = 2.6 to 2.9, ‘r’ is varied in smaller steps and L_total is computed. From this, it is found that ‘r’ = 2.84 and ‘N’ = 7 gives the best L_total.

The size of the transistors corresponding to different buffers and also the wire length corresponding to different segments for ‘r’= ‘f’ = 2.84 are given in Table 2.

### 4 Design of transceiver for serial interconnect

In order to test the proposed techniques, the design of complete differential wave-pipelined serial link has been carried out in UMC 180 nm technology and its block diagram is shown in Fig. 9.

#### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ratio of ‘r’</th>
<th>No. of segments and lengths of segments for various ratios of ‘r’</th>
<th>Total length for which the design is optimum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>43.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>51.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>54.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>68.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>118.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>195.30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment number (n)</th>
<th>Segment length (mm)</th>
<th>Buffer size (µm) PMOS</th>
<th>Buffer size (µm) NMOS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>5.81</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>16.49</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>46.83</td>
<td>15.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.24</td>
<td>133.02</td>
<td>44.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>26.23</td>
<td>377.78</td>
<td>125.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The serial link consists of a transmitter; interconnect surfing segments (with UR or NUR), a receiver and Delay locked loops (DLL) for synchronization. A domino logic based multiplexer is used for the transmitter in this paper. Two multiplexers are used one for the true and another for the complementary data line. The receiver uses the improved voltage mode differential de-multiplexing sense amplifier (IVDSA).

![Fig. 9. Block diagram of differential wave-pipelined serial interconnect transceiver with surfing](https://example.com/diagram.png)
4.1 Domino logic based Transmitter

In this paper, domino logic based 4:1 multiplexer proposed for the transmitter in [15] is used. The transmitter circuit for true data is shown in Fig. 10. The operation of the circuit is as follows:

The clocks $\Omega_0$ and $\Omega_2$, $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_3$ are out-of-phase to each other as shown in Fig. 10a. The clocks $\Omega_0$ and $\Omega_2$ are used for the control of pre-charge/evaluation phase of two least significant bits of data ($D_2$, $D_3$) respectively. The clocks $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_3$ are used for multiplexing all the four bits of the data. When the multiplexer circuit corresponding to the LSB 2-bits is in pre-charge phase, the other portion of the circuit performs the multiplexing operation. When $\Omega_0$ is low, the node $N_1$ is in pre-charge state and it is isolated from node $N_3$ by transistors $M_{11}$ and $M_{12}$ used as transmission gate. At this time, $\Omega_2$ is high and node $N_2$ evaluates to either data $D_2$ or $D_3$ based on clocks $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_3$.

Signal at node $N_2$ is passed to node $N_3$ through transistors $M_{23}$ and $M_{34}$. When $D_2$ is high, the node $N_2$ becomes 0 and in the same evaluation phase, if $D_3$ is low, the node $N_3$ must be pulled to logic 1. This is ensured using transistors $M_{31}$ and $M_{32}$. For the purpose of ensuring the load to be identical, the transistors $M_{19}$ and $M_{20}$ are used. Similarly data $D_0$ and $D_1$ are multiplexed.

Using the circuit similar to that of Fig. 10, the complement signal ($\bar{T}_x$) is generated using the complemented data inputs ($\bar{D}_0, \bar{D}_3$). The numbers in Fig. 10 denote the scale factors used for different transistors.

![Fig. 10. The transmitter circuit for true data (the numbers indicate the scale factors)](image)

4.2 Receiver

The improved voltage mode de-multiplexing sense amplifier (IVDSA) proposed in [15] is used in this paper which senses the serial input data and also demultiplex it into 4-bit parallel data. The circuit diagram of IVDSA is shown in Fig. 11. The IVDSA consists of differential input stage, a pair of cross coupled inverters and the non overlapped clock driven transistors for de-multiplexing. In the IVDSA, the drain of the input transistors are directly connected to the output of the cross coupled inverters. This reduces the number of series transistors in the evaluation path and hence it reduces the switching times. The additional transistors $M_{10}$-$M_{12}$ are used for de-multiplexing along with sensing the data signal. Four such IVSDAs are used to recover the data signals $D_0$-$D_3$ using clocks ($\Omega_0$-$\Omega_3$) at the receiver DLL.

![Fig. 11. Improved voltage mode demux sense amplifier (IVDSA)](image)

The operation of the circuit is as follows: The first IVDSA circuit is controlled by non overlapping clocks $\Omega_0$ and $\Omega_3$ applied to the gate of transistors $M_7$-$M_{12}$, which makes the sense amplifier to receive the data $D_0$ and its complement $\bar{D}_0$. During the low phase of the clock, the internal nodes $x$ and $y$ are pre-charged to logic high through $M_7$ and $M_8$, $M_{10}$ and $M_{11}$. The capacitance at the differential output nodes

![Fig. 10a. The timing diagram of the multiplexing clock signals](image)
are charged to high values. During the non overlapping times of the clocks \( \varnothing_0 \) & \( \varnothing_3 \) transistors (when both \( \varnothing_0 \) and \( \varnothing_3 \) are logic ‘1’), M_9 & M_12 are turned ON and they provide the tail current. The voltage at the nodes x and y are determined by the inputs (R_x and R_y) driven by the interconnect segment. The regenerative action of cross coupled inverters pulls one node to V_{DD} and the other to GND according to its inputs. The sensed data \( \text{D}_0 \) from first IVDSA is fed to SR latch. The receiving end of interconnect is connected to IVDSA. Four such IVDSA is used to obtain the parallel data outputs \( \text{D}_0 - \text{D}_3 \).

4.3 Delay Locked Loop (DLL)

The Mixed DLL proposed in [15] for generating the four phase clock is used in this paper and its block diagram is shown in Fig. 12. It consists of three basic blocks: dynamic phase comparator, charge pump and voltage controlled delay line (VCDL).

The phase comparator block compares the reference clock with the delayed output signal \( \varnothing_3 \) from the last stage of the VCDL. Depending on the difference in phase, UP and DOWN pulses are generated. If reference clock is leading the output \( \varnothing_3 \), an UP pulse is generated; else, a DOWN pulse is generated. These pulses are given to the charge pump to generate the control voltage \( V_{ctrl} \). The control voltage controls the delay of each stage in VCDL and hence the phase of the output clocks (\( \varnothing_0 - \varnothing_3 \)) is adjusted until the DLL is locked.

For the test chip, the coplanar line is used as the differential transmission line [26]. The process parameters for interconnect implemented using metal 4 layer in 180nm UMC CMOS are: width 0.6 \( \mu \)m, thickness 0.58\( \mu \)m, pitch 1.43 \( \mu \)m.

5 Results

The designs of differential wave-pipelined surfing interconnect with UR and NUR is carried out for 40mm metal 4 interconnect in UMC 180nm technology. The post layout simulations are carried out using Cadence Virtuoso tool. For interconnect with UR, twenty identical surfed interconnect segments consisting of 2mm wire and surfing circuit are used. For interconnect with NUR, depending upon the value of ‘r’ chosen, 6-10 interconnect segments with progressively increasing lengths and surfing circuits with progressively increasing transistor sizes are used.

Fig.13 shows the true (TOUT) and complement (COUT) signal waveforms at the output of one of the wave-pipelined differential serial interconnect segment with and without surfing. From the waveforms, it can be seen that the segment output with surfing starts its transition before the input signal has reached to a stable logic level. The measured delay between the input to the output for the scheme with UR is 212.7ps at a data transfer rate of 4.7Gb/s and with NUR (r =f =4) is 200ps at a data transfer rate of 5Gb/s.

Fig. 14 shows the eye diagram at the output of the last segment of the interconnect with UR for the maximum data transfer rate of 4.7Gb/s. It is observed that the eye diagram at each of the
segment is same and also the swing and the eye width at all the segments are identical. The eye diagram at the output of the receiver for the interconnect with NUR (for ‘r’ = 4) for 5Gb/s data transfer rate is shown in Fig. 15. From Fig. 15, it can be seen that the swing and width of the eye at the output of the receiver is better because of the receiver sense amplifier.

Fig. 13 Wave forms showing the effect of surfing in TOUT and COUT signals

Fig. 14 Eye diagram at the end of the receiver of serial interconnect with UR at 4.7Gb/s

For the proposed surfing interconnect with UR and NUR the jitter performance is also carried out with a jitter of 80ps in the serial input. It is 36% for UR and 33.6% for NUR of the input signal time period respectively. The eye diagram of the UR link at the receiver end with jitter is shown in Fig. 16. From Fig. 16, it can be observed that the proposed surfing circuit with UR has no degradation in the performance and the data signals are received properly even with 36% of jitter in the input.

In order to compare the performance of surfing interconnect with UR and NUR, the delay of the longest segments, the maximum operating frequency for various values of ‘r’ are found and is given in Table 3. It may be noted that for ‘r’ 2.84, Ltotal is closest to 40 mm. For other values of ‘r’, the last buffer drives only a smaller segment (so that total length becomes 40 mm) than what is reported in Table 1.

Fig. 15 Eye diagram at the end of the receiver of serial interconnect with NUR at 5.0Gb/s

Fig. 16 Eye diagram at the end of the receiver of serial interconnect with UR at 4.5Gb/s with input jitter of 80ps

From Table 3, it may be noted that the highest operating frequency increases with ‘r’. For the purpose of comparison, the power dissipated by the entire link consisting of the transmitter, surfing interconnect with NUR and receiver are computed for different values of ‘r’ at a normalized data rate of 1.55Gb/s and is given in Table 3. The power consumed by the link with the surfing interconnect with UR at 1.55Gb/s is 20.89mW. It may be noted that the power consumed by the NUR is lower because the transmitter drives a 0.05 mm interconnect segment, where as in the case of UR it
drives 2mm interconnect segment.

The performance comparison of the single ended wave-pipelined interconnect, the differential wave pipe-lined serial interconnect with surfing proposed for UR and NUR in [15] and the proposed self controllable surfing for differential wave-pipelined serial interconnect for UR and NUR are given in Table 4.

From Table 4, it is observed that the minimum data period required for the data transmission through the entire 20 segment UR surfing interconnect is 212.7ps, which corresponds to serial data transfer rate of 4.7Gb/s. The data transfer rate of surfing interconnect with UR and NUR (r=4) are 1.18 and 1.25 times higher than that reported in [15] respectively. Both surfing interconnects with UR and NUR allow input jitter of about 36% and 33.6% of the data period respectively, which is higher than that reported in [15].

The power consumed and area for the differential serial interconnect with UR and NUR are given Table 4. It is observed that the serial interconnect with NUR consumes lower power by a factor of 3.08 and 2.66 to that of serial interconnect with UR at a data rate of 4.7Gb/s and 1.55Gb/s respectively. It is also observed that the area of the serial interconnect with NUR is lower by a factor of 2.3 as compared to serial interconnect with UR.

The power consumed by the proposed scheme is higher both for UR and NUR compared to that reported in [15] but the area required for surfing circuit is less both in UR and NUR by a factor of 2.16 and 2.40 respectively compared to that reported in [15].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of each segment</td>
<td>2mm</td>
<td>2mm</td>
<td>0.05 mm (min.)</td>
<td>2mm</td>
<td>0.05 mm (min.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of segments</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22.95 mm (max.)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22.95 mm (max.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width of the Interconnect</td>
<td>Double the min. width</td>
<td>Double the min. width</td>
<td>Double the min. Width</td>
<td>Double the min. Width</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delay of each segment</td>
<td>750ps</td>
<td>250ps</td>
<td>0.56µm (Min. width in 0.25µm)</td>
<td>0.56µm (Min. width in 0.25µm)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum data transfer rate</td>
<td>1.33Gb/s</td>
<td>4Gb/s</td>
<td>4Gb/s (r = f = 4)</td>
<td>4.7Gb/s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control signal and setup time constraint</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum allowable Jitter</td>
<td>7.3 % of data period</td>
<td>24.2% of the data period</td>
<td>24% of the data period</td>
<td>36% of the data period</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise Problem</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No (since the scheme is differential)</td>
<td>No (since the scheme is differential)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>19.0mW (at 4Gb/s)</td>
<td>36.00mW (at 4.7Gb/s)</td>
<td>12.76mW (at 5Gb/s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of repeaters</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.590mW (at 1.55Gb/s)</td>
<td>3.80mW (at 1.55Gb/s)</td>
<td>9.28mW (at 4Gb/s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control circuitry</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>No (self controllable)</td>
<td>No (self controllable)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The layout of the transmitter for true and complement inputs along with its DLL, the dynamic self-controllable surfing circuit and the receiver circuit for four data outputs along with its DLL are shown in Fig. 17.

The synchronization of the transmitter and the receiver is done using source synchronous clocks. The clock for the receiver DLL is sent from the transmitter DLL with the same amount of data delay. In the complete link design, the transmitter and receiver DLLs are kept close. The delay between the input of the transmitter to the input of the receiver is measured and the same amount of delay is introduced in the clock path by inserting buffers and by adjusting their delays.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, dynamic self-controllable inverter pair is proposed for surfing the differential wave pipelined serial interconnect. The design of the transceiver with self-controllable surfing scheme for uniform repeater and non-uniform repeater insertion is carried out using the method of logical effort and their performances are compared. The proposed surfing interconnect with UR and NUR have higher data transfer rates and allow higher input jitter. The proposed schemes can be used for higher data transfer rates through differential on-chip global interconnect.
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